

Geoff Trigg

From: Sent: To: Subject: Carl Askew Wednesday, 24 September 2014 4:59 PM Geoff Trigg; Andrew Jackson FW: ROW 32

Geoff / Andrew

Copy FYI and comment please Thanks

Carl

-----Original Message-----From: Michael O'Connor Sent: Wednesday, 24 September 2014 4:41 PM To: Carl Askew Subject: ROW 32

Dear Carl,

I believe ROW 32 is correct, but in any case, it is the ROW behind no. 53 John St., and no. 62 Forrest St. running east and west. I use the garage which is owned by Jill Palassis at no 53.

Recently I have seen chalk marks on the lane way at either end of the unsealed area, which leads me to believe that it may be scheduled for sealing soon. I certainly hope so.

Nicholas Dillon is the owner of no. 62 Forrest St., and he has told me that he objects to the sealing of the laneway. He maintains that if it were sealed, cars would use it as a speedway. As it is, they refrain from speeding when they find the unsealed section.

Travelling east, speeding cars cannot see the unsealed portion until they are almost on it, and braking on gravel and dirt at that point would be more hazardous than on bitumen. In my experience, and I have been using the garage for 12 years, I can't recall encountering a speeding vehicle. The laneway is used almost exclusively by adjoining residents.

Mr. Dillon also maintains that the unsealed laneway adds a "rustic" atmosphere which he enjoys. He has recently rebuilt the limestone wall that was destroyed last year when a very large pine tree on his property blew down in a storm. The new wall is on his boundary with the laneway, and the laneway is no longer visible to him as the new wall obscures it completely.

Nicholas is a friend of mine, and I am hopeful the matter can be resolved to everyone's satisfaction. Without question the laneway needs to be sealed, as it washes out each winter in the rain, and is then difficult to negotiate without travelling very slowly.

It has been suggested that a speed hump be installed at the top of the lane where the new sealing would commence. It could also be painted white to make it more visible. There may be be other measures that could be taken to resolve the matter.

Please advise if the laneway is due for sealing, and when, and if your engineers have any further suggestions.

Kind regards,

Michael O'Connor

10th October 2014

Box 969 Cottesloe WA 6011

Manager of Planning Department Town of Cottesloe Box 606 Cottesloe WA 6011

Attention Andrew Jackson

RE Dosca lane (between Forrest and John Street)

We are the owners of 58 Forrest Street, Cottesloe. We enclose a press article in The Post on page 29 in the 11th October 2014 edition. The article prompts us to ask when the Dosca Lane (rear laneway) from Broome Street exiting out at John Street will be fully bitumised.

We bitumised the back of 58 Forrest Street rear laneway back in around 2010. We contributed financial about \$2,000 as part of Council approval requirements to bitumen the back of 62 Forrest ensuring all the laneway was paved. We never followed up further as we understood it would be included in the following year's engineer's works budget. The years have rolled on and we ask the question when our money will be used to pave the rear laneway.

We await your advice.

Kind Regards

rosser

58Forrest-laneway AJackson10.10.2014



