



## Town of Cottesloe

### Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

The proposed new toilet facility has been designed by ASPECT Studios with these design principles in mind;

- designed using limestone materials that are in keeping with the limestone structures along the foreshore such as limestone walls, terraces and sundial;
- located on the foreshore opposite Napier Street, adjacent to the proposed play equipment as part of the Foreshore upgrade detail design;
- the small and efficient building footprint (7m long x 4m wide and 7m in height) minimises impact on the foreshore; and,
- the new toilet facility will complement the existing Indiana toilet and change rooms.

#### What

We would like your feedback on the proposed location and concept design for a toilet facility within the Foreshore Redevelopment Design.

#### Why

The Foreshore is an important part of the fabric of life in Cottesloe, any future enhancement and development has an impact on the community. It is important that your thoughts are shared and participating is a positive opportunity to influence the final decision for a toilet facility.

#### Who

Community members of all ages are invited to provide their feedback.

The survey takes approximately 5 minutes to complete.

The consultation period closes at 5pm Wednesday, 19 May 2021.

#### Privacy statement:

Any personal information collected by the Town of Cottesloe in the course of community engagement will be used solely for the purpose of gaining demographic insight to assist Council with its decision making.

Information that identifies a person will not form any part of publically available data or documents related to the engagement.

\* 1. Please select which best describes you (you can only choose one).

- I am a Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer/Resident
- I am a Town of Cottesloe Business Owner
- I am not a Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer, Resident or Business Owner

\* 2. Please enter your name and residential address.

*You must complete all details as requested for your submission to be considered.*

**Full Name**

**Address**

**City/Town**

**Post Code**

\* 3. What age group are you in?

- 14 years or under
- 15 - 20 years
- 21 -29 years
- 30 - 39 years
- 40 - 59 years
- 60 - 79 years
- 80+ years

\* 4. Gender: How do you identify?

- Female
- Male
- Non-binary
- Prefer not to say
- Prefer to self-describe (please specify below)

\* 5. Do you feel there is a need for an additional toilet facility on the Foreshore Precinct?

- Yes
- No

\* 6. Do you support the proposed location for a toilet facility?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Neither support or oppose
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose

\* 7. Do you support the proposed design for a toilet facility?

Strongly support

Somewhat oppose

Somewhat support

Strongly oppose

Neither support nor oppose

8. Thinking about your response to questions 6 and 7 is there anything else you would like us to consider? (80 words or less)

\* 9. Thinking outside the Foreshore Precinct, do you feel there is a need for more public toilet facilities in Cottesloe?

Yes

No

10. If you believe there is a need for more public toilet facilities, please tell us where? (40 words or less).



Town of Cottesloe

## COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

### CONSULTATION ANALYSIS REPORT

# Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

5 May 2021 – 19 May 2021



*"Love the concept, design & location of the toilet facility which is much needed on Cottesloe foreshore."*

*– NS - Cottesloe*

**Report prepared by:**  
Ann-Marie Donkin  
Corporate Services and  
Engagement Officer  
Town of Cottesloe  
26 May 2021

# Contents

1. PROJECT BACKGROUND .....	1
2. PARTICIPATION GOAL AND METHODOLOGY .....	2
3. SURVEY OUTCOMES .....	3
4. IN CONCLUSION.....	14
5. Attachments .....	14

***Our Community** are our residents, local groups and clubs, businesses, organisations, individuals and government stakeholders who have a common interest in Cottesloe.*

*Community can be:*

- **Communities of Place** – People living in neighbourhoods and localities.
- **Communities of Identity** – People from ethnic groups, young people, people with disabilities, religious groups etc.
- **Communities of Interest** – People involved in groups or activities which might cut across other communities. For example board riders, tourists, business owners etc.

*It is important to note that people see themselves as belonging to one community of place but can identify more than one community of interest or identity.*

## 1. PROJECT BACKGROUND

- ASPECT Studios prepared a concept design for a toilet block to sit within the 100% Foreshore Redevelopment Design.
  - The full (100%) Foreshore Redevelopment design was adopted by Council at the Ordinary Council Meeting of 23 March 2021.
- At a Special Council Meeting 14 April 2021 Council amended its previous decision (resolution OCM051/2021) and resolved to (excerpt):
  - 2. *ACCEPTS the Toilet Block Concept within the Aspect Presentation found on the link reference in the Summary section of the report, for the purposed of community consultation (on the location and design) and as the basis for the provisional sum in the design costs estimate for Foreshore Funding*
- The Town undertook community consultation for the proposed location and design of a Toilet Facility by survey which ran for a period of 2 weeks starting on 5 May 2021 and closing on 19 May 2021.
- The consultation summary is attached
- The survey was issued and promoted using the following resources:
  - E-Newsletter (Residents and Ratepayers database)
  - Media Release
  - Town of Cottesloe Facebook Posts
- At the close of the survey a total of 185 responses had been collected.
- Two email submissions were received.
- One written (formal) submission was received.

## 2. PARTICIPATION GOAL AND METHODOLOGY

### **PARTICIPATION GOAL** (IAP2's Public Participation Spectrum):

The Town was committed to working across **2** of IAP2's participation goals for this project:

**INFORM** – To provide the public with balanced and objective information to assist them in understanding the problem, alternatives opportunities and/or solutions.

**CONSULT** – To obtain public feedback on analysis, alternatives and/or decisions.

### **METHODOLOGY**

The below methods were used to **inform** the public of an opportunity to participate and provide feedback for a Proposed Toilet Facility – Foreshore Precinct:

- Media Release
  - The Post
  - Western Suburbs Weekly
- Public Notice – Town's Notice Boards
  - Administration Building – Broome St
  - Stirling Highway (near the Post Office)
  - Grove Library
- E-Newsletter
  - Distributed through the Residents and Ratepayers Database (approximately 1000 subscribers)
- The Town's website – *Latest News* and *Have A Say* pages

The below methods were used to obtain feedback (**consult**) from residents, stakeholders and the wider community for a Proposed Toilet Facility – Foreshore Precinct:

- Survey – open for 2 weeks from 5 May 2021 to 19 May 2021
- Formal submissions (Email/Written):
  - Though not formally requested for this consultation, as per the Community Engagement Policy all written correspondence is accepted as feedback and is provided in this report to be used in conjunction with the survey analysis, in consideration of the proposal.

### 3. SURVEY OUTCOMES

#### Overview of Survey Results

The feedback provided from this engagement will be used to inform further consideration of a toilet facility within the Foreshore Precinct Redevelopment.

#### Online Survey

- The survey opened for public participation on the 5 May 2021.
- A total of 185 survey submissions were received online.
- All submissions were checked and deemed to be valid.

*“Yes. Put a toilet at Car Park 2 and wherever it goes please consider building into the land”...*  
– RJ - Cottesloe

*“There is absolutely a need for this toilet facility (and more at appropriate distance) in Cott. The height of the structure concerns me, could it be less obtrusive”...*  
– ET - Cottesloe


*“Existing Indiana toilets are suitable – perhaps upgrade them but no carpark toilet please”...*  
– IO - Cottesloe

*“Ensure decent toilet facilities are provided as part of Indiana development. No new structures, and especially not 7m in height”...*  
– LH - Claremont

*“The design is contemporary and utilising materials that are in keeping with the surrounding environment and will be a welcome addition to the foreshore. It will also blend into the surrounding environment”...*  
– RK - Cottesloe

**QUESTION 1 (Mandatory) – Please select which best describes you (you can choose only one).**

185 respondents answered this question with the following result:



- 147 (79%) respondents identified as Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer/Residents.
- 31 (17%) respondents identified with not being a Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer Resident or Business Owner.
- 7 (4%) respondents identified as Town of Cottesloe Business Owners.

**QUESTION 2 (Mandatory) – Please enter your name and residential address.**


*You must complete all details as requested for your submission to be considered.*

185 respondents answered this question with the following result:

- 147 respondents entered at Cottesloe address
  - 3 respondents entered Perth as their *City/Town* however on verifying addresses it was found they had entered Cottesloe in the *Address* line
- 29 respondents entered addresses in other Local Government Areas:
  1. Peppermint Grove
  2. Swanbourne
  3. Claremont, Mt Claremont and Nedlands
  4. Northbridge, East Fremantle, West Leederville, Duncraig, Karrinyup
- 2 respondents entered international addresses.
- 5 of the Town of Cottesloe business owners provided a Cottesloe address
  5. 2 Town of Cottesloe business owners entered addresses in other Local Government Areas.


### QUESTION 3 (Mandatory) – What age group are you in?

185 respondents answered this question with the following result:



- The majority of respondents were aged 40 – 59 years (57%); with the next highest representation being from those aged 60 – 79 (28%).

### QUESTION 4 (Mandatory) – Gender: How do you identify?




- 111 (60%) respondents identified as female.


**QUESTION 5 (Mandatory) – Do you feel the need for an additional toilet facility on the Foreshore Precinct?**

Collectively respondents answered this question with the following result:

**185 respondents**



- 68% percent of all respondents felt there is a need for an additional toilet facility on the Foreshore Precinct.
- All 7 respondents who identified as business owners in the Town of Cottesloe felt there is a need for an additional toilet facility on the Foreshore Precinct
- Of the 147 Cottesloe Ratepayers/Residents who participated in the survey, 99 (67%) felt there is a need for an additional toilet facility on the Foreshore Precinct:




**Cottesloe Ratepayers/Residents (147)**


**QUESTION 6 (Mandatory) – Do you support the proposed location of a toilet facility?**

Collectively respondents answered this question with the following result:

**185 respondents**




**Support vs Opposition (combined) Comparison**



- 19 (10%) respondents neither supported nor opposed the proposed location for a toilet facility which has resulted in an almost even split between support and opposition.

- The same outcome is also evident with respondents who identified as Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer/Residents however a slightly higher proportion oppose the proposed location:




**Cottesloe Ratepayers/Residents (147)**


### QUESTION 7 (Mandatory) – Do you support the proposed design for a toilet facility?

Collectively respondents answered this question with the following result:

185 respondents




### Support vs Opposition (combined) Comparison



- Collectively there is little support for the proposed toilet facility design.

- Opposition to the design was higher again among respondents who identified as Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer/Residents:



**Cottesloe Ratepayers/Residents (147)**

**QUESTION 8 (Optional) – Thinking about your response to questions 6 and 7 is there anything else you would like up to consider? (80 words or less)**

Of the 184 respondents 168 participants provided feedback, all responses can be found in the attachment to this report.

Some comments included:

*“Consider part-closure of Marine Pde to vehicle traffic between Napier and Forrest to make public facilities on the east side easily accessible. Also give the conveniently located Indiana toilets a makeover. New structures on the west side Marine Parade were prohibited some years ago to avoid overbuilt spaces and dated architecture. Iconic beachfronts – Cornwall, Santorini, Corfu – have resisted the temptation to ‘modernise’ & add flashy buildings. For their foresight and restraint they have been richly rewarded and have enviable beachfronts”*

– ES, Cottesloe

*“Really need a toilet block in that location next to playground or skate facilities”*

– HP, Cottesloe

*“I’m not a local resident but visit Cottesloe almost daily (my wife is in permanent care at Wearne Cottesloe). The proposal is beige, bland and boring, and promises to be an eyesore of the future. You have the perfect inspiration every year from Sculptures by the Sea to build on that reputation and create a stunning sculptural building that is redolent of the colours and shape of the sea and waves. Just google “sculptural public toilets” for some fabulous images from around the world. Be bold, be creative, be artistic, be sculptural!”*

– BP, Duncraig

*“Would be great if 2 to 3 outdoor showers could be incorporated on the outside walls of the structure to increase their availability in the general vicinity”*


– SB, Cottesloe

**QUESTION 9 (Mandatory) – Thinking outside the Foreshore Precinct, do you feel there is a need for more public toilet facilities in Cottesloe?**

**QUESTION 10 (Optional) – If you believe there is a need for more public toilet facilities please tell us where (40 words or less).**

Collectively respondents answered this question with the following result:

**185 respondents**



- Collectively more than half of the respondents felt there is a need for more public toilet facilities in Cottesloe.
- Similarly 54% (79) respondents who identified as Cottesloe Ratepayers/Residents felt there was a need for more public toilet facilities in Cottesloe.
- 128 respondents (of which 101 identified as Cottesloe Ratepayers/Residents) answered Question 10. The top four suggested locations were:
  1. South Cottesloe – varying locations south of SCSLC (36)
    - Dutch Inn (9)
  2. Cott Village – including Railway and Napoleon Streets (22)
  3. Indiana – redevelop/upgrade (19)
  4. Grant Marine Park (11)
- All seven Town of Cottesloe businesses felt there is a need for more public toilet facilities in Cottesloe identifying
  1. Cottesloe Village
  2. Station Street

## 4. IN CONCLUSION

### Key Findings

Based on the findings from this community consultation, the following conclusions have been identified for consideration:

1. Provision of additional toilet facilities on the Foreshore Precinct is supported by 67% of respondents.
2. The proposed location of a public toilet facility is marginally **supported** by **all** respondents (46%), however is marginally **unsupported** (46%) by Cottesloe Ratepayer/Residents.
3. The proposed design for a toilet facility is not supported by 67% of **all** respondents.
4. In consideration of further consultation for more public toilet facilities for the Town as a whole, 53% of **all** respondents felt there was a need, and clearly identified 4 locations through their suggestions.

## 5. Attachments

1. Survey Summary Data (Uncombined Data)
2. Email Submissions
3. Written Submission – Allering and Associates



Town of Cottesloe

# **PROPOSED FORESHORE PRECINCT TOILET FACILITY**


Consultation Period – 5 May 2021 TO 19 May 2021

## **ATTACHMENT 1**

### **Survey Data Report (Raw/Uncombined)**

Q1 Please select which best describes you (you can only choose one).

Answered: 185 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I am a Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer/Resident	79.46%	147
I am a Town of Cottesloe Resident	0.00%	0
I am a Town of Cottesloe Business Owner	3.78%	7
I am not a Town of Cottesloe Ratepayer, Resident or Business Owner	16.76%	31
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>185</b>

**Q2 Please enter your name and residential address. You must complete all details as requested for your submission to be considered.**


Answered: 185 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Full Name	100.00%	185
Company	0.00%	0
Address	100.00%	185
Address 2	0.00%	0
City/Town	100.00%	185
State/Province	0.00%	0
Post Code	100.00%	185
Country	0.00%	0
Email Address	0.00%	0
Phone Number	0.00%	0

Pages 3 to 22 have been removed from this document as they contain respondents' personal information.

### Q3 What age group are you in?


Answered: 185 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
14 years or under	0.00%	0
15 - 20 years	3.78%	7
21 -29 years	2.70%	5
30 - 39 years	7.57%	14
40 - 59 years	57.30%	106
60 - 79 years	28.11%	52
80+ years	0.54%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>185</b>

## Q4 Gender: How do you identify?

Answered: 185 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Female	60.00%	111
Male	37.84%	70
Non-binary	0.00%	0
Prefer not to say	1.62%	3
Prefer to self-describe (please specify below)	0.54%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>185</b>

#	PREFER TO SELF-DESCRIBE (PLEASE SPECIFY BELOW)	DATE
1	Cannot believe this matters for this survey!	5/6/2021 7:36 AM

### Q5 Do you feel there is a need for an additional toilet facility on the Foreshore Precinct?


Answered: 185 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	68.11%	126
No	31.89%	59
TOTAL		185

## Q6 Do you support the proposed location for a toilet facility?


Answered: 185 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly support	27.57%	51
Somewhat support	17.84%	33
Neither support or oppose	10.27%	19
Somewhat oppose	9.19%	17
Strongly oppose	35.14%	65
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>185</b>

## Q7 Do you support the proposed design for a toilet facility?

Answered: 185 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly support	16.76%	31
Somewhat support	11.35%	21
Neither support nor oppose	5.41%	10
Somewhat oppose	17.84%	33
Strongly oppose	48.65%	90
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>185</b>

## Q8 Thinking about your response to questions 6 and 7 is there anything else you would like us to consider? (80 words or less)

Answered: 168 Skipped: 17

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Consider part-closure of Marine Pde to vehicle traffic between Napier & Forrest to make public facilities on the east side easily accessible. Also give the conveniently located Indiana toilets a makeover. New structures on the west side Marine Parade were prohibited some years ago to avoid overbuilt spaces and dated architecture. Iconic beachfronts - Cornwall, Santorini, Corfu - have resisted the temptation to 'modernise' & add flashy buildings. For their foresight and restraint they have been richly rewarded and have enviable beachfronts.	5/19/2021 3:47 PM
2	Yes. Put a toilet at Car Park 2 And wherever it goes please consider building into the land.	5/19/2021 3:31 PM
3	If the council insists on toilets in this location, it should be subtle and half-buried in the dunes. It need only be small - one or two male and female stalls, or unisex even better.	5/19/2021 11:44 AM
4	Something a little more conservative	5/19/2021 6:48 AM
5	The proposed design is too height dominant for this area. The current facilities under Indiana should be rebuilt.	5/18/2021 8:59 PM
6	The proposed building is way too tall for the site. It would become the defining monument of Council's redevelopment of the foreshore. If a better site cannot be chosen, e.g. in Car Park 2, it should at least be made less overbearing and conspicuous. It is also at odds with the community's long-held view that no more buildings should be allowed west of Marine Parade.	5/18/2021 3:43 PM
7	It is not clear that a toilet in this location is needed. If a toilet block can be justified, it should be much smaller in scale. There is also far too much paving/hard surface which will negatively impact the Norfolk Island pines.	5/18/2021 10:40 AM
8	A toilet block of any design (particularly a 2 storey) should not be on the foreshore side of Marine Parade. Regardless of location the design seems extravagant (overly expensive).	5/18/2021 9:10 AM
9	The building looks too tall and is out of proportion. Not sure why we need the 6m height.	5/17/2021 7:35 PM
10	There is absolutely a need for this toilet facility (and more at appropriate distance) in Cott. The height of the structure concerns me, could it be less obtrusive?	5/17/2021 5:30 PM
11	The toilet layout and location makes sense but the structure is just too tall. Only needs to be 4 metres high as it is just a toilet block	5/17/2021 3:31 PM
12	If built it may be an oddity rather than an icon. It is too high visually and may well have a higher vulnerability to the elements. Materials proposed are consistent with the area. Suggest just halve the height and deal with light and ventilation in usual way. if built, watch for kids using holes as climbing points.	5/17/2021 3:00 PM
13	Why does it need to be so high? It would look better with a reduced height top section. If a long term vision was possible, it may be better placed in the new carpark two development and not directly on the foreshore area. Please include baby changing facilities - there is nothing suitable in the area at the moment.	5/17/2021 3:00 PM
14	The proposed building is quite tall. Is there any reason, besides ventilation to make it so tall & imposing?	5/17/2021 2:12 PM
15	There is more urgent need for toilets further south near the playground and start of dog beach. All that money spent on the nature playground and noone uses it, no toilets, no water no facilities. Parkrun has been made to start at that end and nowhere for runners to go to toilet.	5/17/2021 1:48 PM
16	Hideous overbearing structure	5/17/2021 1:27 PM
17	Put a toilet block over the other side of the road in the existing car park	5/17/2021 12:38 PM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

18	The height of the building seems excessive and no explanation has been provided. A smaller, less imposing building with screening planting would be better in this location.	5/17/2021 12:15 PM
19	Renovate the existing toilet/shower facilities under the Indian. Or place a toilet/shower like nth fries next to Indian not here!	5/17/2021 12:10 PM
20	It looks like a concrete bunker. Something more camouflaged/not as tall with outdoor showers would be better	5/17/2021 12:00 PM
21	Toilet block needs to be NEXT to play facilities	5/17/2021 11:35 AM
22	Really need a toilet block in that location next to playground or skate facilities	5/17/2021 11:23 AM
23	I work in construction, and I have seen a lot of bad design and inflated budgets, but this takes the gold. This building does not fit the place, makes the foreshore unattractive, and has been quoted about 3 times the price it should be. Did you give them your budget before they quoted by any chance? A typical local government mistake/breach of procurement professionalism. For this price you could actually have the toilet under street level accessible from the beach.	5/17/2021 11:16 AM
24	Needs to be me t to play facilities	5/17/2021 11:16 AM
25	This design is unnecessarily tall and obtrusive in a premium vista esplanade. This makes no sense and I can't understand the ToC would consider it.	5/17/2021 11:10 AM
26	As a regular visitor to WA (well, pre Covid!), I see Cottesloe as the St Tropez of the WA metro coast: premium, boutique, village. Don't try and redevelop so as to look like Scarborough or such. This toilet is ridiculous in both location and design and will make Cottesloe a laughing stock.	5/17/2021 11:04 AM
27	Even as a 78 year old woman, I can walk 200m to the Indiana! Keep Cottesloe attractive and reject this ridiculous proposal altogether	5/17/2021 10:56 AM
28	Upgrade Indiana toilets incl. security, and put a normally sized toilet at bottom of car park 2	5/17/2021 10:51 AM
29	Make things happen.	5/17/2021 10:26 AM
30	A toilet in the Indiana area is sufficient. Something that is not visible as a structure above ground level from Marine Parade	5/16/2021 7:34 PM
31	The council owned public toilets at Indiana Teahouse are only 3 minutes walk away at most, and any need for further toilets should be east of Marine Parade, for instance on the north east corner of Napier Street and Marine Parade. Another could be half way down the new steps at the Cove, with disabled access via the recently closed north/south path through the hedge, its roof an extension of the new deck to the west of the Cove carpark	5/16/2021 6:27 PM
32	Make use of the Indiana tea house, renovate, restore instead of this monstrosity. How on earth does it fit in with Cottesloe?? It is an embarrassment	5/16/2021 6:17 PM
33	Up grade the present change rooms, toilet facility under the India complex. This location is central to the main beach area and is blended into the present building	5/16/2021 4:44 PM
34	To high. It's a toilet, be low profile and spend money on other aspects such as a proper playground and a skate park	5/16/2021 3:55 PM
35	Just build a nice profile set of two toilets	5/16/2021 3:52 PM
36	Fix up the current toilets. Multi-gender toilets will not work, waste of money, wrong location. Council enforce Mindaroo to fix existing toilets and re-use. This proposed toilet block is a public toilet in an open space. Terrible location.	5/16/2021 3:12 PM
37	The toilets /changerooms at Indiana should be repaired and properly maintained . The proposed toilet block is far too high and will block views of the ocean horizon . It does not adhere to the longstanding policy of no new buildings west of Marine Parade .	5/16/2021 12:42 PM
38	Visually the additional height detracts from the panoramic ocean views along the foreshore. Why block the view with a toilet block? It appears the toilet doors/access are exposed to the strong/south west breeze/rain which will make it difficult for children to open. The site plan does not show a north point? It is not visually easy to see which toilets become available, as the doors face all different directions.	5/16/2021 12:10 PM
39	I don't see any reason for the height of the facility. There is no question of its need!! It could	5/16/2021 10:21 AM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

maintain a similar design & construction material without being 7m high. There is no need for 7m, 3m- 4m maximum would be entirely sufficient.

40	A different design and not on the main thoroughfare - fancy Cottesloe being known for its toilet block??	5/16/2021 9:50 AM
41	The 7 meter height is way over what would be required , I say no to the redevelopment of no 1 car park say no to redevilmnt of no 1 car parkk	5/16/2021 8:53 AM
42	Upgrade the existing toilets and place a new block at south Cottesloe where there are no facilities.	5/15/2021 12:43 PM
43	Rockingham (boo, hiss) foreshore has some really nice high-tech self-locking self-cleaning unisex stainless steel toilets. Very nice, much smaller too.	5/14/2021 11:17 PM
44	It doesn't need to be 6.835m high. With that height it is making a statement and we don't need a public toilet to make a statement. We need a public toilet to provide a safe, discreet and functional facility. It will become a cold, disgusting block of toilet.	5/14/2021 9:25 PM
45	It does not need to be 7 m tall - suggest minimum building height to minimise shadowing and eye-sore.	5/14/2021 2:57 PM
46	That Council should abide by its own Beach Policy, should respect the principles behind the Heritage listing of the Cottesloe Beach Precinct and not interfere with the aesthetics, ocean view vista or character of the beachside 'open' spaces. Blocks car parked NW views. Should not introduce a night illuminated building in this location. Unisex public toilets are rarely clean enough to also be change rooms. Only 5 cubicles not value. Views into facilities open doors from surrounds. Only 200 metres from Indiana toilets. Concept was never part of the Foreshore % stages or previous consultation.	5/14/2021 12:14 PM
47	I would like to think that the town could also consider a toilet in the Cottesloe Village as there is not Public toilet for Shoppers which impacts on the elderly and parents with small children	5/14/2021 11:01 AM
48	What essential CHANGE ROOM & SHOWER facilities are to be PROVIDED ? They need to be located closer to me in beach & Groyne swimming areas. EG below INDIANA, or adjacent near beach level.	5/14/2021 10:36 AM
49	Location is great. 100% the need. but the design seems to tall and imposing..	5/14/2021 9:59 AM
50	Ridiculous. 9 months of the year Cottesloe has no one on the foreshore. 7 metre height unnecessary. Complete waste of money. .	5/14/2021 9:05 AM
51	The design appears to be unnecessarily tall, excessively rectangular and really quite ugly. This combination will make it more expensive than it need be and visually obtrusive.	5/13/2021 7:23 PM
52	No	5/13/2021 6:46 PM
53	Shower facilities in the toilet for end of facility transport	5/13/2021 5:49 PM
54	Would like to see a public toilet block in Cottesloe Village	5/13/2021 3:13 PM
55	The location is NOT opposite Napier Street as indicated - it is closer to Overton gardens than Napier Street.	5/13/2021 12:25 PM
56	should be bigger, more privacy and screened entry	5/13/2021 12:16 PM
57	NO	5/13/2021 11:38 AM
58	The toilet is like a railway water tower and could be less imposing. Maybe it should be opposite the Napier Street parking access. It has no provision for changing. A study needs to be taken on whether Indiana toilet and changeroom could be better sign posted so this would be more useful towards North Cottesloe.	5/13/2021 10:03 AM
59	I like the natural materials being used and the 5 toilets. I don't like the 6.8m height of the toilet facility. It will highlight a toilet and detract from the ocean vista.	5/13/2021 9:45 AM
60	The facility should have minimal visual impact on the foreshore and should be as low profile as possible. Suggesting a 7 metre edifice high ludicrous!! and an eyesore	5/13/2021 9:38 AM
61	There has been no information on cost. Regardless, Town funds would be better served invested in other facilities and services.	5/13/2021 1:13 AM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

62	The design appears to be very tall, very angular. I believe there must be good reason for this but I would prefer a less linear design. I like that it is well lit. We need more evening lighting in this area.	5/12/2021 4:01 PM
63	Love the concept, design & location of the toilet facility which is much needed on Cottesloe foreshore.	5/12/2021 3:37 PM
64	7m height excessive. Reconsider ventilation from solar powered fans with lower roof	5/12/2021 2:09 PM
65	I like the limestone materials used but I don't agree with a toilet block being given prime location with ocean views. It should be set back on the other side of Marine Parade. It is also unnecessarily high - a toilet block doesn't need to be so prominent.	5/12/2021 11:26 AM
66	Hand soap available would be great or some kind of hand sanitising station	5/11/2021 8:16 PM
67	There should be showers & toilets in the refurbished Indian ,so let them rebuild, put a toilet block. Opposite playground on the eastern side of marine parade on the northern side of Napier st in the car park , not the gun bunker as it is a 2 story building. Put a toilet shower opposite princess st ,	5/11/2021 7:02 PM
68	I don't think anything besides further locations should be considered	5/11/2021 2:09 PM
69	This will make Cottesloe a friendlier neighborhood to visit. Currently it feels quite exclusive around the foreshore precinct with many frequenters having to go to restaurants to use toilet facilities. As a swimmer, this would also be fantastic for the Rotto swim and using the beach in general.	5/11/2021 1:02 PM
70	The scale of the design and the intent to make a sculptural form statement is not appropriate for this location.	5/11/2021 11:54 AM
71	Please action ASAP	5/11/2021 10:11 AM
72	Like the suggested materials.The height seems excessive and unnecessary and some form of permeable screening as you exit is desirable eg timber screening. Toilets on Esperance foreshore are a good model.	5/11/2021 9:18 AM
73	The words describing the design have the right intent, but the appearance is terrible, not in keeping with a low profile building. There are many unobtrusive designs around Perth that could be used for inspiration, instead of this 1970s prison block. There is also need for several other toilet blocks along the coast of Cott	5/11/2021 7:12 AM
74	Why is it so high? Is there a functional reason that can't be satisfied another way? If not, it should be minimal profile to avoid distraction from beach	5/10/2021 7:50 PM
75	The policy of no new buildings on the West side of Marine Parade has served the area well. There is no need for a changede	5/10/2021 7:16 PM
76	The foreshore is not the place for a stand alone public toilet. Public toilets should be placed within existing buildings on the foreshore.	5/10/2021 12:02 PM
77	Renovate the existing toilets below Indiana	5/10/2021 9:14 AM
78	I don't understand why the toilet block needs to be two stories high? I also don't understand the need for the small amount of car parking spaces, there is the risk of cars just going in and out trying to find a space. The car parking area could be better utilised.	5/9/2021 6:02 PM
79	I'm opposed to the height of the design.	5/9/2021 12:48 PM
80	The proposed facility needs to be less intrusive. It is too tall. It is an eyesore. It obstructs the sea view. It could be shorter, smaller, functional and unobtrusive. It is not a destination or an attraction. It is a facility. This design really is a joke.	5/9/2021 12:45 PM
81	The location of the proposed toilet block is OK, but the height is too high. The toilet block should be designed to be unobtrusive.	5/9/2021 11:33 AM
82	Need a toilet near the new playground in west side of marine parade.	5/9/2021 10:55 AM
83	The general layout and materials proposed are great, but I do not see the need for the height. Surely it can be 3 to 4m high and therefore less obtrusive. The location is reasonable although I think it would be preferable closer to The entry to Cottesloe main beach.	5/9/2021 10:07 AM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

84	Something that ties in with the landscape like the prevelley toilets.shower	5/9/2021 9:32 AM
85	There are very few public toilets in cottesloe that are next to our outdoor spaces so we do need one but it needs to be designed to either be more attractive so it's an interesting feature or to blend in. This doesn't blend in but is ugly.	5/9/2021 7:52 AM
86	Why does it have to be 7 meters high? Wouldnt one level suffice? Even 2 mens and 2 womens toilets would be an improvement, without feeling too high.	5/9/2021 7:38 AM
87	The holes in the tower are a good idea. Maybe use some glass bricks and have 3/4 gaudy on some holes to push light down and protect from rain getting in	5/9/2021 7:26 AM
88	We definitely need a toilet somewhere in this location for all members of the community. Interested to see-less "high" design concepts.	5/9/2021 6:00 AM
89	The current toilets within Indiana to fix/remedy would solve problem. Additional toilets should be allocated south of Cottesloe. Bottoms of beach street there is plumbing . Toilets can be designed so law inforcement can see peoples feet . Also need a public toilet near Wearne . The smell of urine is overpowering in pathways	5/8/2021 8:00 PM
90	I'm not a local resident but visit Cottesloe almost daily (my wife is in permanent care at Wearne Cottesloe). The proposal is beige, bland and boring, and promises to be an eyesore of the future. You have the perfect inspiration every year from Sculptures by the Sea to build on that reputation and create a stunning sculatural building that is redolent of the colours and shape of the sea and waves. Just Google "sculptural public toilets" for some fabulous images from around the world. Be bold, be creative, be artistic, be sculptural!	5/8/2021 5:05 PM
91	Alternative location on east side of Marine Parade	5/8/2021 3:39 PM
92	It's a high quality design with appropriate materials.	5/8/2021 3:39 PM
93	There is no justification for the proposed height of the toilet As designed it is an eye sore.There is no need to try to make an artistic statement. It should be insignificant and blend in, not built to an obscene height Up to the veranda height is fine. It is a toilet block not some over height sculpture. As designed it is an eye sore.	5/8/2021 2:03 PM
94	public art that is not ubiquitous mural or yet another squiggle of metal ribbon.	5/8/2021 10:53 AM
95	The design looks like no other. What happened to a small and simple ablutions facility? Two stories? Why. You do not provide clarity as to what's inside it???	5/8/2021 9:08 AM
96	Perhaps Cott Council to fix up the current toilets at the base of Indiana's	5/8/2021 8:00 AM
97	A changing place but that would need to be near where there is disabled access to the beach and water.	5/7/2021 10:52 PM
98	This design makes too much of a gesture for what it is. Refer to Tony Brands designs for cite beach toilets. Low amd sculptural strong	5/7/2021 8:34 PM
99	I think the current design is way too tall and imposing. Too dense at that height. Also given the playground there it would be great to have change facilities as well as a smaller child sized toilet.	5/7/2021 8:20 PM
100	A toilet facility south of Indiana	5/7/2021 6:49 PM
101	This is the most hideous proposal for a structure of any kind on the foreshore that I've seen in my 30+ adult years living in Cottesloe. I'm embarrassed to actually pay rates to a council that get's it so wrong. If this is build we will be the laughing stock of the state. Honestly it's a disgraceful idea.	5/7/2021 4:24 PM
102	Perhaps the height of the structure is a too high - seems quite intrusive, but if necessary for functionality, then I support it as designed.	5/7/2021 4:10 PM
103	The height is a little alarming. I approve of the idea of natural ventilation and illumination, but couldn't this be achieved with, say a 2m high roof space, as well as being climb proof?	5/7/2021 4:01 PM
104	Something closer to the beach, lower down so not a complete eyesore. This building is tall enough for a giraffe. I would suggest one male, one female and two for mixed as not all women like communal toilets.	5/7/2021 3:57 PM
105	Move it more north i.e. just beyond where fitness equipment is now and reduce the height a bit	5/7/2021 2:13 PM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

106	Toilet facility are necessary but the proposed location is wrong. Just building an additional facility does not address the problem. That is the maintenance requirements. Indiana's tenants have not fulfilled their obligations in this respect and the council staff have not had the intestinal fortitude to force the issue. Make them do it or terminate their lease!!! I consider the toilet block would be better located on the other side of Marine Tce within the carpark area and this would work OK once the through traffic is reduced as part of the foreshore redesign.	5/7/2021 1:45 PM
107	Is there an (outside) shower facility too - washing sand off etc ?	5/7/2021 12:48 PM
108	No	5/7/2021 11:39 AM
109	The design is beautiful, please don't be swayed by those who don't appreciate design or architecture. Though a few respondents won't like the look, it's clear that it will be a wonderful addition to the unloved area of the foreshore, and will spur on further good design at the beach for everyone's benefit.	5/7/2021 11:28 AM
110	There re toilets in the Indiana Teahouse complex that are in desperate need of an upgrade. It is the duty of council to keep the ratepayers informed of what is proposed for the Indiana site. Upgrade the toilets on this site and don't waste funds on this terrible design. Why is the design SO high?	5/7/2021 11:04 AM
111	Great concept - however lower the height	5/7/2021 10:24 AM
112	Would be great if 2 to 3 outdoor showers could be incorporated on the outside walls of the structure to increase their availability in the general vicinity.	5/7/2021 10:09 AM
113	Community has repeatedly supported policy of no further development west of Marine Pde. Impact on foreshore overpowering. The height is excessive. Cost should go towards Indiana toilets. It is a toilet, not an architectural delight. Sufficient toilets already close by. The footprint is excessive. Ludicrous idea.	5/7/2021 10:09 AM
114	Toilet facilities in Indiana tea house should be up graded or new toilets incorporated into a new building on or near the site.	5/7/2021 10:03 AM
115	Current 'block' does not reflect Indiana Teahouse or 'beach' style. The height is imposing and its ugly. Soft lines and lower would be much better.	5/7/2021 9:44 AM
116	The height of the building doesn't make sense. It blocks the view and looks out of proportion. The Leighton beach toilet block although a lot larger is more sympathetic to the landscape. The compact footprint is great but the height takes away from this.	5/7/2021 9:31 AM
117	there is a clear practical need for a toilet and this is a logical location for the northern end of the main beach which could be complemented by a further facility with the redevelopment of indianas. the design of the building is of high quality striking the appropriate balance of being subtle in the landscape but a clear vertical landmark which is important to its practical role.	5/7/2021 9:31 AM
118	Safety and cleanliness as well as aesthetics are my important parameters for the proposed toilet facility	5/7/2021 8:56 AM
119	The building is too high, too obtrusive and needs showers on the outside.	5/7/2021 8:47 AM
120	The design is far too tall, why does a tort block need to be 7m tall? The design should be single story nigh and not resemble a small block of flats.	5/7/2021 8:45 AM
121	Toilet roof design is far too high. Should not compete with view! Not adjacent to main beach hub. Better placed adjacent to existing structures with showers/change areas ie: Indiana or Main Surf Club. Public toilets could be incorporated into future developments on east side of marine parade.	5/7/2021 8:35 AM
122	No	5/7/2021 8:12 AM
123	Strongly support greater amenities along the foreshore for families and visitors,. Toilets are almost a necessity	5/7/2021 7:47 AM
124	Foreshore BBQ's	5/7/2021 7:46 AM
125	It's a beautiful design of the highest quality. Soft, subtle and contextual. It looks wonderful. Well done. (I have no dog in this fight and I am not associated with the designers or council in any way). It is so important to have more high quality design in our urban and public spaces.	5/7/2021 7:19 AM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility


126	Upgrade the toilets underneath Indiana or on the corner of Napier Street car park not in the middle of the beach	5/7/2021 6:00 AM
127	This double storey toilet block with block half of the few of the beach, consider renovating the current toilets!	5/6/2021 10:56 PM
128	I do not see the need for another toilet block when the existing toilets could be upgraded. If the general public decides another toilet block is needed it should be East of marine parade , low in height and unobtrusive.	5/6/2021 10:33 PM
129	7 metres high. Is that absolutely necessary? It's a frigging toilet!	5/6/2021 9:55 PM
130	The toilets need to be moved further north to be associated with the proposed expanded Carpark 2 and the design needs to be adjusted to a building fitting the landscape rather than a tall structure.	5/6/2021 8:44 PM
131	I don't understand why the design is so high- it seems to have a much bigger impact on the landscape than is necessary due to its height.	5/6/2021 8:25 PM
132	Simply spend the money on making good the integration of toilets in the Indiana complex, ie close to the BEACH. Make it easy for people to do what they need to do and a low profile building too, please!	5/6/2021 8:05 PM
133	The height of the building is excessive! It is only a single storey, keep it in proportion and what the community fights for. Light and ventilation can still be provided with reduced height.	5/6/2021 7:41 PM
134	It's an absolute eye-sore. Why is it sooo high? Can't you just upgrade the current facilities in the Indiana and Barchetta, why are well used and AWFUL	5/6/2021 7:38 PM
135	I think before council put in a random new toilet they should upgrade the absolutely terrible current toilet facilities at both Barchetta location and Indiana tea rooms. The current situation where the commercial above is responsible needs to end. Council need to upgrade and clean the current toilet facilities. They are an extremely poor representation of our iconic beach suburb. I pay rates and would like to know that my rates pay for the toilets not the commercial tenants who get cheap leases in return for cleaning the public toilet facilities. They do not clean them sufficiently.	5/6/2021 7:25 PM
136	Just please do it. The foreshore needs so much done. A loo is a good start.	5/6/2021 7:19 PM
137	I feel it does need to be close to the playground but needs to not look quite as obvious	5/6/2021 7:16 PM
138	Will this impact on the handprints along the edge of the cycle path which hold great sentiment for people and paid by people in good faith that they would be displayed prominently in a central location	5/6/2021 7:15 PM
139	I think a new toilet is needed regardless of location and design.	5/6/2021 7:00 PM
140	Must be a discrete, low building - maybe built partly into cliff (entry below level of path/playground)	5/6/2021 6:54 PM
141	Keeping them discreet and in keeping.	5/6/2021 6:52 PM
142	The proposed design is too high and it should sunk into landscape and made less obvious with soft sand coloured concrete with wave like walls to fit in with coast, or just upgrade Indiana toilets	5/6/2021 6:36 PM
143	The height seems excessive despite the reasons given. If new toilets are needed they should be away from the beachfront.	5/6/2021 6:33 PM
144	Why would we highlight, by design, a toilet. Why wouldn't any proposed solution be tabled as part of a comprehensive development presentation? Why wouldn't you first see what the Indiana redesign can accommodate?	5/6/2021 6:15 PM
145	There are toilet facilities under Barchetta and under the Indiana, why build an ugly concrete blob in between them???	5/6/2021 6:14 PM
146	Why is the toilet so high? Seems ridiculous. I like the materials but please make it lower and less of a focus. There are much smaller toilet examples all up and down the coast including at Lehman and in Exmouth. Limestone is	5/6/2021 5:44 PM
147	Separate male toilet with Urinals. Mixed public toilet get covered in urine from men 'missing the	5/6/2021 5:41 PM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

	bowl...	
148	It is a well considered design.	5/6/2021 5:41 PM
149	Important to have toilets located near the play facilities - NOT across the road. Design looks effective - dont want a low flat roof building that will look like a toilet.	5/6/2021 4:42 PM
150	Whatever is designed needs to blend in. This appears to stick out like a box n the middle of nowhere	5/6/2021 4:07 PM
151	The proposed WC block unnecessarily draws attention to itself througih its form and height which are not in keeping with what is expected. There is potential for the WC block to be more organic and perhaps partly buried in the landscape as though it was a dune. Alternatively it could be accessed from the lower level (sea side) and thereby burying it into the change of ground level. The scheme is not sympathetic to the precinct.	5/6/2021 4:04 PM
152	More toilets are needed along south Cottesloe as well, between Sydney St and Vlamigh Memorial.	5/6/2021 3:49 PM
153	Ensure decent toilet facilities are provided as part of Indiana redevelopment. No new structures, and especially not 7m in height	5/6/2021 2:16 PM
154	Put the toilet block on the other side of the road so no view is blocked. Place it next to the car park on eastern side of the road	5/6/2021 2:02 PM
155	We need toilet facilities wherever people gather in Cottesloe: South, North, Cott Main, Town Centre and Jasper Green. Public conveniences need to be convenient when nature calls. Contemporary design that makes a statement is good, but there are many ways to do this and I'm not convinced a tower is the right direction to go in this location.	5/6/2021 1:30 PM
156	It looks awful.	5/6/2021 12:34 PM
157	Leaving it how it is	5/6/2021 12:26 PM
158	So fucking ugly	5/6/2021 12:26 PM
159	This is an eyesore of a design and a blot on the beautiful promenade the council has worked on for years. Please please do not go ahead with this - just awful!!!on	5/6/2021 12:17 PM
160	Public toilets should perhaps be integrated into the Indiana development	5/6/2021 10:13 AM
161	It may be "effecient" but it an eyesore, it looks like a bunker/piill-box, there is no asthetic	5/6/2021 9:26 AM
162	200m south to Indiana toilets 200m north to Barchetta toilets 200m east to Civic Centre toilets. Is Cottesloe to become Toilet beach? Loos every 200m along its beaches (Grant?, Dog beach?, Sth Cost?). The height is ridiculous and wasted space or is there a secret plan B for an upper floor??	5/6/2021 9:16 AM
163	Existing Indiana location toilets are suitable - perhaps upgrade them but no carpark toilet please	5/6/2021 7:36 AM
164	Its only single storey so it doesn't need to be 7m high. It looks out of proportion.	5/6/2021 6:54 AM
165	Suggest looking at other unobtrusive toilet blocks - eg. Mooloolaba. Viewing platform from roof, built form not visible due to embankments and landscaping	5/6/2021 5:53 AM
166	A flatter design and less industrial looking plus maybe off to the side so it's not an eye sore	5/5/2021 10:17 PM
167	The design is contemporary and utilising materials that are in keeping with the surrounding environment and will be a welcome addition to the foreshore. It will also blend into the surrounding environment	5/5/2021 8:55 PM
168	Refurbishment of Indiana's toilet facility	5/5/2021 8:47 PM

### Q9 Thinking outside the Foreshore Precinct, do you feel there is a need for more public toilet facilities in Cottesloe?

Answered: 185 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	52.97%	98
No	47.03%	87
TOTAL		185

## Q10 If you believe there is a need for more public toilet facilities, please tell us where? (40 words or less).

Answered: 128 Skipped: 57

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Give the Indiana toilets a makeover	5/19/2021 3:47 PM
2	Cottesloe village area including public transport nodes.	5/19/2021 3:31 PM
3	South Cottesloe	5/19/2021 2:14 PM
4	South Cottesloe in vicinity of Dutch Inn groyne. Subtle, below the dune line, one-2 unisex stalls only.III	5/19/2021 11:44 AM
5	South Cottesloe	5/19/2021 9:50 AM
6	Cottesloe village is desperate for a public toilet facility. Shop holders are asked on a daily basis if there is a public toilet in the area.	5/19/2021 6:48 AM
7	Locate facilities in or adjacent to the Indiana development and limit more building on/paving of the foreshore.	5/18/2021 10:40 AM
8	New playground area adjacent to Dutchies.	5/18/2021 9:10 AM
9	BAck down in the main indiana area so the 2 blocks can canvas the whole area	5/17/2021 7:35 PM
10	South Cott, Cott main (please do something to make Indiana's hygienic and safe!) and South Cott.	5/17/2021 5:30 PM
11	Wait for the no.2 car park development and put them there or put them there now. That not now is an indicator of development at no. 2.	5/17/2021 3:00 PM
12	Cottesloe village. Perhaps the train station side of Station St. Also, South Cott - perhaps near the proposed playground area at the bottom of Prince Street.	5/17/2021 3:00 PM
13	Grant St Marine Park	5/17/2021 2:12 PM
14	Near dog beach and the playground with the surfer sculpture. Ansolutely no facilities,. Needs gazebos and toilets	5/17/2021 1:48 PM
15	Not in the carpar!!!! near Indiana tea rooms somewhere	5/17/2021 1:27 PM
16	Underneath Indiana's or the car park on the other side of the road (near Beaches cafe) or in the north west corner of the golf course where the advertising sign is. The location should not diminish the view and ambiance of Cottesloe foreshore.	5/17/2021 12:38 PM
17	South Cottesloe, near Vlamingh Memorial playground, or Dutch Inn Groyne playground	5/17/2021 12:15 PM
18	Implement a design the same as the ones at Leighton beach nth free	5/17/2021 12:10 PM
19	South Cottelsoe	5/17/2021 11:35 AM
20	South Cottesloe	5/17/2021 11:23 AM
21	South cott	5/17/2021 11:16 AM
22	It would probably make more sense to have one under the NSLSC/barchetta rather than 200m(!) from the existing ones!	5/17/2021 10:56 AM
23	Cottesloe Village. Currently the Albion and other businesses are defacto community bathrooms.	5/17/2021 10:26 AM
24	See my earlier answer about a new toilet half way down the escarpment at the Cove's new steps	5/16/2021 6:27 PM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

25	Revamp under Barchetta	5/16/2021 6:17 PM
26	Grant Marine Park,	5/16/2021 3:55 PM
27	At grant marine park also	5/16/2021 3:52 PM
28	Use what we have. Two surf clubs, public should have access to them without membership.	5/16/2021 3:12 PM
29	North Cottesloe - Surfclub toilets should be accessible to beach visitors	5/16/2021 12:42 PM
30	South Cottesloe - it is very disappointing that there are no public toilet facilities south of the Cottesloe SLSC.	5/16/2021 12:10 PM
31	With Cottesloe, near the Princes St or Salvado St	5/16/2021 10:21 AM
32	At Beach Street and south of the main beach.	5/15/2021 12:43 PM
33	Napoleon St shopping precinct? Are there any there?	5/14/2021 11:17 PM
34	proposed site it good, please create a more discrete design that integrates with the landscape	5/14/2021 9:25 PM
35	East of Marine Parade. Hard to suggest when Car Park 2 and the Recreational Precinct developments are out of public knowledge. Cottesloe village centre. Invest in Indiana facilities the real beach users.	5/14/2021 12:14 PM
36	As stated previously we need to make Cottesloe a more desirable and convenient place to visit, so we need toilet facilities at Cottesloe Village, we can't expect people to wait cross Stirling hwy, in emergencies.	5/14/2021 11:01 AM
37	Do not DESTROY easy beach access by removing majority of CAR PARK #1 it provides a vital public access for ALL Abilities & ages . It contributes to MENTAL HEALTH , allowing beach views & access in all weathers	5/14/2021 10:36 AM
38	South Cott somewhere, perhaps Dutch Inn, and Grant Marine area. Though I'd spend money on a skatepark first!	5/14/2021 9:59 AM
39	Indiana.	5/14/2021 9:05 AM
40	Napoleon St shopping precinct, grant marine park, Jasper green	5/13/2021 10:01 PM
41	NA	5/13/2021 6:46 PM
42	End of facility showers/toilets @Station St/Napoleon St/ the Boatshed	5/13/2021 5:49 PM
43	Somewhere in the vicinity of Napoleon Street/Station Street as there are currently no public toilets in the area other than at Cottesloe Central	5/13/2021 3:13 PM
44	Cottesloe Village is in desperate need, probably at station street carpark near the train station.	5/13/2021 12:16 PM
45	Cottesloe Village - Napoleon Street/Station Street	5/13/2021 12:01 PM
46	Cottesloe Village	5/13/2021 11:38 AM
47	There needs to be a toilet/changeroom between Isolated and the Cove for the multitude of surfers and dog walkers.	5/13/2021 10:03 AM
48	Somewhere near Napoleon shops and the station.	5/13/2021 9:38 AM
49	Opposite within Car Park no.2. Toilets should not enjoy a view.	5/13/2021 1:13 AM
50	Station Street	5/12/2021 4:01 PM
51	With the current proposed toilet facility I think that should be enough for public use.	5/12/2021 3:37 PM
52	vlamingh memorial. There is no toilet between Leighton beach and Indiana.	5/12/2021 2:09 PM
53	Because the Cottesloe beaches are for the West Australian public not the exclusive use of Cottesloe residents who can go home to use their toilet facilities.	5/12/2021 9:38 AM
54	Grant Marine Park, a lot of children frequent this park and the beach area at the grant st stairs. It would be great to have toilets in this area	5/11/2021 8:16 PM
55	Indiana , eastern side of marine parade northern side of Napier st in new car park , opposite princess st with showers & toilets	5/11/2021 7:02 PM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

56	I think there is a need for a public toilet area near the large car park across from the playground	5/11/2021 2:09 PM
57	As above - currently there are not many options for people if they are not members of the local surf life saving clubs. I'd like to see Cottesloe open up to be a friendlier, inclusive area for all.	5/11/2021 1:02 PM
58	Improved and centralised facilities as part of the redevelopment of the Indiana Tea Rooms.	5/11/2021 11:54 AM
59	South Cottesloe - Dutch Inn	5/11/2021 9:18 AM
60	Near the new nature playground at the Curtin Ave roundabout, and near the Grant Street playground, near the Napoleon St shops/train station	5/11/2021 7:12 AM
61	South Cott near princes St playground; Jasper Green	5/10/2021 7:50 PM
62	What a question. Why are you confusing the issue?	5/10/2021 7:16 PM
63	The toilets at Indiana's need to be upgraded and perhaps some located between the main strip and Leighton would be useful too.	5/10/2021 1:11 PM
64	South Cottesloe, between Isolated and Dutch Inn	5/10/2021 9:14 AM
65	More along the beachfront.	5/9/2021 2:36 PM
66	South of the sun dial structure.	5/9/2021 12:48 PM
67	the proposed location is fine	5/9/2021 11:33 AM
68	South cott near Dutch inn playground	5/9/2021 10:55 AM
69	Still foreshore, but toward the southern end of of marine parade (bottom of black or princess streets).	5/9/2021 10:07 AM
70	Na	5/9/2021 9:32 AM
71	Jasper green, grant marine park. Basically thing about everyone people take small children !!	5/9/2021 7:52 AM
72	Perhaps further south - behind the surf club perhaps? instead of near the Indiana's public toilets?	5/9/2021 7:38 AM
73	Just tidy up north cott facilities and more light	5/9/2021 7:26 AM
74	Near Grant Marine park, At Dutch Inn park	5/9/2021 7:25 AM
75	Harvey Field - publicly accessible.	5/9/2021 6:00 AM
76	South of Cottesloe groin near wearne	5/8/2021 8:00 PM
77	South Cottesloe	5/8/2021 5:05 PM
78	East side of Marine Pde near car parks	5/8/2021 3:39 PM
79	South of the Cottesloe SLSC for the surfers.	5/8/2021 2:03 PM
80	1) cove and groin area 2) Indiana area 3) peters pool area 4) north Cott area	5/8/2021 9:08 AM
81	At the base of Indiana Tea Rooms and in that general precinct	5/8/2021 8:00 AM
82	We over provide for toilets generally as we do for parking	5/7/2021 8:34 PM
83	Don't frequent other places beyond civic centre and Napoleon shops.	5/7/2021 8:20 PM
84	Between Indiana and Leighton Beach	5/7/2021 6:49 PM
85	There are plenty of shops and business nearby with toilets, and of course the ocean which our forfathers have been using for the las 100+ years.	5/7/2021 4:24 PM
86	Only in highly visible public spaces	5/7/2021 4:10 PM
87	Lower down so as not to spoil anyone's view or on the corner of the golf course. Not that tall unnecessary and unsightly.	5/7/2021 3:57 PM
88	na	5/7/2021 1:45 PM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

89	Cottesloe Oval (Harvey Field). Both clubs there lock their toilets unless games on!	5/7/2021 11:39 AM
90	Indiana site NOT proposed site	5/7/2021 11:04 AM
91	N/a	5/7/2021 10:03 AM
92	Adjacent to surf club where many people picnic and close for surfers on south of groyne to use. Also need toilets at South Cott groyne near playground for kite surfers, families and dog walkers.	5/7/2021 9:44 AM
93	Jasper Green and Grant St playground	5/7/2021 9:31 AM
94	one more at indianas. the beach is the only major public gathering place that needs facilities beyond those in existing clubs and premises.	5/7/2021 9:31 AM
95	Absolutely no	5/7/2021 8:56 AM
96	South Cottesloe	5/7/2021 8:47 AM
97	South cottesloe beaches have no cafes or infrastructure yet are popular areas to swim and explore. Towards the dog beach and Mosman Park beach	5/7/2021 8:45 AM
98	At existing sites. Main surf club to south and beside Indiana. Also, within any new developments on east of Marine Parade.	5/7/2021 8:35 AM
99	The open space near Napoleon St needs work	5/7/2021 8:12 AM
100	Improved facilities at Harvey Oval	5/7/2021 7:47 AM
101	Between Forrest St & South Leighton	5/7/2021 7:46 AM
102	Jasper green or the grant st station area	5/7/2021 6:49 AM
103	redevelop the toilets in the indiana tea house, or toilets near the car park of napier street	5/6/2021 10:56 PM
104	Surfers break at the southern groin	5/6/2021 9:55 PM
105	In the town centre.	5/6/2021 8:44 PM
106	In the car parking areas- e.g. John Dune. Could we have better signage to those public toilets we already have eg on Napier adjacent to the Civic Centre and at Swanbourne. There should be some close to the new skate park on the east side of Marine Parade.	5/6/2021 8:25 PM
107	the current toilets would suffice but are dirty, run down and poorly managed	5/6/2021 7:38 PM
108	Not more but better current facilities. Use the money for the new ones upgrading the existing ones please.	5/6/2021 7:25 PM
109	Near Napoleon St	5/6/2021 7:16 PM
110	Marine Pde half way between Indiana toilets and end of Cottesloe beach (current toilets either Indiana or Leighton Surf Club)	5/6/2021 6:54 PM
111	The proposed location would be OK if you really insist on building more facilities, so long as they are not so high, sink into landscape	5/6/2021 6:36 PM
112	On the east side of marine parade across from the proposed development.	5/6/2021 6:33 PM
113	Incorporate them in the surf clubs and the proposed Indiana redevelopment. Not in the middle of areas of undeveloped grass!	5/6/2021 6:15 PM
114	There is no need	5/6/2021 6:14 PM
115	On Marine Parade at the base of Pearce Street.	5/6/2021 5:42 PM
116	No more required	5/6/2021 5:41 PM
117	Cott Village, Dutch Inn Playground area, Grant Marine Park	5/6/2021 5:41 PM
118	South Cottesloe - near the new Dutch Inn playground.	5/6/2021 4:42 PM
119	The foreshore is quite long so could do with toilets/ shower/ change facilities at other places as well	5/6/2021 4:07 PM

## Proposed Foreshore Precinct Toilet Facility

120	Not in such conspicuous locations where it would interfere with outlook and the natural environment. Toilets would be better located to the eastern side of Marine Parade.	5/6/2021 4:04 PM
121	As above, between Sydney Street and Vlamigh Memorial.	5/6/2021 3:49 PM
122	Away from the beach front open spaces.	5/6/2021 2:02 PM
123	Additional toilets are required at 1) Dutch Inn, 2)Jasper Green Reserve, 3) opposite Vera View Parade or at Grant Marine Park, and in the 4) Town Centre corner Station and Railway.	5/6/2021 1:30 PM
124	Allen park - used to be public but now it's locked with a key card	5/6/2021 12:26 PM
125	Anywhere but on the front part of the coastline!!!!!!	5/6/2021 12:17 PM
126	South towards Pearse St	5/6/2021 9:26 AM
127	Next to the train station	5/6/2021 9:16 AM
128	No point building more since you don't adequately maintain the present ones	5/6/2021 6:54 AM



Town of Cottesloe

# **PROPOSED FORESHORE PRECINCT TOILET FACILITY**

Consultation Period – 5 May 2021 TO 19 May 2021

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

### **Email Submissions**

Foreshore Toilet Facility – 5 May to 19 May 2021 –Resident Feedback	Respondent
<p>I have just attempted to submit survey answers re the public toilet proposal.</p> <p>I made several comments but was not convinced they were recorded since as I typed, they disappeared into the ether and I couldn't check them. I share this computer with my husband who has previously filled the survey, so am unsure as to whether a second submission was accepted.</p> <p>I repeat them here, so please consider them as my submission.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This is a proposal for a <u>public toilet</u> and is being proclaimed as an architecturally-designed structure.</li> <li>• It contravenes long-established policy, repeatedly supported by the community, for no further development west of Marine Pde.</li> <li>• The impact of this ugly block-building on the foreshore is unacceptable.</li> <li>• The 6.8m height is excessive.</li> <li>• The 72.171m2 footprint is excessive.</li> <li>• The cost is excessive for the community, especially as it is advertised for 'visitors'.</li> <li>• Expenditure on consultants and architects was unnecessary and the \$240,000 should immediately go towards fixing the Indiana public toilets permanently.</li> <li>• There are already three public toilet facilities within close proximity (200m) of this proposal.</li> </ul> <p>Ms Young's public comments that the beach policy was 'decided in 2004 by 10 male councillors' is nonsense. For decades, council policy has been - no further development west of Marine Pde. The community has been consulted regularly over the time and has overwhelmingly supported the policy. As to it being decided by males, the council of 2004 and those right up until recently, represented community views not their own, so policies were dictated by the residents and changes were not made without authentic consultation.</p> <p>Ms Barrett's public assertion that the council needs to 'get on with the foreshore plans of 30 years' is also nonsense. The community has always wanted the foreshore to retain its unique, natural character; there were no such plans.</p> <p>FYI - A deputy mayor becomes the presiding member when the mayor takes temporary leave from his position. An acting mayor assumes the role when the mayor has resigned, until an election is held - eg. Fremantle and Nedlands currently.</p>	<p>R D Walsh Cottesloe 7 May 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/21254</p>

Foreshore Toilet Facility – 5 May to 19 May 2021 –Resident Feedback	Respondent
<p>I wish to register my disappointment and concern over the Council’s plan to erect an enormous high ugly toilet structure on the foreshore of our beautiful beach.</p> <p>While I acknowledge that toilets are essential in a public recreation area, this tower is the wrong design on the wrong site.</p>	<p>A. Rigg Location Unknown 16 May 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/22917</p>



Town of Cottesloe

# **PROPOSED FORESHORE PRECINCT TOILET FACILITY**

Consultation Period – 5 May 2021 TO 19 May 2021

## **ATTACHMENT 3**

**Written Submission – Allerding and Associates**



Town Planners, Advocates and Subdivision Designers

ABN 24 044 036 646

19 May 2021

Our Ref: HAR 118 GE

Chief Executive Officer  
Town of Cottesloe  
PO Box 606  
Cottesloe WA 6011

Dear Sir/Madam

**RE: SUBMISSION: PROPOSED NEW TOILET FACILITY FOR COTTESLOE FORESHORE**

We represent the landowners of Lot 3 (No. 118) Marine Parade, Cottesloe in making this submission on the proposed toilet block as depicted in the Cottesloe Foreshore Masterplan (**CFMP**).

The following summarises our concerns and identifies deficiencies with the proposal when considered against the Town's Local Planning Policy: Beach Policy (**LPPB**).

#### Executive Summary

This submission raises our concerns in relation to the design and location of the toilet block. Our submission is that the toilet block is poorly located, incongruent with development in the surrounding area and unjustly affects the amenity and outlook from 118, 120 and 122 Marine Parade, Cottesloe which represents the northern component of the City's recognised Mixed Use Redevelopment Area (**Redevelopment Area**). It is also contrary to the Town's longstanding LPPB which prevents the erection of new buildings within the Cottesloe Foreshore Area.

The proposed location of the toilet block opposite the City's recognised Redevelopment Area (which will mostly comprise their own amenities) is not justifiable where there are more preferable locations along the foreshore, that do not affect the Redevelopment Area or where it can be consolidated with existing amenities and built-up areas. Consequently, the proposal is not supported and should be rejected.

#### Evaluation of Proposal Height and Location

The proposed toilet block is proposed at a height of seven metres or the equivalent of more than two stories in height. At a height of seven metres the development proposal will introduce a new, significant and incompatible development form in an otherwise undeveloped section of the Cottesloe Foreshore area. The isolated structure will negatively affect the appearance and views of the Redevelopment Area and the perspective of travellers who use Marine Parade travelling north and south. The practicality of a single level toilet block needing to be seven metres in height is without justification and does not pay respect to the historically open and low key foreshore area. This will discourage redevelopment opportunities along the identified Redevelopment Area as it takes away from a high value visual asset.

The position of the toilet block is already close to existing amenities at the Indiana Teahouse building. Any new toilet facilities ought to be consolidated with existing facilities at the Indiana Teahouse or located where it will more effectively benefit users between Cottesloe and North Cottesloe Beach. The removal of essential car parking bays that are required for small businesses and central beach access is also not supported. By consolidating improved toilet facilities within existing amenities or relocating the toilets further north, the distribution of public toilets would be more proportionate to the current public accessible toilet facilities without prejudicing the Redevelopment Area.

#### Local Planning Policy: Beach Policy (LPPB).

The LPPB addresses conventional issues that occur from a growing metropolitan population on limited and delicate foreshore. Ultimately, the policy aims to achieve this through managing increasing social and environmental pressures on the area through sustainable and orderly planning. The policy acknowledges the importance of engaging in stakeholder liaison with local residents and acknowledging their sentiments towards local development as stated by Primary Objective 5.1 (c):

*“To ensure that primary consideration against which all uses are measured is the public interest, particularly for residents of Cottesloe, and safety having regard to environmental parameters and limits of capacity of the beach reserve. In this context, the beach reserves are to be administered in the interest of residents of Cottesloe, the people of Western Australia and visitors to the metropolitan region.”*

This is further reiterated by Secondary Objectives 5.2 (c):

*To provide a level of essential amenity on the beach reserves which meets the expectations of residents of Cottesloe, the people of Western Australia and visitors to the metropolitan region.*

In this instance, properties located along Marine Parade have had the assurance by Council through the introduction of LPPB in 2004. Element 7.2 Building Control aims to mitigate against the effect of coastal redevelopment, where it states:

*“The policy of the Town of Cottesloe shall be to limit the construction of any enclosed and roofed structures west of Marine Parade to replacement only without significant expansion of the footprint, height or mass of the structure. (emphasis added)*

*Any replacement program will only be considered following a public review of the need for the proposed facilities. Each proposal will be examined individually on its merits. Such a review will incorporate need, environmental and social impact, long term maintenance requirements and construction standards. It is acknowledged that existing buildings may require relocation in the light of such review.”*

Therefore, it is apparent that the proposal is at odds with the Town’s own longstanding and consistently applied policy, that forms part of the amenity expectations for residents and users on the local area.

#### Recommendation of Alternative Proposal

A review of the Cottesloe Foreshore area and the CFMP has been conducted to provide feedback on the proposed location and suggest possible alternative sites. We believe that repositioning the proposal 115m north of its current proposed location will be ameliorative, as it will not prejudice the Redevelopment Area and will still provide additional public toilets adjacent to the formalised play areas, beach access and the main car park on No. 2 Napier Street. This repositioning would put the proposal west of Marine Parade and Lot 39 and equidistant between Cottesloe and North Cottesloe in an area experiencing high levels of pedestrian traffic associated with the carpark and users of the playground facility. (See Figure 1). For ease of reference, we shall refer to the recommended repositioning of the proposal as “Location B”.

Surrounding Land Use and Amenity


Relocating the proposal to Location B will situate it directly west of the large public car park that is bounded by Napier Street and Bryan Way. Additionally, this council-owned carpark is proposed to be further expanded under the CFMP. Under the plan, the lot will still maintain its complete frontage to the section of Marine Parade between Napier Street and Bryan Way.

This allows the development to integrate into the foreshore reserve without significantly impacting outlooks from residents further north or south of Lot 39. Additionally, the recreational facilities proposed under the CFMP will still be in the immediate vicinity of the relocated toilet block and equidistant between facilities between North Cottesloe and Cottesloe.

Distribution and Practicality

In conjunction with the benefits suggested in 3.1, relocation of the proposal to Location B facilitates a logical distribution of public facilities. The following distance measurements are estimates and have been established through the Town’s geographic information systems.

Currently, the Marine Parade foreshore has two publicly accessible toilets being located at Indiana Teahouse and below the Barchetta restaurant. The distance between these two facilities is approximately 667m which represents an estimated 10-minute walking distance. Analytically it would be ideal to have a toilet facility within the centre of both the mentioned facilities. Currently, the proposal is almost one third (32.3%) from the Indiana Teahouse, whereas Location B is halfway (49.4%) between the two facilities (Figure 2) and located where it will benefit from the carpark, playgrounds and beach access.



**Figure 1: Current Proposal Compared to Alternative Toilet Block Location**



**Figure 2: Approximate Distance of Toilet Blocks to Indiana Teahouse.**

### Conclusion

The current location and size of the proposal should be reassessed to better compliment the Cottesloe foreshore area and not jeopardise the surrounding properties or the amenity of users. This could simply be achieved by consolidating facilities at the Indiana Teahouse or relocating the proposed toilets further north of its current proposed location to be adjacent to the public carpark at Lot 39. This will ensure more carparking bays will be able to be retained, the amenity of the foreshore will not be compromised and access to public toilets will be distributed more proportionately. This represents a sensible relocation that would adhere to provisions set out in the Towns LPPB. It will also ensure opportunities to encourage the redevelopment of the Mixed Use Area are not prejudiced.

Should you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Yours sincerely

**ALLERDING AND ASSOCIATES**




**Casey Hill**

**TOWN PLANNER**


CC: Client

# Public Toilet Facility




# Public Toilet Facility

## Facility Layout



# Public Toilet Facility

Height comparison





# Public Toilet Facility

Height comparison




# Public Toilet Facility

Lantern Studies



# Public Toilet Facility

## Material Studies



C Natural Limestone Chamber / Lantern



B Concrete canopy



A Ivory Face Brick verandah floor and



# Public Toilet Facility



Site Section



# Public Toilet Facility



Promenade Section



# Public Toilet Facility

---



Aerial View Looking North West

# Public Toilet Facility

---



View from Marine Parade

# Public Toilet Facility

---



View from Promenade looking south

# Public Toilet Facility

---



# Public Toilet Facility

---



View from Promenade looking north

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Rachel Cranny](#)  
**Cc:** [Shaun Kan](#)  
**Subject:** HPRM: Comment from Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage on Cottesloe Foreshore Redevelopment Project  
**Date:** Thursday, 20 May 2021 12:10:40 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

---

Dear Shaun

Thanks for taking my call just now.

Please find below the Department's reply from earlier today, modified slightly to include reference to the wider redevelopment project, and not just the toilets.

Regards

Will Schaefer

Dear Rachel

Thank you for offering the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage the opportunity to comment on the proposed Cottesloe foreshore redevelopment project, inclusive of public toilets

Our assessment is as follows:

- The redevelopment project and public toilets are consistent with the purpose of the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) Parks and Recreation reserve applicable to the land;
- The redevelopment project and public toilets meet the definition of public works provided under Part 1 section 2 clauses (l) and (zb) of the *Public Works Act 1902*, with the foreshore redevelopment being "parks or gardens or grounds for public recreation or places for bathing" (l), and the public toilets being "works incidental" (zb) to (l);
- The Town of Cottesloe is entitled to a public works exemption under section 6 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* in respect of the MRS;
- The foreshore redevelopment project and the design and materials proposed for the public toilets reflect due regard for the orderly and proper planning and the preservation of the amenity of that locality;
- The proposal has been appropriately advertised by the Town of Cottesloe to the public; and
- The Town of Cottesloe has consulted appropriately with the WAPC over the proposed public works, as per the requirement specified under subsection 6 (2) of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*.

We therefore confirm under section 6 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* that the proposed foreshore redevelopment and public toilets are exempt from the requirement for formal planning approval under the Metropolitan Region Scheme

Further to our comment, may we suggest unconditionally that the large Unisex toilet at the centre of the toilet block be fitted out according to best-practice Changing Places specifications, which are available here: [Changing Places - Campaign for accessible public toilets](#)

Doing so would be of considerable benefit to the Town. These benefits include positive media opportunities, the satisfaction of providing the first-class facilities for people with disabilities, and high-profile formal accreditation as a Changing Place that can be used to brand the foreshore/Town

Kind regards

**Will Schaefer** | Senior Planning Officer | Land Use Planning



The Department is responsible for planning and managing land and heritage for all Western Australians – now and into the future

*The Department acknowledges the Aboriginal peoples of Western Australia as the traditional custodians of this land and we pay our respects to their Elders past and present.*

*Disclaimer: this email and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this material is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this email, then delete both emails from your system.*

---

**From:** Rachel Cranny [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 17 May 2021 3:07 PM  
**To:** DPI Referrals [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Shaun Kan <des@cottesloe.wa.gov.au>  
**Subject:** Cottesloe Foreshore Redevelopment Project

Dear Sir/ Madam,

Please find attached letter seeking feedback from the Western Australian Planning Commission on the proposed Cottesloe foreshore toilet facility. Also attached is a copy of the resolution from 23 March Council meeting in relation to this matter.

If you have any further question then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards

Rachel

**Rachel Cranny**  
Executive Services Officer



**Town of Cottesloe**  
 109 Broome Street | Cottesloe WA 6011  
 PO Box 606 | Cottesloe WA 6911  
 Phone (08) 9285 5000  
 Email [es01@cottesloe.wa.gov.au](mailto:es01@cottesloe.wa.gov.au)  
 Web [www.cottesloe.wa.gov.au](http://www.cottesloe.wa.gov.au)

Find us on 

*Town of Cottesloe acknowledges the Whadjuk Nyoongar people as the traditional custodians of the lands and waters where the Town is situated. We pay our respects to their Elders past present and emerging.*

**Let's keep WA safe**

  
**PHYSICAL DISTANCE**

  
**WASH HANDS**

  
**STAY HOME IF SICK & GET TESTED**

  
**GET THE SAFEWA APP**



**NATIONAL 17-23 MAY 2021**  
**VOLUNTEER WEEK**  
RECOGNISE. RECONNECT. REIMAGINE.

  
Empower Results\*

National Volunteer Week 2021  
 Exclusive National Sponsor



This electronic mail message is intended only for the addressee and may contain confidential information. If you are not the addressee you are notified that any transmission, distribution or photocopying of this email is strictly prohibited. The confidentiality attached to this email is not waived, lost or destroyed by reasons of a mistaken delivery to you. If you have received this email in error please notify or reply to the sender immediately.

**Please consider the environment before printing this email**

*This email and any attachments to it are also subject to copyright and any unauthorised reproduction, adaptation or transmission is prohibited. There is no warranty that this email is error or virus free.*

*This notice should not be removed.*