

TOWN OF COTTESLOE



FULL COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL
HELD IN THE
Council Chambers, Cottesloe Civic Centre
109 Broome Street, Cottesloe
7.00 PM, Monday, 22 November, 2010

24 November 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE NO
1	DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS.....	1
2	RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED).....	1
3	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE.....	1
4	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME.....	3
5	PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME.....	3
6	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	4
7	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING.....	4
8	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION.....	4
8.1	SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 12.1 – MEMBERS TO RISE.....	4
9	PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS.....	5
10	REPORTS OF OFFICERS.....	7
11	REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.....	7
11.1	DEVELOPMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES - 15 NOVEMBER 2010.....	7
11.1.1	NO. 1 GIBNEY STREET, COTTESLOE (WEARNE HOSTEL) – PROPOSED ADDITIONAL MINOR OFFICE USE IN UNDECROFT OF HERITAGE BUILDING.....	7
11.1.2	MULTI UNIT HOUSING CODE FINALISED – FOR COUNCIL’S INFORMATION.....	13
11.1.3	BRIEFING ON DIRECTIONS 2031 REGIONAL PLANNING STRATEGIES.....	16
11.2	WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES - 16 NOVEMBER 2010.....	30
11.2.1	REVIEW OF DIFFERENTIAL RATING POLICY.....	30

11.2.2	REVIEW OF RESERVE ACCOUNT POLICY	32
11.2.3	REVIEW OF SELF SUPPORTING LOANS POLICY	35
11.2.4	MEETING DATES 2011	37
11.2.5	EXCAVATION ON DEANE STREET ROAD VERGE	42
11.2.6	POLICY REVIEW - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	44
11.2.7	REQUEST FOR NEW FOOTPATH, CHARLES STREET, COTTESLOE	46
11.2.8	STATUTORY FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2010	49
11.2.9	SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS & LOANS FOR OCTOBER 2010	51
11.2.10	ACCOUNTS PAID FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2010	53
11.2.11	PROPERTY & SUNDRY DEBTORS REPORT FOR OCTOBER 2010	55
11.2.12	BLACK SPOT TREATMENT – MANN/GRANT ST	57
11.2.13	WESTERN POWER/PUBLIC TRANSPORT AUTHORITY – TREE REMOVAL	57
11.3	STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES - 17 NOVEMBER 2010.....	59
11.3.1	TOWN OF COTTESLOE - ACTION PLAN REVIEW	59
11.3.2	STANDING COMMITTEE ROLES POLICY UPDATE	64
12	ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN.....	66
12.1	RESCISSION OF MOTION	66
12.2	NOTICE OF MOTION – REVIEW OF DOGS LOCAL LAW.....	66
13	NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY ELECTED MEMBERS/OFFICERS BY DECISION OF MEETING	68
14	MEETING CLOSURE.....	68

1 DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Mayor announced the meeting opened at 7:05 PM.

**2 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE
(PREVIOUSLY APPROVED)****Elected Members**

Mayor Kevin Morgan	Presiding Member
Cr Jack Walsh	
Cr Jay Birnbrauer	
Cr Rob Rowell	
Cr Greg Boland	
Cr Dan Cunningham	
Cr Ian Woodhill	
Cr Jo Dawkins	
Cr Victor Strzina	
Cr Davina Goldthorpe	
Cr Patricia Carmichael	

Officers

Mr Carl Askew	Chief Executive Officer
Mr Graham Pattrick	Manager Corporate & Community Services
Mr Geoff Trigg	Manager Engineering Services
Mr Andrew Jackson	Manager Development Services
Mrs Lydia Giles	Executive Assistant

Apologies

Nil

Officer Apologies

Nil

Leave of Absence (previously approved)

Nil

3 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Mrs Hillary Rumley – 5/14 Athelstan Road, Cottesloe – Re.: Disability Services Advisory Committee

- Q1: Why is there no report from the Disability Services Advisory Committee (DSAC) for Council Meeting?
- Q2: Why are the Agenda and Minutes of past DSAC meetings, established 5 years ago, not available on the Town's website?
- Q3: Why isn't the beach wheelchair access at North Cottesloe SLSC available. Now?
-

- Q4: Why is there still no beach wheelchair at Cottesloe main beach?
- Q5: Why has no member of the DSAC responded to my emails re Disability Access or consulted with any other people with disability as far as I know on these matters as required under the Town's Disability Access and Inclusion Plan (DAIP)
- Q6: Can we people with disability in the Town, as well as other visitor with disabilities, please have an update on the proposed "Access to Water" Forum as well as the "People's Beach" concept as I have been informed by the CEO that these matters have been discussed by the DSAC?

The Manager Corporate and Community Services, Mr Graham Patrick, responded to the above questions via email to Ms Hillary Rumley on 27 October 2010 as follows:

Mr Patrick has spoken with the new General Manager of North Cottesloe Surf Club and was advised there was a communication error between the repairer and the club. As of Monday they had now authorised the repair and were waiting for the beach wheelchair to be repaired and returned. They were not given a date when it would be ready.

The DSAC is waiting for the Foreshore Vision Group to make a decision regarding planned alterations as part of their strategic plan. There is no plan to acquire another beach wheelchair until there is access that complies with Australian standards to the promenade level from Marine Parade.

Mr Patrick has had several meetings with Terry Mader (People's Beach) and anticipate putting a recommendation to trial this concept this summer at the next Council meeting.

Regarding the sign at the Barchetta, there are disabled toilet signs at either end of the dual use path and a sign at the bottom of the ramp. The disabled toilet door has a sign on it. In regards to Indiana's, there is a sign halfway down the main drive (south side) of the Tea rooms directing to toilets with a disabled symbol on it. All the doors inside the toilets are brand new and still being painted.

The new website is nearly finished and it is anticipated that it will be online by the end of November. There is a specific section on universal access and disability services.

Ms Dianne Andrewantha, 24 Hawkstone Street, Cottesloe – Item 11.1.2 Rights of Way Laneway Policy Clarification

- Q1: How were pertinent residents contacted, who by and when?
- A1: The Manager of Development Services, Mr Andrew Jackson, responded that the residents were originally contacted via leaflets distributed by Rosie Walsh in June 2005.
- Q2: Do the staff know about the 2005 [policy] amendments (staff turnover may have occurred)?
- A2: Manager of Development Services confirmed that that was made clear in the report prepared by staff on this matter.

Q3: Have you considered all the environmental factors this would cause, especially when a coastal dune hillside is involved?

A3: The focus of the policy is on the use of laneways for access, as they were not created for environmental purposes.

Q4 : What happens to the district goannas?

A 4: If a laneway is upgraded any goannas may be moved to a bush area or adopted by adjoining residents.

Q5: These are understood to be about how ROW 14 if not upgraded is seen to have benefits in terms of natural drainage, flora and fauna, whereas upgrading the lane would affect such.

A5: Constructed drainage also recharges water into the ground, plus avoids erosion problems. As mentioned, historically laneways have always been intended for access rather than for flora or fauna.

4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Nil

5 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Ms Donna Fuller, 39 Margaret Street, Cottesloe – Item 12.2 Notice of Motion – Review of Dogs Local Law

Ms Fuller spoke about the Northern Dog Beach and the Council decision making process to date, as well as the advice from the Department for Local Government in relation to Council's previous resolution. She requested Council ensure that all future consultation on this matter be open and unbiased and that any review be neutral. She spoke about Cr Walsh's proposed recommendation which presumed to determine an outcome before the consultation has taken place. Ms Fuller thanked the Mayor and Councillors who supported the intention to seek consultation with the community prior to making any decisions.

Mr Simon , 27 Margaret Street, Cottesloe – Item 12.2 Notice of Motion – Review of Dogs Local Law

Mr Yeo spoke about the changes in Perth and its population over the last 30 years, and the projected growth to come. He referred to the increase in the number of dogs and families/children in local community. He also referred to the increase in the number of children at North Cottesloe Primary School from 10 ten years ago to 413 now. As a resident of Margaret Street for the last 10 years he indicated that the number of children in the street has increased from 5 to 40. With these changes in demographics he questioned the appropriateness of the Vera View beach continuing as a dog exercise area. He also requested that any review of the Local Law include health and environmental issues and referred Council to the experiences in Sydney where there are now no dog beaches.

6 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Moved Cr Boland, seconded Cr Strzina

That Cr Boland request for leave of absence from the December Works and Corporate round of meeting be granted.

Carried 11/0

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

Moved Cr Strzina, seconded Cr Boland

[Minutes October 25 2010 Council.DOC](#)

The Minutes of the Ordinary meeting of Council held on Monday, 25 October, 2010 be confirmed.

Carried 11/0

8 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION

The Mayor advised that he had written to the Minister for Planning in relation to the Town's pending Local Planning Scheme 3 approval and noted that it has been almost five years since the Scheme was initially lodged.

In relation to matters related to the Northern dog beach the Mayor referred to his previous comments at the Works and Corporate Services Committee and subsequent advice to Councillors from the CEO.

8.1 SUSPENSION OF STANDING ORDER 12.1 – MEMBERS TO RISE**BACKGROUND**

At the September 2006 meeting of Council it was agreed that the suspension of Standing Order 12.1 be listed as a standard agenda item for each Council and Committee meeting.

Standing Orders 12.1 and 21.5 read as follows:

Members to Rise

Every member of the council wishing to speak shall indicate by show of hands or other method agreed upon by the council. When invited by the mayor to speak, members shall rise and address the council through the mayor, provided that any member of the council unable conveniently to stand by reason of sickness or disability shall be permitted to sit while speaking.

Suspension of Standing Orders

- (a) The mover of a motion to suspend any standing order or orders shall state the clause or clauses of the standing order or orders to be suspended.
- (b) A motion to suspend, temporarily, any one or more of the standing orders regulating the proceedings and business of the council must be seconded, but the motion need not be presented in writing.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

Moved Cr Strzina, seconded Cr Boland

That Council suspend the operation of Standing Order 12.1 which requires members of Council to rise when invited by the Mayor to speak.

Carried 11/0

9 PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Nil

The Mayor advised that the Council process for considering reports was that members would advise him of items that they required to be “withdrawn” for further discussion and that all remaining reports would then be moved “*en bloc*” as per the Committee recommendation. He then advised of the following withdrawn items and order for consideration;

- 12.1 Rescission of Motion
- 12.2 Notice of motion – review of dogs local law

Development Services Committee

- 11.1.3 Briefing on Directions 2031 Regional Planning Strategies

The remainder of the items from the Development Services Committee were dealt with *en bloc*

- 11.1.1 No. 1 Gibney Street, Cottesloe (Wearne Hostel) – Proposed Additional Minor Office Use in Undercroft of Heritage Building
- 11.1.2 Multi Unit Housing Code Finalised – for Council’s Information

Works and Corporate Services Committee

- 11.2.9 Schedule of Investments & Loans for October 2010

The remainder of the items from the Works and Corporate Services Committee were dealt with *en bloc*

- 11.2.1 Review of Differential Rating Policy
- 11.2.2 Review of Reserve Account Policy
- 11.2.3 Review of Self Supporting Loans policy
- 11.2.4 Meeting Dates 2011

- 11.2.5 Excavation on Deane Street Road Verge
- 11.2.6 Policy Review - Traffic Management
- 11.2.7 Request for New Footpath, Charles Street, Cottesloe
- 11.2.8 Statutory Financial Report for the month of October 2010
- 11.2.10 Accounts paid for the month of October 2010
- 11.2.11 Property & Sundry Debtors Report for October 2010
- 11.2.12 Black spot treatment – Mann/Grant St
- 11.2.13 Western power/public transport authority – Tree Removal

Strategic Planning Committee

- 11.3.1 Town Of Cottesloe - Action Plan Review
- 11.3.2 Standing Committee Roles Policy Update

10 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

Nil

11 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES**11.1 DEVELOPMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES - 15 NOVEMBER 2010****11.1.1 NO. 1 GIBNEY STREET, COTTESLOE (WEARNE HOSTEL) – PROPOSED
ADDITIONAL MINOR OFFICE USE IN UNDERCROFT OF HERITAGE
BUILDING**

File No: 2083
Attachments: [AerialPhoto,SitePhoto,Justif.pdf](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: William Schaefer
Planning Officer

Proposed Meeting Date: 15 November 2010

Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil
Property Owners: Curtin Aged Persons Homes (Inc), Town of
Mosman Park, Town of Claremont, Town of
Cottesloe, Shire of Peppermint Grove

Applicant: Curtin Aged Persons Homes (Inc)
Date of Application: 05 November 2010
Zoning: Local Scheme Reserve – Public Purposes –
Hospital & Aged Persons Dwelling

Use: Not applicable
Lot Area: 20649m²
M.R.S. Reservation: N/A

BACKGROUND

This application proposes a minor additional use of *Office* in the unused undercroft of the original Wearne Hostel building, which is on land reserved for Public Purposes under Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (TPS2).

At present the undercroft is vacant as it is unsuitable for use as part of the aged care facility. Due to the state-level heritage classification of the building, the owners are nonetheless obliged under a Heritage Agreement to maintain the undercroft in good condition. Recently, pursuant to the Part 6 conservation provisions of TPS2, Council gave written consent to the refurbishment of the undercroft, which had suffered water damage and was in general need of repair. This was supported by the Heritage Council (HCWA).

As the cost of these works is significant and the space is vacant, Curtin Aged Persons Homes (CAPH) sees a tenant in the premises as a means of offsetting the expense and ensuring upkeep. The rooms are intended to be leased and occupied by the Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC) administration. Although no

physical development is proposed, offices in the undercroft constitute a use additional to *Hospital and Aged Persons Dwelling*, which requires approval by Council.

PROPOSAL

The application has been made by the CAPH and the form signed by the CEO of Mosman Park on behalf of the four member councils as the landowners. A similar process was followed for the previous development application for reconstruction of the heritage summer house. Council is the responsible authority for determining the application under its town planning scheme for its local government area, as distinct from being a co-owner of the land.

The lease is a matter for CAPH to attend to; that is (on the basis it has power to sub-lease) the arrangements between CAPH and the WMRC will be negotiated separately from the planning approval and subject to the parent lease between CAPH and the four local governments.

The proposal comprises offices confined to the 107m² undercroft and does not entail building works. Only internal furnishing / partitioning of the restored and upgraded undercroft space would occur.

The proposed tenant is a body affiliated with local government, rather than a private commercial entity.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Does not compromise strategic directions and supports local government activities. Any long term plan for significant new uses or redevelopment at the site would most likely require rezoning, structure planning and other processes before being allowed.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Consistent with the principles of heritage incentives / concessions for discretion to foster positive conservation outcomes and to avoid heritage buildings lying idle or poorly maintained.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None directly to the Town, but of operational benefit to Council as a member of CAPH.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Proposal supports sustainable conservation practices.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

TPS2 and Heritage of Western Australia Act 1990.

PROPOSED LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME NO. 3

Under LPS3 the site is to become a Development Zone, where Office use may be permitted and overall structure planning is required for major development proposals; ie, LPS3 does not prevent consideration of the current proposal and would more readily allow such.

HERITAGE LISTINGS

Place No. 603 on the State Register of Heritage Places. A high-level heritage classification *recognises and protects places of exceptional significance to Western Australia*.

TPS2 Schedule 1: Places of Natural Beauty and Historic Buildings and Objects of Historical or Scientific Interest, which has the protection of the Scheme.

Municipal Inventory Category 1, whereby *Council considers it appropriate to provide maximum encouragement to the owner to conserve the significance of the place*.

CONSULTATION

The application does not involve physical development and was not required to be advertised

The HCWA has been briefed on the proposal and referred to for comment which is awaited.

APPLICANT'S JUSTIFICATION

The applicant has submitted written justification for the proposal, the main points of which are as follows:

- The undercroft space is vacant as it does not satisfy the regulatory standards for aged person's accommodation service provision, and CAPH does not require the area for administration or storage purposes.
- Conservation works to the undercroft are nevertheless required by the HCWA under the Heritage Agreement and are expensive, in the vicinity of \$150,000.
- The non-profit nature of the CAPH operation means that limited funds are available for such works. Leasing the space would assist by off-setting the cost and ensuring ongoing maintenance.
- The tenant is intended to be the WMRC, a body affiliated with local governments. The WMRC has only 6 staff, with normal office hours (8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday) apart from one late meeting a month.
- Vehicular access would be from the existing Warton Street entrance.
- Parking would be provided on site as part of the planned upgrade of the outdoor areas, and would be obscured from the street. Very few vehicle movements are expected during the day – only the CEO and one other staff member would be undertaking non-commuting trips.
- The tenancy is not expected to impact on surrounding areas in any manner.

PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS**Floor area**

At 107m² the undercroft area amounts to less than 1% of the 20,649m² site and represents a negligible proportion of the total floorspace.

Location

The location in the undercroft is tucked-away at the back of the CAPH complex and removed from the main entrance and parking on Gibney Street, with its own separate entry to the rooms and established vehicular access to this part of the site. As such the proposed office use would be in a quiet location with limited traffic movements and would not compete for the main parking bays.

Hours

The proposed hours of operation are consistent with normal office hours during the working week and are also compatible with the other activities on site.

Parking

TPS2 has a parking requirement of 1 bay per 40m² of Office space. Hence the minimum of 3 bays required by the Scheme can easily be provided on site as part of the existing parking areas, and in practice it is understood that all 6 staff would have a parking bay. As the undercroft is some 90m from the nearest residence there would be no traffic or parking impact.

Amenity

As no physical development is involved, the built-form amenity aspects of the Scheme are not applicable.

Clause 5.1.2(k) provides for Council to have regard to: *The impact on the general quiet of the locality, including the times of activity, traffic generation, access and parking, and air conditioning, plant rooms and machinery, in relation to neighbouring properties.* The secluded location of the proposed minor office use internal to the site, within an existing building and with existing access and parking, means that it would be remote and have no amenity impact.

No physical development

A the proposal is to occupy existing unused space it does not entail any new building or renovation, hence does not involve physical development per se.

Use

The main planning consideration is that of an additional use at this property. When considering proposals for reserved land, cl 2.3 of TPS2 provides that: *In giving its approval, the Council shall have regard to the ultimate purpose intended for the reserve, which in this case is Public Purposes (Hospital and Aged Persons Dwelling).* This means that the presumption is in favour of the purpose of the reserve, which Council is required to be mindful of, but affords discretion for the consideration of variations.

Note that the land is held as a Lot rather than a Crown Reserve, so it is not the purpose or type of land tenure reserve which is relevant here, but instead the purpose of the local planning reserve classification of the land.

Whether a proposal is seen as appropriate is a question of nature and degree. Temporary or time-limited uses with no consequences may be acceptable. Unrelated private commercial development or a high-impact use, however, would be considered inappropriate unless approved following to the normal rezoning etc processes and with suitable controls. Nonetheless, in some places such as Kings

Park, new uses and developments such as health clubs have been approved as compatible with yet not germane to the purpose of the town planning reserve.

While *Office* use is not the core of the above purpose, it is already a subsidiary part of the CAPH administration in their modern building. The proposition of a small, low-key and local government-based additional office activity in the unutilised undercroft may therefore be seen as reasonable and causing no impact. Although not part of the primary purpose of the reserve, it is compatible with the main use and inoffensive to the surrounds.

The local government organisation nature of the WMRC is essentially a public purpose, which is the basic status of the reserve, and it serves a community need. Also, the WMRC is involved with all four of the CAPH councils.

In this respect guidance is given by clause 1.4 of TPS2 which describes that the general intent of the Scheme *is to direct and control the development of the scheme area in such a way as shall promote and safeguard the health, safety and convenience, economic and general welfare of its inhabitants and the amenities of every part of the district.* The proposal does not conflict with this broad aim.

CONCLUSION

The proposal for a minor additional office use for a local government-related activity, on a local public purpose reserve for a main local government-based activity, is assessed as acceptable. This is because the use is small, low-key, has no impact and does not involve any physical development, plus as it would support the conservation and maintenance of the vacant heritage premises.

Approval to such is unlikely to be taken as a precedent for expansion of the office use or the addition of other unrelated uses or development to the site by this means, and Council has the decision-making authority in each case regardless.

On this basis an approval limited to that space and the WMRC is recommended, with appropriate conditions.

The alternative view would be that the essential purpose of the local planning reserve for aged care should be adhered to and no foreign use supported, even another local government activity, whereby the proposal would not be supported by Council. In that event, rather than receive a refusal, the applicant may wish to withdraw the application or have the matter deferred for discussion and possible further consideration.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE COMMENT

Committee indicated support for the proposal in principle and took further advice from the Manager Development Services in relation to the desirability of deferring the item at this stage. Mr Jackson explained that the current land title, lease and constitutional arrangements contained restrictions which would need to be amended to enable the proposal to proceed, and this required legal advice plus administrative procedures which would take some time, however, progress may be able to be

reported in December. A conditional approval is not favoured as it would pre-empt this review and the processes involved, which still need to be ascertained. Mr Jackson provided wording for a deferral which Committee supported.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council GRANT approval to Office use of the undercroft rooms in the historic Wearne Hostel building on Lot 555 (No. 1) Gibney Street, Cottesloe, in accordance with the application received on 5 November 2010, subject to the following conditions:

1. The support of the Heritage Council of Western Australia to the proposal, prior to occupation.
2. Confirmation that the applicant has power to sub-lease, to the satisfaction of the CEO of the Town of Cottesloe, prior to occupation.
3. This approval is limited to Office use only, by the Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC), with a maximum of six employees, in the subject undercroft area and for a period of five years from the date of the decision letter. Continuation beyond that time shall require a prior fresh application and approval.
4. Six car parking bays for the WMRC employees shall be provided near the undercroft, grouped together, and marked or sign-posted for their exclusive use during their attendance, all to the satisfaction of the Manager Development Services. The details of this are required to be submitted to the Town for approval prior to occupation of the undercroft.
5. Signage does not form part of this approval. Any proposed external signage to the premises or property for the WMRC requires further application to and approval by the Town of Cottesloe.
6. Any future operational changes, including an increase in the number of employees, or physical changes, including structural, conservation or repair works, or change of use, proposed by any party shall require further applications to the Town of Cottesloe for determination.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Cr Walsh, seconded Cr Dawkins

That Council defers consideration of the application, pending further examination by officers and the applicant as to whether there is the ability to permit the intended use and sub-lease, having regard to the existing land tenure, lease and applicant's constitutional arrangements.

Carried 11/0

11.1.2 MULTI UNIT HOUSING CODE FINALISED – FOR COUNCIL'S INFORMATION

File No: D09/12246
Attachments: [Proposed amendment to the residential design codes.pdf](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Ed Drewett
Senior Planning Officer
Proposed Meeting Date: 15 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

INTRODUCTION

This report updates Council on changes to the Residential Design Codes (RDC) to include a Multi Unit Housing Code (MUHC) that was approved by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) and the Minister for Planning on 19 October 2010. The new provisions will be gazetted on 22 November 2010 and take effect from that date.

BACKGROUND

The MUHC enhances the existing RDC by providing additional built form provisions for multiple dwellings and the residential component of mixed-use developments.

On 14 December 2009 Council considered a discussion paper and guidelines on the proposed MUHC that was being advertised by the WAPC and resolved to:

1. *Advise the Department of Planning and WALGA that it notes and supports the proposed Multi Unit Housing Code and Explanatory Guidelines.*
2. *Requests that the technical comments made in the Officer's Report be taken into consideration before the new Code is approved by the Minister for Planning.*
3. *Urges the Minister to incorporate Universal Access Design principles and standards into the new Code.*

The WAPC received 49 submissions during advertising from a range of organisations and industries, predominantly from local governments. The majority of submissions supported the proposal with suggestions for improvement or amendments.

A copy of the previous report to Council is attached, together with a chart of the changes endorsed by the WAPC following advertising.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO MUHC

The WAPC has considered the submissions received, including Council's, and made a number of textural amendments. The main changes are outlined below:

Building size (plot ratio)

- Introduction of a maximum plot ratio of 0.5 for multiple dwellings in R30 areas, in lieu of the 0.6 originally proposed.
- Modification to maximum plot ratios in Activity Centre areas (R-AC). *This is not relevant to the Town of Cottesloe under its current or proposed Scheme.*
- Definition of plot ratio in the RDC to exclude space below natural ground level, bin storage areas and passageways thereto, or balconies needing to be open on at least two sides.

Building height

- Maximum building heights in Activity Centre areas are stipulated in addition to the RDC provisions. *This is not relevant to the Town of Cottesloe as the height provisions in Town Planning Scheme No. 2 (and proposed LPS3) prevail.*

Street setback

- Inclusion of primary setbacks, with modification to requirements for R50 density and above.
- Reinsertion of secondary street setbacks, with modification to current requirements for R50 density and above, for consistency with primary street setback requirements.

Side and rear boundary setbacks

- Reinsertion of existing RDC provisions for R30-R60 densities.
- Simplification of side and rear setback requirements for R80-R160 and R-AC densities.
- Inclusion of permitted zero setbacks to one side boundary, subject to satisfying certain requirements.

Maximum site cover

- Inclusion of the term 'open space' rather than 'maximum site cover', with a minimum 45% being required in R30-R60 densities, consistent with current provisions for single and grouped dwellings.

Unit size

- Requirement for a minimum percentage of 1 and 2 bedroom dwellings where multiple dwellings contain more than 12 dwellings.
- Introduction of a minimum plot ratio area.

Parking

- Specific parking requirements apply with reductions if development is located within 800m of a train station or within 250m of a high frequency bus route.
- Minimum requirements for bicycle spaces.

- Vehicle spaces to be fully concealed from the street or public place.

Solar access / overshadowing

- Remains similar to existing requirements but only applicable to developments adjoining R60 or lower densities.
- No overshadowing controls apply to densities above R60.

Visual Privacy

- Remains similar to existing requirements but is only applicable to developments adjoining R60 or lower densities.
- No visual privacy controls will apply to areas above R60 density.
- Height of required screening modified to reflect existing Codes. *This was requested in Council's submission to the WAPC.*

CONCLUSION

Following gazettal, the changes endorsed by the WAPC and Minister will be binding on Local Governments as part of the RDC with respect to the assessment of new proposals for multiple unit development or mixed-use development in areas coded R30 and above.

The MUHC provisions will be of benefit for this type of housing and compatible with the Council's approach to such development in the Town Centre, for example. The MUHC will be applied pursuant to TPS2 and future LPS3 in the normal manner, and the schemes will prevail where their provisions override the codes.

Council's request to include universal access design principles and standards in the MUHC has not been taken-up, however, these requirements will continue to be addressed by planning and building applications in the normal manner.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE COMMENT

Committee queried the application to the MUHC in general and to Cottesloe. Officers explained that it was intended for town centre type areas or new housing areas with appropriate zoning and density coding, such as the railway lands area which under proposed LPS3 is a Development Zone to have structure planning with a view to accommodating mixed uses and more dense development.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Cr Walsh, seconded Cr Dawkins

That Council notes the modifications to and finalisation of the Multi Unit Housing Code.

Carried 11/0

11.1.3 BRIEFING ON DIRECTIONS 2031 REGIONAL PLANNING STRATEGIES

File No: SUB/100-06
Attachments: [Directions 2031 pt 2.pdf](#)
[Directions 2031.pdf](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Andrew Jackson
Manager Development Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 15 November 2010

INTRODUCTION

This item briefs Council on the State Government's latest Perth metropolitan planning strategy and associated measures, in general plus with particular reference to Cottesloe, which have been prepared by the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC).

Directions 2031 and Beyond: metropolitan planning beyond the horizon (final report) published in August this year is the overall broad metropolitan planning strategy giving direction to development of the Perth-Peel region.

The draft *Central Metropolitan Sub-regional Strategy* was released conjointly and supplements the overarching strategy, providing more detail on the strategic methodology, desired targets and implementation means. It is open for public comment to 29 November 2010 – hence this advice to Council – before a final sub-regional strategy is prepared and disseminated.

In addition, *State Planning Policy 4.2: Activity Centres for Perth and Peel* came into operation on 31 August 2010 as the key regional instrument regulating multi-purpose activity centres.

Selected extracts from the documents are attached to illustrate the discussion in this item.

DIRECTIONS 2031

This is the strategic planning vision and spatial framework for future Perth. It builds on previous metropolitan strategies and replaces *Network City* circa 2004. In essence, it is a statement of intent towards achieving a consolidated, interconnected and sustainable city, aimed at accommodating population growth of half a million by 2031, to an ultimate total of some 3.5 million around 2056. It looks at land use patterns, transport systems, infrastructure provision and environmental management as a whole.

Five themes with objectives are identified, for a city which is liveable, prosperous, accessible, sustainable and responsible. Each has a set of more definitive principles to be pursued.

Three elements are devised to guide the metropolitan structure in an integrated manner: activity networks, movement networks and green networks. The growth

strategy is grounded in population forecasts and the coordination of urban development. It embodies a tangible approach to implementation via the sub-regional strategy methods and supporting actions, including:

- An Urban Expansion Management Program (especially for housing land supply) and the continuing Urban Development Program.
- An Activity Centres Policy and the development of these centres.
- A 20-year public transport plan.
- Sub-regional structure plans.

The strategy oversees the development of activity centres, roll-out of infrastructure, facilitation of infill urban development and provision of transport networks. An implementation plan is mindful of short, medium and long term and ongoing initiatives to realise the themes. This includes a matrix of lead agencies, partners and stakeholders in relation to the objectives and principles listed.

Local government is seen to have a vital collaborative role to play, with responsibilities for consultation, fine-grained planning and approvals processes. Local planning strategies and schemes, local structure plans, precinct plans and activity centre plans are the main tools to be utilised.

The focus is on responding to population, dwelling and employment projections by containing urban expansion and fostering urban infill. Several sub-regions are classified and targets for increases in these parameters are specified. The central sub-region comprises the 19 local governments in the inner and middle sectors: Bassendean, Bayswater, Belmont, Cambridge, Canning, Claremont, Cottesloe, East Fremantle, Fremantle, Melville, Mosman Park, Nedlands, Peppermint Grove, Perth, South Perth, Stirling, Subiaco, Victoria Park and Vincent.

COMMENT

The themes, objectives and strategies underpinning the regional planning direction are credible contemporary principles commonly pursued at all levels of government. These aspirations tend to be echoed in Council's strategic plans and operational programs. Therefore, satisfying such local planning intentions are steps towards satisfying regional planning requirements.

CENTRAL METROPOLITAN SUB-REGIONAL STRATEGY

The sub-regional strategy applies the Directions 2031 parent strategy by way of guidance for practical implementation at the local level. It covers the policy framework, sub-regional context, future direction and governance aspects. The component means entail as follows:

- Housing targets, affordability and choice.
- Planned urban growth areas – ie, small-scale incremental development, transit-oriented development (TOD) and urban corridors.
- Activity centres.
- Transport networks
- Infrastructure coordination.
- Governance roles and responsibilities – including funding prospects, approvals processes and monitoring/review.

As such the sub-regional strategy correlates with local planning to firm-up on development opportunities/potential, priorities for action, employment/economic support, and transport/services delivery.

Housing and employment targets are at the core of the sub-regional strategy as a reflection of handling population growth. In the next 20 years the sub-region's population is expected to increase by nearly 30% to over 0.9 million, needing approximately 121,000 new dwellings, to be accommodated creatively yet sensitively into the established suburbs. This calls for greater density and intensity of development, together with better public transport, improved facilities and enhanced amenity. Existing activity centres are flagged to undergo expansion/revitalisation, while innovative and quality urban design is advocated.

The draft housing target for Cottesloe for this horizon is 1300 dwellings. The targets were formulated in consultation with local governments and service authorities, so are a fair indication of available locations and feasible yields. This is subject to removing constraints, carrying-out consultations, undertaking detailed planning and administering approvals processes.

It must be appreciated that the housing target is not one-dimensional, as housing demand is more than merely numeric, owing to demographic trends; ie, aging population, decreasing persons per household and other dynamics. In terms of housing supply, the estimated demand for new dwellings in Cottesloe (allowing for demolitions) is some 474 over a 10 year period. Matching dwelling types to the population profiles is a vital consideration, having regard to location, diversity/ choice and availability/affordability.

The WAPC is serious about applying the housing targets. Following a review of submissions on the sub-regional strategy the WAPC intends to adopt the finalised housing targets as policy. This will give direction to local planning strategies and schemes to plan for the targets; eg, by zones, densities, housing types, development standards, detailed plans, local polices, incentives/concessions and so on.

Planning reforms, including streamlined structure planning, the Multi Unit Housing Code (MUHC) and Development Assessment Panels (DAP) are being enacted to enable the regional strategies and local proposals.

The five strategic themes mentioned above are articulated in a table with actions, lead agencies, partners and timeframes.

Planned urban growth areas are defined and refined in maps. Cottesloe falls within Quadrant 2, and is shown as having a number of minor growth areas (ie, 10-399 dwellings); located at the beachfront, train stations and development areas. The Stirling Highway corridor is shown as a major growth area (ie, 1000+ dwellings). At this stage there are no major TOD sites identified in the district – the Town Centre/railway lands is not shown in that category. Cottesloe Beach is shown as metropolitan attractor, ie, a magnet for visitors, generating transport demand and possible consideration of higher density residential development. The regional transport routes and coastal open space in Cottesloe are recognised as main urban elements.

In summary, Cottesloe does not qualify as a key growth area; however, it offers small-scale incremental development capacity by virtue of scheme zonings/densities, potentially available sites, future redevelopment projects and the high amenity exhibited by the locality.

A prescription for TOD and urban corridors is given in the sub-regional strategy – for the latter the SHACS concept is elaborated upon, including transit by bus, car and bicycle, the nature/magnitude of redevelopment, and built form guidelines.

Urban renewal and infill is where local governments are seen to have a main role. In this regard the sub-regional strategy promotes that councils reach beyond their normal planning and regulatory responsibilities and prepare to actively participate in such projects; eg, by directly undertaking development, joint ventures, underwriting projects, land assembly and partnerships. The State Government is examining how to assist this flexibility, including any legislative restrictions.

Local government case studies are cited and the WESROC is given as an example, noting the density study produced. The roles of the private sector and State Government in achieving housing density targets are discussed. The Urban Development Program will monitor infill growth statistically and distribution-wise. A new, high-powered redevelopment authority is contemplated, subject to legislation succeeding.

Appendix 3: Planned Urban Growth Areas gives a break-down of such sites for each local government. For Cottesloe the locations and estimated dwelling yield (assuming 85% take-up) are:

<i>Growth Area</i>	<i>Dwelling Yield</i>
Town Centre TOD	204
Grant St station TOD	17
Swanbourne station TOD	17
Central beachfront	85
OBH site	85
CBH site	43
South Cottesloe	85
Depot site	17
Wearne site	43
WAIDE site	51
<i>Total</i>	<i>647</i>

Appendix 4: Compendium of Examples Planned Urban Growth Areas is a useful reference for planning, urban design and development purposes.

Appendix 5: Potential Capital Works Projects List includes the Cottesloe electricity sub-station and construction of Curtin Avenue to North Fremantle, which confirms the status of these infrastructure components in the sub-region.

COMMENT

Council in July 2007 as part of the scheme review received a report on *Indicative Development Potential of Railway Lands and Comparative Density Increases* and in July 2010 received a report on *Government Request for Surplus Land Information*. Copies of these reports and Council's resolutions are attached and convey substantial background information about Council's efforts and outlook in addressing local and regional strategic planning matters.

The population estimates and indicative dwelling yields have been prepared by the Department of Planning (DoP) and local governments over time, having regard to site availability, zoning, density-coding, lot sizes, dwelling types, height controls, and other planning and development aspects. As many factors are involved the projections are not meant to be absolutely definitive and do not dictate the final built form or height of development.

The DoP has previously acknowledged that defined development precincts and planned pockets of density increase better achieve dwelling and population gains than simply increasing density codes in developed areas.

The growth areas shown in the sub-regional strategy correspond with proposed LPS3, which incorporates Development Zones at the OBH, depot, Wearne, WAIDE and railway lands sites. LPS3 also provides for greater residential densities near the beachfront, recognises the opportunity for short-stay accommodation at the hotel and other beachfront sites, and encourages mixed-use commercial/residential developments in the Town Centre.

Council in the Enquiry by Design with the DoP examined how land use, building height and form, urban design and public domain improvements can enhance the beachfront and foreshore locality while preserving its special qualities.

It is too simplistic to align population growth and housing supply automatically with medium or high-rise development, because the function, context and sense of place of each locality ought to be carefully planned and development sensitively managed. Similarly, it would be arbitrary to prescribe a universal built form to fulfil a broad-brush strategic objective, because each precinct is unique and should be shaped with community support by proper consultation processes.

Council through its studies for LPS3 in relation to the railways lands and Town Centre has ascertained that the area may absorb buildings of up to four or five storeys, subject to detailed structure planning and urban design, in a way which would avoid the adverse impacts and undesirable consequences demonstrated to be caused by taller buildings along the beachfront. At the same time it will be important to preserve the traditional village character and amenity of the Town Centre.

Creating a TOD on the railway lands around the station, linking to the Town Centre and overcoming the barrier-effect of Curtin Avenue and the railway line to improve east-west connectivity, is a vision which Council has explored in depth and is very much in keeping with the Directions 31 and Sub-regional Strategy framework, whereby Cottesloe can make a significant contribution to housing supply/diversity, activity centres and transport innovation.

In these ways appropriate developments can suitably located and shared amongst the beachfront, development zone and other parts of the district in meeting regional and local planning objectives.

The focus on housing supply, diversity and markets needs to be tailored to Cottesloe in relation to local character, heritage, amenity, topography, climate, built form and so on. Cottesloe already has a quite large proportion of medium density housing as well as short-stay accommodation. Especially, the suburban layout, land value and lifestyle attraction of Cottesloe have stimulated high-calibre residential design and development, including sustainability features, coastal weather considerations, technology, housing suited to the retirement-aged, architectural and engineering innovation, and distinctive styles. Council's planning controls have been a vital pillar of this success, with height limits, uniform setbacks, protection of privacy, and so on combining to manage sites, streetscapes and amenity for a high standard urban environment.

The intended regional policy to guide local governments to achieve housing targets through their statutory planning instruments will be of primary interest to Council. It would generate planning work for the Town's officers and consultants (eg, to prepare structure plans; and at a cost) and could require scheme amendments (which under the planning reforms the Minister may direct to comply with policy). Nonetheless, as the statutory processes for the making of regional policy, scheme amendments, structure plans and local policy entail consultation, there will be further opportunities for stakeholders and the community to influence appropriate measures and outcomes for the Cottesloe localities involved.

ACTIVITY CENTRES POLICY

This is a pivotal policy for the metropolitan strategy and a more sophisticated instrument than in the past. Activity Centres are envisaged to contain a diverse range of uses and be well-served by public transport. As with preceding policies a hierarchy of centres still applies; however, there are no longer retail/ commercial floor-space limits, subject to those uses not dominating.

The policy prescribes objectives, provisions, and implementation means in considerable detail. The provisions address activity, movement, urban form and other aspects in a comprehensive fashion – examples are the intensity of activity, residential density and transport modes. A useful tool is *Table 3: Activity Centre Functions, Typical Characteristics and Performance Targets*.

The implementation section is very thorough. *Figure 2: Key Policy Requirements for Implementation* refers. Local planning mechanisms involved include strategies, schemes, structure plans and development control. Retail needs assessment and housing density targets are two of the techniques stipulated. *Table 7: Activity Centre Structure Plan Content and Performance Indicators* refers. *Appendix 2: Model Centre Framework* sets out at length how to do this level of planning.

The Cottesloe Town Centre is classified as a district centre, consistent with its size and function, and has the advantage of a train service. Cottesloe Beach is listed as a metropolitan attractor, being a significant tourist/recreation destination. Stirling Highway is an Activity Corridor spine, with the current *Stirling Highway Activity*

Corridor Study (SHACS) exploring the concept of concentrating development along that route.

COMMENT

The Cottesloe Town Centre is a comparatively small, confined hub with a main-street layout of traditional premises and a village atmosphere. It is very walk-able, has good traffic circulation, considerable parking (albeit in constant demand) and comprises a diverse collection of local shops, services, offices and eating/drinking venues. It is accessible by car, bus, train, cycle or foot, and has a synergy with the Cottesloe Central shopping centre and The Grove Library across the highway.

The northern and southern portions exhibit a degree of redevelopment potential, which Council has examined, while there is substantial latent development potential from the railway westward to existing Curtin Avenue, which is the primary opportunity to augment the Town Centre by a TOD, with an emphasis on housing supply and choice.

PLANNING IMPLICATIONS FOR COTTESLOE

Over recent years Council has undertaken a number of local planning initiatives which link to the thrust of this regional planning direction and umbrella arrangements, including:

- Corporate strategic planning through its Future Plan/Action Plan.
- The Local Planning Scheme Review, entailing the initial Beachfront Site Investigations and Design Guidelines as well as Town Centre Study, Local Planning Strategy, proposed Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3) and draft scheme policies.
- The related Enquiry by Design stakeholder and community engagement exercise, which produced the draft Foreshore Concept Plan, Building Design Controls for the two beachfront hotel sites and Preliminary Structure Plan for the railway lands west of the Town Centre.
- A more detailed Foreshore Redevelopment Plan and implementation strategy.
- Examination of optional solutions for Curtin Avenue and the railway, having regard to east-west connectivity, the Town Centre and urban development.
- Studies of potential parking and mixed-use redevelopment sites in Station Street, including design guidelines.
- The Town Centre Public Domain Infrastructure Improvement Plan Study.
- Participation in the SHACS (which is yet to be completed and adopted).
- The WESROC Study of Land Use Patterns and Residential Densities in the Western Suburbs.
- Examination of urban infill opportunities for the Development Zones under LPS3, including the railway lands and existing depot site.
- Examination and response regarding Government request for surplus land information.
- Contributions to population and housing forecasts.
- Submissions on Planning Reforms and the Multi Unit Housing Code.
- Consideration of funding sources in relation to these planning, development and works proposals.

This provides a foundation for Council to respond to regional strategies, policies and studies at the local planning level through various implementation means, such as structure planning for the Development Zones pursuant to LPS3. Importantly, it has also embraced community consultation, liaison with state government agencies and concept urban designs, to gain a head-start on prospective planning and redevelopment projects.

The imminent feedback from the WAPC and Minister for Planning on proposed LPS3 as lodged for final approval will inform Council to what extent regional planning objectives are seen to be balanced with local planning considerations. The present phase of planning reforms, regional strategies and activity studies is anticipated to have a bearing on the content of the Scheme and as a result the process may become drawn-out.

Regarding population growth and dwelling supply projections, LPS3 identifies several Development Zones for more detailed planning; especially the vacant Government land around the railway station, for development in a manner which overcomes the barrier-effect of Curtin Avenue and the railway to improve east-west connectivity.

This is a goal which Council has examined in depth and is closely aligned with the Directions 2031 and Sub-regional Strategy framework. There is positive scope for a TOD capitalising on the Town Centre and railway station, as well as addressing the road and rail infrastructure, connectivity, activity centre development, housing supply and diversity, and urban design and amenity. The ability to be properly planned for greater building density and scale, where development caters for mixed uses, interfaces effectively with multiple transport modes and has less impact than on the beachfront, is a discerning attribute of this locality.

In all instances Council's goal is for well-designed outcomes within the district as part of managing metropolitan Perth's growth whilst retaining the qualities that constitute Cottesloe's renowned character, heritage and sense of place.

CONCLUSION

The *Directions 2031* suite of strategic and policy documents are a logical evolution of metropolitan planning for Perth as the city matures, morphs and responds to emerging social, economic, environmental and political phenomena.

The more complex and rigorous approach taken to managing metropolitan growth pressures translates into prescriptive objectives and targets for local governments to address, along with implementation measures to bring about constructive changes.

While the overall strategic direction and guiding principles and objectives are fundamentally plausible, it is imperative that local area planning and community consultation remain at the forefront of determining the detail, form and method of urban restructuring and development proposals.

Cottesloe is a largely developed central suburb with reasonable potential to contribute additional dwellings. However, the target of 1300 new dwellings equates to almost a 36% increase on the existing number in the district, which even if spread over 20+ years appears ambitious, as the suburb is not ripe for wholesale redevelopment. The challenge will be to locate, plan and develop denser and more diverse housing so as to retain character, protect amenity and enhance lifestyle.

Council, due to its proactive strategic and statutory planning for the scheme review and related matters, is well-positioned to engage and collaborate in finding the best solutions for Cottesloe. Nonetheless, some of the planning reforms being introduced are a concern with respect to ensuring preferred outcomes, and funding sources are limited.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Birnbrauer, Cr Dawkins

That Council:

1. Notes this briefing on the new regional planning strategies and policy.
 2. Makes the following comments in a submission to the WAPC on the Central Metropolitan Perth Sub-regional Strategy:
 - a. Council recognises that Cottesloe has a comparatively small yet important share of infill urban housing to contribute over time to assist in accommodating regional population growth, as well as a significant urban regeneration role in relation to regional transport routes and activity centres.
 - b. Council in recent years through its scheme review and associated studies has been proactive in examining regional and local planning issues and requirements in order to ascertain the most appropriate solutions for the various localities and circumstances involved.
 - c. This provides a solid foundation for Council and the community to continue to engage with state government agencies and other stakeholders towards realising the opportunities for addressing the regional transport routes, connectivity, town centre enhancement, new urban precincts, infill residential development, housing supply and diversity, the beachfront/foreshore recreational destination and additional matters which may arise.
 - d. Council will be seeking to pursue these initiatives through finalisation of Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3), further studies, structure planning, other local planning tools, and detailed planning, design and development control, in collaboration with relevant parties and subject to stakeholder and community participation.
 - e. In particular, the railway lands area west of the Town Centre, which includes the railway line/station and Curtin Avenue in their current alignments and is classified as a Development Zone in LPS3, exhibits strong potential for detailed structure planning (based on the Preliminary Structure Plan and related studies already undertaken) for a demonstration transit-oriented development that solves the alignment and impact of these routes, improves east-west connectivity, delivers
-

new and diverse housing towards achieving the desired target and fosters the town activity centre.

- f. In terms of implementation, a cooperative approach with local governments is advocated rather than the imposition of unpalatable measures or unrealistic demands, and one which respects the individual character, heritage value and amenity of each district or locality, as well as the social composition, local knowledge and community aspirations.
 - g. Special funding arrangements, including assistance to local government, appears essential to enable planning actions and facilitate infrastructure and development projects in order to realise the strategies, policies and targets envisaged.
3. Requests staff to liaise with the Department of Planning with a view to fine-tuning the proposed housing target and other prescriptions applicable to Cottesloe, for future reporting to Council on the progress of the regional planning strategies and policies, implementation arrangements, consultation needs, detailed planning actions and the funding situation.

COMMITTEE COMMENT

Committee suggested some elaboration of the recommendation as outlined in the amendment below, which the Manager Development Services undertook to draft and circulate to Committee members for any fine-tuning in the final recommendation to Council presented in the Minutes.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Dawkins, seconded Cr Strzina

That the recommendation is expanded to include reference to: (i) the provision of 10% public open space in development areas; (ii) in point e., Council's preferred option to address Curtin Avenue and the railway; and (iii) in point f., elaboration of the importance of local area planning.

Carried 7/0

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Walsh, seconded Cr Strzina

That Council:

1. Notes this briefing on the new regional planning strategies and policy.
2. Makes the following comments in a submission to the WAPC on the Central Metropolitan Perth Sub-regional Strategy:
 - a. Council recognises that Cottesloe has a comparatively small yet important share of infill urban housing to contribute over time to assist in accommodating regional population growth, as well as a significant

urban regeneration role in relation to regional transport routes and activity centres.

- b. Council in recent years through its scheme review and associated studies has been proactive in examining regional and local planning issues and requirements in order to ascertain the most appropriate solutions for the various localities throughout the district.
- c. The findings of these initiatives, which involved Council, the community, landowners, consultants and state government agencies, has provided a solid framework for Council and the community to continue to engage with state government agencies and other stakeholders towards realising the opportunities for addressing the proposed regional transport routes, east-west connectivity, town centre enhancement, new urban precincts, infill residential development, the supply and diversity of housing, the beachfront/foreshore recreational destination and additional matters which may arise.
- d. Council will be seeking to pursue these initiatives through finalisation of Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3), further studies, structure planning, other local planning tools, and detailed planning, design and development control, in collaboration with relevant parties and subject to stakeholder and community participation.
- e. In particular, the railway lands area west of the Town Centre, which includes the railway line/station and Curtin Avenue in their current alignments and is classified as a Development Zone in LPS3, exhibits strong potential for detailed structure planning (based on the Preliminary Structure Plan and related studies already undertaken) for a demonstration transit-oriented development that solves the alignment and impact of these routes, improves east-west connectivity, delivers new and diverse housing towards achieving the desired target and fosters the town activity centre. In this regard the option examined in depth which is strongly preferred by Council involves sinking Curtin Avenue and the railway line in a common trench between the Western Power sub-station and Railway Street, together with a grade-separated road crossing for Jarrad Street (thereby overcoming the existing inefficient and hazardous level-crossing), similarly improved pedestrian and cyclist connections, integration of the train station with the Town Centre, and freeing-up as well as maximising the unused railway lands for urbanisation with a focus on a consolidated transit-oriented development, multiple activities, housing supply and choice, quality public domain spaces and sustainability.
- f. In terms of implementation, a cooperative approach with local governments is advocated rather than the imposition of unpalatable measures or unrealistic demands, and one which respects the individual character, heritage value and amenity of each district or locality, whereby the social composition, local planning approach and community aspirations are considered before any changes are made. In this regard it will be important to take into account the extensive

previous community consultations undertaken in relation to the planning and development of Cottesloe, as well as the established planning mechanisms which have achieved the qualities of the built environment and public domain, in order to avoid adverse impacts and to ensure the most appropriate improvements within the district.

- g. Special funding arrangements, including assistance to local government, appears essential to enable planning actions and facilitate infrastructure and development projects in order to realise the strategies, policies and targets envisaged.
 - h. In designing and developing new housing or activity centre areas the provision of at least 10% public open space as accessible local parks and arrangements for traffic management and parking supply will be important considerations to be taken into account through structure planning and detailed proposals.
3. Requests staff to liaise with the Department of Planning with a view to fine-tuning the proposed housing target and other prescriptions applicable to Cottesloe, for future reporting to Council on the progress of the regional planning strategies and policies, implementation arrangements, consultation needs, detailed planning actions and the funding situation.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Dawkins, seconded Mayor Morgan

That the following words be inserted in item (e) after the word "Railway Street" "..., including possible partial covering of the trench to gain useable land and optimise returns,"

Carried 11/0

THE AMENDED SUBSTANTIVE MOTION WAS PUT

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

That Council:

- 1. Notes this briefing on the new regional planning strategies and policy.**
- 2. Makes the following comments in a submission to the WAPC on the Central Metropolitan Perth Sub-regional Strategy:**
 - a. Council recognises that Cottesloe has a comparatively small yet important share of infill urban housing to contribute over time to assist in accommodating regional population growth, as well as a significant urban regeneration role in relation to regional transport routes and activity centres.**
 - b. Council in recent years through its scheme review and associated studies has been proactive in examining regional and local planning issues and requirements in order to ascertain the most appropriate solutions for the various localities throughout the district.**

- c. The findings of these initiatives, which involved Council, the community, landowners, consultants and state government agencies, has provided a solid framework for Council and the community to continue to engage with state government agencies and other stakeholders towards realising the opportunities for addressing the proposed regional transport routes, east-west connectivity, town centre enhancement, new urban precincts, infill residential development, the supply and diversity of housing, the beachfront/foreshore recreational destination and additional matters which may arise.
- d. Council will be seeking to pursue these initiatives through finalisation of Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS3), further studies, structure planning, other local planning tools, and detailed planning, design and development control, in collaboration with relevant parties and subject to stakeholder and community participation.
- e. In particular, the railway lands area west of the Town Centre, which includes the railway line/station and Curtin Avenue in their current alignments and is classified as a Development Zone in LPS3, exhibits strong potential for detailed structure planning (based on the Preliminary Structure Plan and related studies already undertaken) for a demonstration transit-oriented development that solves the alignment and impact of these routes, improves east-west connectivity, delivers new and diverse housing towards achieving the desired target and fosters the town activity centre. In this regard the option examined in depth which is strongly preferred by Council involves sinking Curtin Avenue and the railway line in a common trench between the Western Power sub-station and Railway Street, including possible partial covering of the trench to gain useable land and optimise returns, together with a grade-separated road crossing for Jarrad Street (thereby overcoming the existing inefficient and hazardous level-crossing), similarly improved pedestrian and cyclist connections, integration of the train station with the Town Centre, and freeing-up as well as maximising the unused railway lands for urbanisation with a focus on a consolidated transit-oriented development, multiple activities, housing supply and choice, quality public domain spaces and sustainability.
- f. In terms of implementation, a cooperative approach with local governments is advocated rather than the imposition of unpalatable measures or unrealistic demands, and one which respects the individual character, heritage value and amenity of each district or locality, whereby the social composition, local planning approach and community aspirations are considered before any changes are made. In this regard it will be important to take into account the extensive previous community consultations undertaken in relation to the planning and development of Cottesloe, as well as the established planning mechanisms which have achieved the qualities of the built environment and public domain, in order to avoid adverse impacts and to ensure the most appropriate improvements within the district.

- g. Special funding arrangements, including assistance to local government, appears essential to enable planning actions and facilitate infrastructure and development projects in order to realise the strategies, policies and targets envisaged.
 - h. In designing and developing new housing or activity centre areas the provision of at least 10% public open space as accessible local parks and arrangements for traffic management and parking supply will be important considerations to be taken into account through structure planning and detailed proposals.
3. Requests staff to liaise with the Department of Planning with a view to fine-tuning the proposed housing target and other prescriptions applicable to Cottesloe, for future reporting to Council on the progress of the regional planning strategies and policies, implementation arrangements, consultation needs, detailed planning actions and the funding situation.

Carried 11/0

**11.2 WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES - 16
NOVEMBER 2010****11.2.1 REVIEW OF DIFFERENTIAL RATING POLICY**

File No: POL/5
Attachments: [Policy - Differential Rating.DOC](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The Town of Cottesloe policy for Differential Rating has been reviewed by Council staff. This report recommends that Council adopt the policy.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This report relates to the Differential Rating policy.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

- Local Government Act 1995

◆ SECTION 6.33. DIFFERENTIAL GENERAL RATES

- (1) A local government may impose differential general rates according to any, or a combination, of the following characteristics —
 - (a) the purpose for which the land is zoned, whether or not under a local planning scheme in force under the *Planning and Development Act 2005*;
 - (b) a purpose for which the land is held or used as determined by the local government;
 - (c) whether or not the land is vacant land; or
 - (d) any other characteristic or combination of characteristics prescribed.
 - (2) Regulations may —
 - (a) specify the characteristics under subsection (1) which a local government is to use; or
 - (b) limit the characteristics under subsection (1) which a local government is permitted to use.
-

- (3) In imposing a differential general rate a local government is not to, without the approval of the Minister, impose a differential general rate which is more than twice the lowest differential general rate imposed by it.
- (4) If during a financial year, the characteristics of any land which form the basis for the imposition of a differential general rate have changed, the local government is not to, on account of that change, amend the assessment of rates payable on that land in respect of that financial year but this subsection does not apply in any case where section 6.40(1)(a) applies.
- (5) A differential general rate that a local government purported to impose under this Act before the *Local Government Amendment Act 2009* section 39(1)(a) came into operation¹ is to be taken to have been as valid as if the amendment made by that paragraph had been made before the purported imposition of that rate.

[Section 6.33 amended by No. 38 of 2005 s. 15; No. 17 of 2009 s. 39.]

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

Council is required to regularly review its policies. This policy is due to be reviewed. There is no intention to introduce differential rating. This policy details what is involved should such a recommendation be made.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council note the policy has been reviewed and adopt the Differential Rating Policy as per the attachment.

Carried 11/0

11.2.2 REVIEW OF RESERVE ACCOUNT POLICY

File No: POL/18
Attachments: [Policy - Reserve Accounts.DOC](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Patrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The Town of Cottesloe policy for Reserve Accounts has been reviewed by Council staff. This report recommends that Council adopt the policy with suggested changes.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS**Objective 5: Infrastructure**

To maintain infrastructure and council buildings in a sustainable way

- Develop a long term asset management plan and accompanying financial plan.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This report relates to the Reserve Accounts policy.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

- Local Government Act 1995

◆ SECTION 6.11. RESERVE ACCOUNTS

- (1) Subject to subsection (5), where a local government wishes to set aside money for use for a purpose in a future financial year, it is to establish and maintain a reserve account for each such purpose.
- (2) Subject to subsection (3), before a local government —
 - (a) changes* the purpose of a reserve account; or
 - (b) uses* the money in a reserve account for another purpose,

it must give one month's local public notice of the proposed change of purpose or proposed use.

* Absolute majority required.

- (3) A local government is not required to give local public notice under subsection (2) —
 - (a) where the change of purpose or of proposed use of money has been disclosed in the annual budget of the local government for that financial year; or
 - (b) in such other circumstances as are prescribed.
- (4) A change of purpose of, or use of money in, a reserve account is to be disclosed in the annual financial report for the year in which the change occurs.
- (5) Regulations may prescribe the circumstances and the manner in which a local government may set aside money for use for a purpose in a future financial year without the requirement to establish and maintain a reserve account.

- Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996

REG. 17. RESERVE ACCOUNTS

- (1) A reserve account is to have a title that clearly identifies the purpose for which the money in the account is set aside.
- (2) In the accounts, annual budget and financial reports of the local government a reserve account is to be referred to —
 - (a) in the information required by regulations 27(g) and 38, by its full title; and
 - (b) otherwise, by its full title or by an abbreviation of that title.

REG.18. CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH LOCAL PUBLIC NOTICE NOT REQUIRED FOR CHANGE OF USE OF MONEY IN RESERVE ACCOUNT — S. 6.11(3)(B)

A local government is not required to give local public notice of a proposed change of use of money in a reserve account —

- (a) where the money is to be used to meet expenditure authorised by the mayor or president under section 6.8(1)(c); or
- (b) where the total amount to be so used does not exceed \$5 000 in a financial year.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

Council is required to regularly review its policies. This policy is due to be reviewed. The policy is needed some minor changes prior to being endorsed until the next review.

The changes allow for clearer management of reserve accounts in line with current legislation.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

COMMITTEE COMMENT:

The Manager Corporate and Community Services tabled an amended Reserve Account Policy, which was considered and accepted by Committee.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council note the policy has been amended and adopt the Reserve Account Policy as per the attachment.

Carried 11/0

11.2.3 REVIEW OF SELF SUPPORTING LOANS POLICY

File No: POL/20
Attachments: [Policy - Loans - Self Supporting.DOC](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Author: Chief Executive Officer
Graham Patrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The Town of Cottesloe policy for Self Supporting Loans has been reviewed by Council staff. This report recommends that Council adopt the policy with no changes.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This report relates to the Self Supporting Loans policy.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

- Local Government Act 1995

SUBDIVISION 3 — BORROWINGS**◆ SECTION 6.20. POWER TO BORROW**

- (1) Subject to this Act, a local government may —
 - (a) borrow or re-borrow money;
 - (b) obtain credit; or
 - (c) arrange for financial accommodation to be extended to the local government in ways additional to or other than borrowing money or obtaining credit,to enable the local government to perform the functions and exercise the powers conferred on it under this Act or any other written law.
 - (2) Where, in any financial year, a local government proposes to exercise a power under subsection (1) (*power to borrow*) and details of that proposal have not been included in the annual budget for that financial year —
 - (a) unless the proposal is of a prescribed kind, the local government must give one month's local public notice of the proposal; and
 - (b) the resolution to exercise that power is to be by absolute majority.
 - (3) Where a local government has exercised a power to borrow and —
-

- (a) it does not wish to proceed with the performance of the function or the exercise of the power for which the power to borrow was exercised; or
- (b) after having completed the performance of the function or the exercise of the power for which the power to borrow was exercised, any part of the money borrowed, credit obtained or financial accommodation arranged has not been expended or utilized,

the local government may resolve* to expend the money or utilize the credit or financial accommodation for another purpose if one month's local public notice is given of the proposed change of purpose.

** Absolute majority required.*

- (4) A local government is not required to give local public notice under subsection (3) —
 - (a) where the change of purpose has been disclosed in the annual budget of the local government for the relevant financial year; or
 - (b) in such other circumstances as are prescribed.
- (5) A change of purpose referred to in subsection (3) is to be disclosed in the annual financial report for the year in which the change occurs.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

Council is required to regularly review its policies. This policy is due to be reviewed. The policy is satisfactory in its current format and as such is endorsed until the next review.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council note the policy has been reviewed and adopt the Self Supporting Loans Policy as per the attachment.

Carried 11/0

11.2.4 MEETING DATES 2011

File No: SUB/383
Attachments: [Committee Council Meeting Dates 2011.pdf](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Lydia Giles
Executive Assistant

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest: Nil

SUMMARY

A resolution is required to set Council and Committee Meetings for 2011.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS**Objective 7: Organisation Development**

To effectively manage Council's resources and work processes.

- Deliver high quality professional governance and administration.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

None known

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Regulation 12 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations applies:

Public Notice of Council or Committee meetings –s.5.25(g):

- (1) *At least once each year a local government is to give local public notice of the dates on which and the time and place at which –*
- (a) *the ordinary council meetings; and*
 - (b) *the committee meetings that are required under the Act to be open to members of the public or that are proposed to be open to members of the public, are to be held in the next 12 months.*
- (2) *A local government is to give local public notice of any change to the date, time or place of a meeting referred to in sub-regulation (1).*

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The proposed change to the meeting date from Monday 25 April 2011 to the Wednesday 27 April 2011 takes into account the Easter Monday public holiday and ANZAC day and means that Council business can be attended to without the delay of a week. This was very successful in the 2010 round of Council meetings.

For the December round of meetings, it is proposed that Committee meetings will be on 5 and 6 December and Council on 12 December. However given the closeness to the November Council meeting an alternative is to consider the Committee meetings on the 12 and 13 December and Council on 19 December 2011.

Council also has the option to change the time of the meetings to ensure that there is consistency with starting times for each committee. The Development Services Committee currently commence at 6:00pm and other committees at 7:00pm it is recommended that consideration be given to aligning all meeting times. Council has the option to have all meetings commence at 6pm or 7pm, or at another time to be determined.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell**

That Council adopt the following meeting dates for 2011 and:

1. Observe a recess in January 2011, with no ordinary meeting of Council;
2. Advertise the ordinary Council meeting dates for 2011 as the fourth Monday in the month commencing at 7:00pm;-

January	No meeting
February	Monday 28
March	Monday 28
April	Wednesday 27 - Change due to Anzac Day
May	Monday 23
June	Monday 27
July	Monday 25
August	Monday 22
September	Monday 26
October	Monday 24
November	Monday 28
December	Monday 12 -To avoid proximity to Christmas

3. Advertise the Development Services Committee meeting dates for 2011 as the third Monday in the month commencing at 6:00pm;-

January	No meeting
February	Monday 21
March	Monday 21
April	Monday 18
May	Monday 16
June	Monday 20

July	Monday 18
August	Monday 15
September	Monday 19
October	Monday 17
November	Monday 21
December	Monday 5 - To avoid proximity to Christmas

4. Advertise the Works & Corporate Services Committee meeting dates for 2011 as being held on the day after the Development Services Committee meeting commencing at 7:00pm

January	No meeting
February	Tuesday 22
March	Tuesday 22
April	Tuesday 19
May	Tuesday 17
June	Tuesday 21
July	Tuesday 19
August	Tuesday 16
September	Tuesday 20
October	Tuesday 18
November	Tuesday 22
December	Tuesday 6 - To avoid proximity to Christmas; and

5. Advertise the Strategic Planning Committee meeting dates for 2011 being held on the day after the Works & Corporate Services Committee meeting in the months of February, May, August & November commencing at 7:00pm as:-

February	Wednesday 23
May	Wednesday 18
August	Wednesday 17
November	Wednesday 23

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Boland, seconded _____

That Council amend the start times for the Strategic Planning Committee from 7:00pm to 6:00pm.

The motion lapsed for want of a seconder

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Boland, seconded _____

That Council amend the Committee and Council meeting dates in December 2011 by moving them back one week, i.e. Council Meeting 19 December, Development Services Committee 12 December, Works and Corporate Services Committee Meeting 13 December.

The motion lapsed for want of a seconder

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

That Council adopt the following meeting dates for 2011 and:

1. Observe a recess in January 2011, with no ordinary meeting of Council;
2. Advertise the ordinary Council meeting dates for 2011 as the fourth Monday in the month commencing at 7:00pm;-

January	No meeting
February	Monday 28
March	Monday 28
April	Wednesday 27 - Change due to Anzac Day
May	Monday 23
June	Monday 27
July	Monday 25
August	Monday 22
September	Monday 26
October	Monday 24
November	Monday 28
December	Monday 12 -To avoid proximity to Christmas

3. Advertise the Development Services Committee meeting dates for 2011 as the third Monday in the month commencing at 6:00pm;-

January	No meeting
February	Monday 21
March	Monday 21
April	Monday 18
May	Monday 16
June	Monday 20
July	Monday 18
August	Monday 15
September	Monday 19
October	Monday 17
November	Monday 21
December	Monday 5 - To avoid proximity to Christmas

4. Advertise the Works & Corporate Services Committee meeting dates for 2011 as being held on the day after the Development Services Committee meeting commencing at 7:00pm

January	No meeting
February	Tuesday 22
March	Tuesday 22
April	Tuesday 19
May	Tuesday 17
June	Tuesday 21
July	Tuesday 19
August	Tuesday 16
September	Tuesday 20
October	Tuesday 18
November	Tuesday 22
December	Tuesday 6 - To avoid proximity to Christmas; and

5. Advertise the Strategic Planning Committee meeting dates for 2011 being held on the day after the Works & Corporate Services Committee meeting in the months of February, May, August & November commencing at 7:00pm as:-

February	Wednesday 23
May	Wednesday 18
August	Wednesday 17
November	Wednesday 23

SUBSTANTIVE MOTION WAS PUT

Carried 11/0

11.2.5 EXCAVATION ON DEANE STREET ROAD VERGE

File No: SUB/442
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

A small amount of debris removal took place several months ago by Council staff on the north side of a short section of Deane Street at road level. This debris material was at the base of a limestone cutting through which a section of Deane Street was originally built. The work was originally requested by a resident.

A complaint has been received from another resident of Deane Street who has queried the debris removal and requested a retaining wall to protect against erosion and landfalls.

The recommendation is that Council inform the complainant that no further works through the Deane Street cutting are proposed at this stage for either debris removal or retaining walls, but that the site will be monitored for potential future works if this is considered necessary.

BACKGROUND

The minor works at the foot of this cutting on the north side of Deane Street were undertaken in May of this year. The works were requested by the fronting land owner who had problems with parking on the kerb line. Originally there had been approximately one metre of flat ground behind the kerb which allowed vehicles to be partially off the road. Long term slow erosion had filled over that width, forcing the vehicle to be fully parked in front of the kerb line. The works undertaken in May removed that erosion build up and did not cut into the original cutting.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Minor maintenance costs if left as it is. \$10,000 - \$20,000 cost if a retaining wall is installed.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Only with adjacent residents who first requested the original works.

STAFF COMMENT

Other than this comment, there have been no complaints regarding this minor removal of erosion material build up. Deane Street is narrow through this cutting, with minimal passing width if a vehicle is parked in front of the kerb line. There are no plans and there have been no requests to extend the removal of erosion debris further along the cutting. In regards to parking, residents of Deane Street are not entitled to park on Broome Street verges, as an alternative to parking in Deane Street.

There are no plans, nor does it appear necessary, for a retaining wall through Deane Street cutting.

VOTING

Simple Majority

Prior to discussion of item 10.2.1 Cr Strzina declared an impartiality interest and disclosed that he is a resident of Deane St. As a consequence he advised that there may be a perception that his impartiality on the matter may be affected and declared he would consider the matter on its merits and vote accordingly.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council inform the complainant that no further works through the Deane Street cutting are proposed at this stage for either debris removal or retaining walls, but that the site will be monitored for potential future works if this is considered necessary.

Carried 11/0

11.2.6 POLICY REVIEW - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

File No: POL/37
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

Council is required to regularly review its policies. Its policy on "Traffic Management" was adopted in August 2002.

The item was considered by Council at its October 2010 meeting, with a resolution that it be further reviewed by Administration. The policy has now been modified and the recommendation is that the modified policy be adopted by Council.

BACKGROUND

Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Review of existing policy.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

This policy relates to Councils obligations to provide safe infrastructure to allow for the movement of pedestrians, cyclists, motorists and public transport. It includes the construction of various assets which restrict or control the movement of vehicles at intersections or to slow down vehicles to the zoned speeds.

Control of vehicle speeds and movement is a Police duty, bound by state legislation. All new traffic installations must first be approved by Main Roads WA for the legal installation of line marking and control signage.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Existing Policy

STAFF COMMENT

Under this policy, the Objectives, Principles and Issues remain and are correctly expressed. The Road Hierarchy has been established by Main Roads WA in liaison with metropolitan councils. There is currently a move to join the regional and metropolitan road hierarchies together to create one state wide hierarchy.

The Traffic Management Strategy provision remains applicable and the consultant traffic study undertaken in recent years was an example of the need for an 'all of Cottesloe' approach to traffic management. Pedestrians and Cyclists remain a strong consideration for traffic management, particularly at intersections. Road Classification is controlled by Main Roads WA and the design aspect, in recent years, has tended to be centred on Black Spots, road safety at intersections and speed control on residential and local collection and distribution roads.

In regards to the comments included in the policy under the sub-heading "*Road Classification and Design*" upgrading has occurred relating to Curtin Avenue and the output of the "Enquiry by Design" process undertaken in 2008.

Schedule 3 of the policy deals with intervention guidelines. Council has a number of modern computerised vehicle classifiers (upgraded car counters) which provide speeds, types of vehicles and the volumes of the different vehicle types on the roads or streets being counted, for every hour of the count. This allows for a more developed understanding of vehicle movement within the Town of Cottesloe, with the intervention levels in the policy being made easier to apply.

Under Councils 5 year management programs for infrastructure, the 5 year plan for Road Safety Improvement and Speed Restrictions allows Council to budget, annually for priority needs to ensure safe and effective traffic movement and management.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council adopt the modified Traffic Management Policy.

Carried 11/0

11.2.7 REQUEST FOR NEW FOOTPATH, CHARLES STREET, COTTESLOE

File No: SUB/435
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

There is no footpath in Charles Street between Eric Street and Athelstan Road. This street is used by school children and parents walking to the North Cottesloe Primary School. A request has been received for a new footpath to be built on the verge to provide a permanent and safe walking alignment for all pedestrians using the street, particularly school children.

The recommendation is that Council:

1. Consult with property owners on the west side of Charles Street about a proposal to install a 1.5m wide concrete footpath on the road verge;
2. Install the proposed footpath if there are no pertinent issues against the proposal raised by affected residents, with the matter to be brought back to Council if there are un-resolvable problems;
3. Fund the proposed new Charles Street footpath from savings made from footpath replacement allocations made in the 2010/2011 budget to a total cost of \$12,000; and
4. Inform the applicant of Council's decision.

BACKGROUND

The vast majority of streets in Cottesloe contain existing footpaths, sometimes on both sides of the street. Until recently, no public requests had been recorded for this street to receive a footpath. With increased use by school children and the 'walking school bus arrangement' the need has developed to consider the proposal.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Council's policy – New Footpaths applies.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

There is no statutory requirement for Council to build any footpath.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The estimated cost of a new footpath in Charles Street is \$12,000. This cost could be funded from surplus funds becoming available from budgeted allocations for slab footpath replacements in 2010/2011.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

None so far. Consultation is recommended with property owners affected by a new path construction on their verge.

STAFF COMMENT

When the "New Footpath" policy was being developed and debated, Charles Street had been mentioned as one street that needed a footpath, however no funds were included in the 2010/2011 budget for this work.

The street is relatively flat and a standard path could be built on either of the two verges. Discussions with the applicant have indicated that the preferred verge for a new footpath is on the west side, crossing the grassed island between the Charles Street service lane to the south side of Eric Street.

With regards to the policy consideration, this proposed path would improve safety, install a missing path link, improve universal access and provide a footpath in a street with no footpaths. The west side of Charles Street has less private properties when compared with the east side, however, property owners will still need to be consulted, as per policy, prior to any final construction decision by Council.

In terms of cost, the estimated cost of \$12,000 could be allocated from budgeted funds allocated to the 2010/2011 Footpath Slab Replacement Program. The three year tender adopted earlier this year for in-situ concrete footpaths, was substantially below the expected costs with savings of approximately \$50,000 in the year predicted. The paths completed to date have provided the expected level of savings.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

THAT COUNCIL:

1. Consult with property owners on the west side of Charles Street about a proposal to install a 1.5m wide concrete footpath on the road verge;
2. Install the proposed footpath if there are no pertinent issues against the proposal raised by affected residents, with the matter to be brought back to Council if there are un-resolvable problems;
3. Fund the proposed new Charles Street footpath from savings made from footpath replacement allocations made in the 2010/2011 budget to a total cost of \$12,000; and
4. Inform the applicant of Council's decision

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Boland; seconded Cr Cunningham

That the words "*on the west side*" in point one of the Officer's recommendation be moved from its current position and placed before the words "*the road verge*".

Carried 7/0

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT COUNCIL:

- 1. Consult with property owners of Charles Street about a proposal to install a 1.5m wide concrete footpath on the west side of the road verge;**
- 2. Install the proposed footpath if there are no pertinent issues against the proposal raised by affected residents, with the matter to be brought back to Council if there are un-resolvable problems;**
- 3. Fund the proposed new Charles Street footpath from savings made from footpath replacement allocations made in the 2010/2011 budget to a total cost of \$12,000; and**
- 4. Inform the applicant of Council's decision**

The Amended substantive motion was put

Carried 11/0

11.2.8 STATUTORY FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2010

File No: SUB/137
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Operating Statement, Statement of Assets and Liabilities and supporting financial information for the period ending 31 October 2010, to Council.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Operating Statement on page 2 of the Financial Statements shows a favourable variance between the actual and budgeted YTD net profit or loss of \$1,027,833 as at 30 September 2010. Operating Revenue is above budget by \$68,732 (1%). Operating Expenditure is \$89,380 (3%) less than budgeted YTD. A report on the variances in income and expenditure for the period ended 30 September 2010 is shown on page 7. It is important to note that the YTD variance is distorted by \$518,018 in grant funds distributed from the Shire of Peppermint Grove relating to the Library project and \$215,000 of parking revenue received as in lieu from development projects.

The Capital Works Program is listed on pages 23 - 28 and shows total expenditure of \$2,163,661 compared to YTD budget of \$2,180,482. Included in this section is an

anomaly relating to the new library. The report currently shows YTD expenditure against this project of \$1,181,266 compared to a total budget of \$789,848. Part of the reason for the \$391,418 unfavourable variance is that we have not offset the grant funds (\$581,018 as mentioned above) from the Shire of Peppermint Grove.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council receive the Operating Statement, Statement of Assets and Liabilities and supporting financial information for the period ending 31 October, 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, submitted to the 16 November 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 11/0

11.2.9 SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS & LOANS FOR OCTOBER 2010

File No: SUB/150 & SUB/151
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the period ending 31 October 2010, as per attachment, to Council.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Schedule of Investments on page 18 of the Financial Statements shows that \$3,822,029.74 was invested as at 31 October 2010.

Reserve Funds make up \$650,478.95 of the total invested and are restricted funds. Approximately 28% of the funds are invested with the National Australia Bank, 38% with Westpac, 18% with Commonwealth Bank and 16% with BankWest.

The Schedule of Loans on page 19 shows a balance of \$6,679,066.39 as at 31 October, 2010. There is \$440,894.71 included in this balance that relates to self supporting loans.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE COMMENT:

Committee discussed the officer report and financial statements and sought clarification on a number of issues. Manager of Corporate and Community Services provided responses to some questions at the meeting and agreed to advise all Councillors by email in relation to the others.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Strzina

THAT Council receive the Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the period ending 31 October 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 16 November 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Strzina

That the Committee recommendation be amended to include a new part two (2) to read “Request a review of the “Investments” and “Investment of Surplus Funds” Policies to be carried out as soon as practicable”.

Carried 7/4

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

THAT Council:

- 1. Receive the Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the period ending 31 October 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 16 November 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee**
- 2. Request a review of the Investments” and “Investment of Surplus Funds” Policies to be carried out as soon as practicable.**

Carried 9/2

THE AMENDED SUBSTANTIVE MOTION WAS PUT

11.2.10 ACCOUNTS PAID FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2010

File No: SUB/137
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the list of accounts paid for the period ending 31 October 2010 to Council, as per the attached financial statements.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The list of accounts commencing on page 9 of the Financial Statements has the following significant payments that are brought to your attention:

- \$14,967.18 to WA Local Government Superannuation Plan for superannuation contributions.
 - \$15,138.95 to WA Local Government Superannuation Plan for superannuation contributions.
 - \$38,093.05 to Wangara Volkswagon for purchase of new car
 - \$137,427.46 to WATC for loan repayment
 - \$11,220.00 to Breac Pty Ltd for environmental health services.
 - \$42,328.92 to City Toyota for purchase of 2 new cars
 - \$44,429.70 to the Shire of Peppermint Grove for contribution toward the new library
-

- \$36,107.50 to id Consulting for annual subscription (WESROC initiative)
- \$227,326.54 to the Shire of Peppermint Grove for contribution toward the new library
- \$79,352.65 to Pro-Cott being the payment of the Special Area Levy for 2010/2011
- \$24,657.00 to City Toyota for purchase of a new car
- \$10,450.00 to UHY Haines Norton for the audit for 2009/2010
- \$25,801.61 to the Shire of Peppermint Grove for contribution toward the new library
- \$17,748.61 to B & N Waste for bulk/green waste collection in September
- \$20,894.50 to Tyco Flow Control for reticulation upgrade
- \$37,499.71 to Western Metropolitan Regional Council for transfer station tipping fees.
- \$35,253.38 to Transpacific Cleanaway for waste collection services.
- \$38,087.35 to Wangara Volkswagon for purchase of new car
- \$20,428.57 to Surf Life Saving WA for contracted services for October 2010
- \$11,151.51 to Western Metropolitan Regional Council for transfer station tipping fees.
- \$67,633.48 & \$67,070.71 for staff payroll.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council receive the List of Accounts for the period ending 31 October 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 16 November 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 11/0

11.2.11 PROPERTY & SUNDRY DEBTORS REPORT FOR OCTOBER 2010

File No: SUB/145
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 16 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Property and Sundry Debtors Reports for the period ending 31 October 2010 to Council

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Sundry Debtors Report commences on page 20 of the Financial Statements and shows a balance of \$392,049.72 of which \$328,592.74 relates to the current month. The balance of aged debtors over 30 days stood at \$63,456.98

Property Debtors are shown in the Rates and Charges analysis on page 22 of the Financial Statements and show a balance of \$2,445,552.38. Of this amount \$197,617.74 and \$394,828.14 are deferred rates and outstanding ESL respectively. As can be seen on the Balance Sheet on page 4 of the Financial Statements, rates as a current asset are \$2,245,964 in 2010 compared to \$1,840,062 last year.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

THAT Council receive the Property and Sundry Debtors Report for the period ending 31 October 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 16 November 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 11/0

11.2.12 BLACK SPOT TREATMENT – MANN/GRANT ST

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Strzina

That the issue of the black spot treatment on the corner of Grant Street and Mann Street be considered as urgent business.

Carried 7/0

Information previously emailed to all Councillors on 16 November 2010 was tabled by the Manager Engineering Services in relation to this matter including a written response from the Department of Main Roads advising that the revised submission and proposed treatment for the intersection at Mann and Grant Street was not supported as an alternative.

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Strzina

That Council undertake works on originally approved in August 2008, including the “Seagull” island design, with all works to be completed by the end of December 2010 and letters to be sent to all persons who made comment on this matter in May/June 2010 explaining the reasons for Council’s actions.

Lost 2/5

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

That Council return funds already received from Main Roads WA for the original approved works and request that staff investigate alternative treatments on Mann Street and Grant Street to improve safety at the intersection.

Carried 11/0

11.2.13 WESTERN POWER/PUBLIC TRANSPORT AUTHORITY – TREE REMOVAL

Moved Cr Boland, seconded Cr Strzina

That the issue of the removal of two trees by Western Power, on Public Transport Authority land, north of the Western Power substation on Curtin Ave be treated as urgent business.

Carried 11/0

Information has been previously circulated to all Councillors by the Manager Engineering Services, who also provided an update at the meeting including latest advise from Western Power. It was noted by Committee that the trees are located on Public Transport Authority (PTA) land.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Rowell

That Council write to both Western Power and the Public Transport Authority requesting that every endeavour be made to preserve these two trees.

Carried 11/0

11.3 STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE MINUTES - 17 NOVEMBER 2010**11.3.1 TOWN OF COTTESLOE - ACTION PLAN REVIEW**

File No: SUB/108
Attachments: [Action Plan 17 November 2010 DOC](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer

Proposed Meeting Date: 17 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

This report recommends that Committee receive the updated *Action Plan* report and provide feedback to the CEO and senior staff present at the meeting on agreed modifications to the Action Plan for subsequent presentation to Council.

BACKGROUND

The *Future Plan 2006 to 2010* for the Town of Cottesloe was finalised and adopted by Council in June 2007. At that time two review dates for the Future Plan were set, so that the document would return to Council. The first of those review dates was set at November 2008 and the second was in November 2010. Following the adoption of the *Future Plan*, an *Action Plan* was developed and an updated review of that plan is tabled for consideration at each meeting of the Strategic Planning Committee.

In September 2009, Committee determined to make changes to objectives 5.2 and 6.1 by updating the intent and focus of each. These changes were subsequently approved by Council. In November 2009 Council resolved to receive the Action Plan report as amended and also agreed to review the Action Plan priorities in November 2010.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

The Action Plan has obvious strategic implications.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

None known

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Statutory Environment

Division 5 — Annual reports and planning principal activities

5.56. *Planning principal activities*

- (1) *Each financial year, a local government is to prepare a plan for the next 4 or more financial years.*
 - (2) *The plan is to contain details of—*
-

- (a) *the principal activities that are proposed to be commenced or to be continued in each financial year affected by the plan;*
- (b) *the objectives of each principal activity;*
- (c) *the estimated cost of, and proposed means of funding, each principal activity;*
- (d) *how the local government proposes to assess its performance in relation to each principal activity;*
- (e) *the estimated income and expenditure for each financial year affected by the plan; and*
- (f) *such other matters as may be prescribed.*

Regulation 19C of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 refers, i.e.

19C. Planning for the Future – section 5.56 of the LGA

- (1) *In this regulation – “**plan for the future**” means a plan made under section 5.56.*
- (2) *A local government is to make a plan for the future of its district in respect of the period specified in the plan (being at least 2 financial years).*
- (3) *A plan for the future of a district is to set out the broad objectives of the local government for the period specified in the plan.*
- (4) *a local government is to review its current plan for the future of its district every 2 years and may modify the plan, including extending the period the plan is made in respect of.*
- (5) *A council is to consider a plan, or modifications, submitted to it and is to determine* whether or not to adopt the plan, or the modifications, as is relevant.*

**Absolute majority required.*

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Adoption of the Future Plan and associated Action Plans will inevitably require expenditure as per Council's adopted budget and long term financial plan.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The Town has continuously demonstrated a high level of regard for the sustainable management of Council's resources and the Future Plan not only supports sound financial management but also meets the legislative requirements contained within the Local Government Act 1995 and associated Regulations. Purchasing

CONSULTATION

The Future Plan was developed in consultation with the community by way of public submission periods and refined by the Town's Strategic Planning Committee prior to adoption by Council

STAFF COMMENT

In relation to the Action Plan, the following strategies were identified by Council as priorities for 2009/10 at its July 2009 meeting.

- 1.2 Reduce beachfront hotel numbers to a sustainable level.
- 1.5 Identify increased opportunities to use existing facilities or provide new venues for formal community cultural events and activities.
- 1.9 Develop a Community Safety Strategy
- 2.1 Produce a draft Structure Plan for consultation purposes showing the sinking of the railway and realignment of Curtin Avenue together with 'what's possible' in terms of sustainable redevelopment and pedestrian and traffic links.
- 3.1 Develop the 'Foreshore Vision and Master Plan' in consultation with the community.
- 3.4 Introduce electronically timed parking.
- 4.1 Develop planning incentives for heritage properties.
- 4.5 Consider undeveloped Government owned land for higher density development provided there is both public support and benefit for the Cottesloe community.
- 5.1 Adopt a policy position on assets that have a realisable value such as the Depot and Sumps.
- 5.2 Subject to the satisfactory resolution of land tenure, design and funding requirements, progress the development of new joint library facilities.
- 5.3 Develop an integrated Town Centre plan to improve all aspects of the infrastructure of the Town Centre.
- 5.6 Develop a long term asset management plan and accompanying financial plan.
- 6.1 Further improve the community consultation policy in recognition of the need for greater community engagement when change is needed.
- DP1 Complete the adoption of Local Planning Scheme No. 3 including the preparation of all draft policies to a stage where they can be advertised for public comment.
- DP2 Report on the proposed tasks identified in the Climate Change Vulnerability study and their impacts, priorities and applicability to the Town of Cottesloe

The following *program summary* in relation to the above strategies is provided. More detail is contained in the attached updated Action Plan.

Rating	Ref	Comment
O	1.2	Council's strategy to monitor anti-social behaviour is ongoing. Last report to Council in August 2010.
O	1.5	Civic Centre future use study reported to Council in February 2010. Ongoing.
C	1.9	Develop a Community Safety Strategy. Report to Council in May 2010 and adoption of Safer Sustainable Cottesloe Plan 2010 – 2014. .
O	2.1	As per Council resolution in October 2009, Draft Plan progressed through EbD. Working Group reconvening with a view to further community consultation on a preferred alignment.
O	3.1	As per Council resolution in October 2009, Foreshore Implementation Working Group meeting regularly to consider and oversee realisation of the Concept Plan. Consultant engaged.

		Plan completed with implementation schedule a cost estimates being finalised.
C	3.4	Meter Eyes have been installed at the Foreshore and Town Centre with a second phase roll out in the Town Centre planned for the 2011/12 budget.
C/O	4.1	Proposed LPS3 and related draft policy as well as practice by staff and the Heritage Advisor have addressed the consideration and application of heritage incentives so far.
O	4.5	Council has resolved to pursue structure planning for the area which will include consideration of higher density development. At present the focus is on resolving Curtin Avenue, the railway and east-west connectivity as the key infrastructure prerequisites to overall structure planning for land uses and development.
C/O	5.1	Plan is currently progressing for the Depot. Station Street sump site under consideration.
C	5.2	Joint Library construction complete. Landscaping being finalised. Estimated completion date is November 2010. Official opening scheduled for February 2011.
O	5.3	A consultant has been appointed to and commenced this study having regard to the related Station Street and railway lands planning initiatives influencing the future of the Town Centre.
O	5.6	WAAMI program has been restarted and will become the basis for the Town's asset management plan and will link to the long term financial plan.
H	6.1	This matter has not progressed due to other priorities.
C/O	DP1	LPS3 was submitted to WAPC in May 2009 and is pending approval by the Minister for Planning which is expected by December 2010.
O	DP2	Geological study to determine the rock/sand sections of the foreshore approved with grant funding. Work to commence in November 2010.
Legend		O=Ongoing C=Complete/substantially complete H=On Hold

This agenda item represents an opportunity for Committee members to review progress and provide informal feedback on where staff should be headed in terms of implementing individual actions. It is recommended that Committee receive the Action Plan and provide comment to the CEO and senior staff present at the meeting on agreed modifications to the Action Plan prior to presentation to Council.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE COMMENT:

The Committee discussed the Action Plan and noted the completion of the development of the new joint library facilities. They also determine to add in two new items:

- Under Objective 4: Development, new item 4.7 be added to read "Develop a strategy to address the requirements of the State Government's Directions 2031 Strategies and Policies".

- A new Dynamic Priority be added to read: “Develop a strategy to promote Council services and activities at the beachfront in order to better align with expectations for the wellbeing and enjoyment of the local community and visitors to the Town of Cottesloe”.

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Strzina

That Council receive the Action Plan Report as amended by the Strategic Planning Committee.

Carried 11/0

11.3.2 STANDING COMMITTEE ROLES POLICY UPDATE

File No: POL/54
Attachments: [Policy Standing Committee Roles Updated 11 Nov 2010 DOC](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Proposed Meeting Date: 17 November 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The Town of Cottesloe policy for Standing Committee Roles has been amended by Council staff. This report recommends that Council adopt the policy, noting some minor changes have been recommended.

BACKGROUND

A process of review and update for all Council policies has been implemented by the Administration and policies are being presented to Council as they are reviewed for endorsement.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

No change.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

This policy has been reviewed to ensure it is relevant to the Town of Cottesloe's current working environment. It is important that Council outline the primary responsibilities of its Standing Committees and it is considered good governance for Council to review and consider them from time to time. Minor changes are recommended to be made to this policy at this time, as per the attachment.

VOTING

Simple Majority

COMMITTEE COMMENT:

The Committee members discussed and considered the inclusion of three additional within the areas of responsibility for the Works and Corporate Services Committee:

- Disability Services Advisory Committee;
- Community Safety and Crime Prevention Committee; and
- Audit Committee

OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION & COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Strzina

THAT Council adopt the updated Policy on Standing Committee Roles as per attached.

Carried 11/0

12 ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

12.1 RESCISSION OF MOTION

(As attached to the Agenda)

Moved Cr Boland, seconded by Councillors Woodhill, Strzina and Carmichael

VOTING

Absolute Majority

That the Council Resolution at 25 October 2010 meeting re. the Vera View Dog Beach Curfew be rescinded.

Carried 10/1

12.2 NOTICE OF MOTION – REVIEW OF DOGS LOCAL LAW

(As attached to the Agenda)

Moved Cr Walsh, seconded Cr Strzina

That Council undertake a review of its Dogs Local Law and specifically the current curfew at the Northern Dog Beach (section 4.2), with the consultation process to include community comment regarding making the northern dog beach a dog area with unrestricted hours.

VOTING

Simple Majority

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Dawkins, seconded Cr Rowell

That the words after “comment” be deleted and be replaced with “in accordance with section 3.12 of the Local Government Act 1995”.

Carried 9/2

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Mayor Morgan, seconded Cr Birnbrauer

That the words “... and specifically” be deleted and be replaced with “..., including...”.

Carried 6/5

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

That Council undertake a review of its Dogs Local Law, including the current curfew at the Northern Dog Beach (section 4.2), with the consultation process to include community comment in accordance with section 3.12 of the Local Government Act 1995.

THE AMENDED SUBSTANTIVE MOTION WAS PUT

Carried 10/1

13 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY ELECTED MEMBERS/OFFICERS BY DECISION OF MEETING

Nil

14 MEETING CLOSURE

The Mayor announced the closure of the meeting at 8:10 PM

CONFIRMED: MAYOR DATE: / /