

Recreation Precinct Masterplan 2021 –Sporting Clubs Feedback	Respondent
<p>Are you able to send me a copy of the revised Master Plan that was presented to council please?</p> <p>SK: The revised Masterplan that was proposed can be found under item 10.1.10 of the February Ordinary Council Meeting. Please find below the link to the minutes for your reference.</p> <p>https://www.cottesloe.wa.gov.au/council-meetings/ordinary-council-meeting/23-february-2021-ordinary-council-meeting/281</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">8</p> <p>Sam Diamond President Cottesloe Rugby Union Football Club</p> <p>20 April 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/20051</p>
<p>Can you please provide comment as to the type and extent of fence to be installed to mitigate against golf balls and comment on the works proposed in extending the formalised carpark adjacent to Harvey Field?</p> <p>SK: I would say that the height will be designed in consultation with Seaview Golf Club to determine the type of club that will be used for the tee off and subsequent shots. The formalised carpark along Broome Street will be extended to the north, constructed in asphalt with marked up bays.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">1</p> <p>Sam Diamond President Cottesloe Rugby Union Football Club</p> <p>27 April 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/18692 & D21/18890</p>
<p>We are in favour of the re-positioning of Cottesloe Oval to increase the length and width of the playing field, whilst hopefully reducing the impact of stray golf balls.</p> <p>However, it's imperative that our number one focus is the new clubrooms. I understand re-positioning the oval affects the final positioning of the new clubrooms.</p> <p>I'd just like to have a better understanding of the cost associating with the re-positioning of the oval, and if there are any financial implications on the clubrooms at all.</p> <p>SK: We have explored all options of repositioning the field (rotate and lateral shifts) to accommodate a playing surface that is more in line with standards.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">2</p> <p>Hudson Wheeler President Cottesloe Football Club</p> <p>27 April 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/18698</p>

Recreation Precinct Masterplan 2021 –Sporting Clubs Feedback	Respondent
<p>Whilst all these solutions do not appear to affect the new Anderson Pavilion, it does however, results in an impact on the embankment to the east (including trees) and requires a fill to the west. The larger fields closer to the MCG type standards will have an impact on the golf course. These field expansion options come at a significant cost that could possibly make the Harvey Field Precinct project unaffordable. Fencing will be considered as part of the concept to protect the existing field and new pavilion from stray golf balls.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, we will put your comments forward to Council for their consideration.</p>	
<p>Please also make it aware that the MCG is unique, and not the standard Australian Football Oval size. It's definitely much wider (Almost as long as it is straight).</p> <p>I wouldn't recommend attempting to replicate the MCGs dimensions.</p> <p>From memory the width of our ground would only need to increase by 2m - 3m to meet the minimum width required. However, increasing the length of the ground may be more achievable even if it's only increased around 10m - 15m. Which may make it more achievable?</p> <p>SK: Given that we are refurbishing the field, the 2 to 3 m extension would need to be accompanied with a 5 metre run off zone, effectively making the widening an additional 8 metres.</p> <p>This extra bit makes the design tricky in keeping everything on the existing formation.</p> <p>I note your comments regarding the MCG type design.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">3</p> <p>Hudson Wheeler President Cottesloe Football Club (Roosters)</p> <p>27 April 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/18707</p>
<p>Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the Harvey Fields Recreation Precinct Masterplan.</p> <p>Whilst we are in general agreement with the plan, we would like to see a more being done to mitigate the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">4</p> <p>Paul Quinlivan President Cottesloe Magpies</p>

Recreation Precinct Masterplan 2021 –Sporting Clubs Feedback	Respondent
<p>danger posed by errant golf balls to our players and spectators alike.</p> <p>Can we include an upgrade to the existing lighting, as this is currently below the minimum standard required for training, let alone hosting games.</p> <p>Can we ask the architects to provide some alternative façade options?</p> <p>We would certainly favour an aesthetic more in keeping with that of the Scout Hall on Eric St</p> <p>All the best.</p> <p>Thank you for the email.</p> <p>SK: The lighting would probably be a separate application to CSRFF next year but I am sure that this can be something that could be arranged. Would it be possible for you to include this in the application that you are preparing.</p> <p>We will put your request for alternative façade options, similar to the Scout Hall, to Council for consideration. I have been told by the architects that their design intent is to match the existing building colour scheme as close as possible with due consideration given to contemporariness.</p> <p>This approach will promote the support avoid of surrounding residents by avoiding any drastic changes to the aesthetical views when the new building is eventually constructed. Other complementing rationales include durability and cost effectiveness in future maintenance.</p> <p>I have included a fence on the western boundary to provide protection from straying golf balls.</p> <p>Thank you again for taking the time to provide feedback.</p>	<p>27 April 2021</p> <p>TRIM Ref: D21/19608</p>

Recreation Precinct Masterplan 2021 –Sporting Clubs Feedback	Respondent
<p>What is the purpose of asphaltting the grass car park? From the clubs perspective we would like it left as a grassed area with some minor works done to level out the entrance. I know that the residents across Broome St will also oppose any moves to replace the grass with asphalt or any similar surface.</p> <p>SK: The formal marked bay parking would allow parking to be optimised. Notwithstanding the above, we will provide the following comments to Council for their consideration</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">5</p> <p>Sam Diamond President Cottesloe Rugby Union Football Club</p> <p>28 April 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/18890</p>
<p>Apologies if it's too late.</p> <p>I missed Sam's comments regarding the asphalt car park.</p> <p>I'd just like to make mention that the Cottesloe Amateur Football Club wouldn't be accepting of this new car park and agree with Sam that minor works at the entrance would be sufficient.</p> <p>SK: I do recall that there were comments to that effect. Not a problem, we will provide this to Council for their consideration.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">6</p> <p>Hudson Wheeler President Cottesloe Football Club (Roosters)</p> <p>3 May 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/20125</p>
<p>The Cottesloe Junior Football Club also supports these sentiments. We are in favour of keeping the grass car park and making minimal changes to that area.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">7</p> <p>Alisa Pearson Registrar Cottesloe Magpies – Junior Football Club</p> <p>3 May 2021 TRIM Ref: D21/20136</p>
	<p style="text-align: right;">8</p>

Recreation Precinct Masterplan 2021 –Sporting Clubs Feedback	Respondent

LEGEND

- Minimum Standard Ground Size ———
- 5m Runoff - - - - -
- Trees To Be Removed ☁
- Trees To Be Retained ☁



Amendments			
REV	Date	Details	By

TOWN OF COTTESLOE
 109 BROOME STREET
 PO BOX 606
 COTTESLOE W.A. 6011
 TEL (08) 9285 5000
 FAX (08) 9285 5001

Survey	N/A	Scale	NTS
Design	DL	AutoCAD Ref:	N/A
Drawn	DL	CivilCAD Ref:	N/A
Contour Interval		Approved	
Date	23/04/2021		



COTTESLOE OVAL
 CURRENT FOOTPRINT SIZE
 OPTION 1

Sheet No. 1 of 5
Drawing Number
2021-03-31
-

LEGEND

- Minimum Standard Ground Size
- 5m Runoff
- Trees To Be Removed
- Trees To Be Retained



Amendments			
REV	Date	Details	By

TOWN OF COTTESLOE
 109 BROOME STREET
 PO BOX 606
 COTTESLOE W.A. 6011
 TEL (08) 9285 5000
 FAX (08) 9285 5001

Survey	N/A	Scale	NTS
Design	DL	AutoCAD Ref:	N/A
Drawn	DL	CivilCAD Ref:	N/A
Contour Interval		Approved	
Date	23/04/2021		



COTTESLOE OVAL
 FOOTPRINT SIZE
 WIDENED TO MINIMUM AFL STANDARD
 OPTION 2

Sheet No. 2 of 5
Drawing Number
2021-03-31
-

LEGEND

- Minimum Standard Ground Size
- 5m Runoff
- Trees To Be Removed
- Trees To Be Retained



Amendments			
REV	Date	Details	By

TOWN OF COTTESLOE
 109 BROOME STREET
 PO BOX 606
 COTTESLOE W.A. 6011
 TEL (08) 9285 5000
 FAX (08) 9285 5001

Survey	N/A	Scale	NTS
Design	DL	AutoCAD Ref:	N/A
Drawn	DL	CivilCAD Ref:	N/A
Contour Interval		Approved	
Date	23/04/2021		



COTTESLOE OVAL
 FOOTPRINT SIZE
 CURRENT FIELD SIZE ROTATED
 OPTION 3

Sheet No. 3 of 5
Drawing Number
2021-03-31
-

LEGEND

- Minimum Standard Ground Size
- 5m Runoff
- Trees To Be Removed
- Trees To Be Retained



Amendments			
REV	Date	Details	By

TOWN OF COTTESLOE
 109 BROOME STREET
 PO BOX 606
 COTTESLOE W.A. 6011
 TEL (08) 9285 5000
 FAX (08) 9285 5001

Survey	N/A	Scale	NTS
Design	DL	AutoCAD Ref:	N/A
Drawn	DL	CivilCAD Ref:	N/A
Contour Interval		Approved	
Date	23/04/2021		



COTTESLOE OVAL
 FOOTPRINT SIZE
 ROTATE FIELD AND EXTEND TO NORTH EAST
 OPTION 4

Sheet No. 4 of 5
Drawing Number
2021-03-31
-

LEGEND

- Minimum Standard Ground Size ———
- 5m Runoff - - - - -
- Trees To Be Removed ☁
- Trees To Be Retained ☁



Amendments			
REV	Date	Details	By

TOWN OF COTTESLOE
 109 BROOME STREET
 PO BOX 606
 COTTESLOE W.A. 6011
 TEL (08) 9285 5000
 FAX (08) 9285 5001

Survey	N/A	Scale	NTS
Design	DL	AutoCAD Ref:	N/A
Drawn	DL	CivilCAD Ref:	N/A
Contour Interval		Approved	
Date	23/04/2021		



COTTESLOE OVAL

FOOTPRINT SIZE
 CURRENT GROUND SIZE
 WITH ADDITIONAL FEATURES
 OPTION 5

Sheet No. 5 of 5
Drawing Number
2021-03-31
-