

Major Land Transaction - Cottesloe Depot - Feedback

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
1	Peter Harold	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. I do not support the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area.
2	Lara Bucher (two submissions received)	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs.
3	Daniel O'Leary	Support	I support the Business Plan to relocate the Town's depot to Mosman Park. This is the right outcome for the Town's long-term future and should be implemented as soon as possible. I am absolutely opposed to the Town's depot being located on the Seaview Golf Club site or anywhere at all on the recreational precinct Class-A reserve.
4	Micheal O'Leary	Support	I write as a local resident to inform you that I fully support the Business Plan to locate the Town's depot at McCabe St in Mosman Park. I urge the Town to enter into the contract and relocate to that site as a matter of urgency, to save the Town money as compared to the current lease agreement for the Stack Street depot. I am totally opposed to the Town's depot being located on the recreational precinct Class-A reserve on Broome St. That reserve should be used solely for recreational purposes and is already in high demand by users. The future improvements to the recreation precinct should not be hampered by, or tailored around, a depot site.
5	Helen Burke	Support	I strongly oppose the location of the Depot at the Seaview Golf Course. I support the Cottesloe Depot to be permanently sited at McCabe Street in Mosman Park.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
6	Michael Simkovic (two submissions received)	Support	<p>1 - RE: MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION - PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mossman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. As a resident on Jarrad street and a frequent user of the golf course, I will never support the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course. This is an A Class Reserve that was gifted to the Council from the state Government and putting a dept. on such a beautiful area is unconscionable. I understand the Golf Club is short of funds, but this is no way to address that issue. There are other methods to address this, including private investment.</p>
7	Stephen Power	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs. The Seaview Golf Course and Harvey Field area is a vital part of the amenity and beauty of the Cottesloe area and to spoil this with a works depot simply defies all sense. That this is even being considered as a possibility is a blot on the common sense of our elected members.</p>
8	Joseph Lenny	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs.</p>
9	Bernie Mcelhinney	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs.</p>
10	Mark Gibson	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
11	Joanne Gale	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs.
12	Jack Walsh	Oppose	<p>This is a very good deal for MP where they get \$88,000pa for a piece of unused reserve ground owned by the State. While talking to them did the ToC talk to Nedlands re the possibility of doing the same at Allen Park where the bowling club was — such action may have resulted in being able to negotiate a better deal (competition) for ToC and maybe a Depot closer to the workplace?</p> <p>The land is Crown land — Public Purpose. Was there any thought or attempt made to deal directly with the State re accessing this portion of land given it was known to be surplus requirements for MP? Should this have been explored? Are there any costs likely to accrue to ToC from the “possible contaminated site” notification for the site now or on the handing back of the site 21 yrs from now?</p> <p>Was any serious study done on the possible/probable cost benefits to ToC of using the Sea View golf course or the Railway land, perhaps next to the power sub station, on a similar 21 yr lease? Should the cost saving with either of these cases have been done and put before Council to better guide their deliberations re a depot site?</p> <p>Community consultation re a Depot at Sea View was done with those residents overlooking the golf course NOT the wider community which is what should have been done given the probable financial benefits to ToC.</p> <p>There is still time to explore the options above and I would urge you to do so for the financial implications forToC.</p>
13	Gavin Offer	Support	I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
14	Mark Hector	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
15	David Sneddon	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs. If you have the land available to consider this as an option, perhaps there is the opportunity to evaluate the potential of a Bowling Green, as I believe that this would be of significant interest and would well utilised.
16	Thomas Loh	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
17	Charles and Margaret Murphy (two submissions provided)	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs. I strongly object to the Council Depot being placed on A class reserve land which incorporates the Seaview Golf Club. This is vandalism of the first order & will not be incorporated on this pristine site. This has been put to the people once & been thoroughly rejected. Do not think you can try this again. This is wrong & will not be condoned.
18	Sophie Rodda	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
19	Denys F Murphy	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
20	Candie Marie Italiano	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
21	Elizabeth Bolt	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
22	Keith Campell	Oppose	<p>I don't agree with this Business Proposal going ahead. A proper plan needs to be drawn up with Cottesloe locality options. The Business Plan advertised for comment should be revised for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Failure to Meet Local Government Act - Saving Scenarrio Flawed and is only one option - Mosman Park Costs not included. - Yearly Increases and Exit Costs - Inefficiencies <p><Not provided in full due to size></p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
23	Paul Underwood	Oppose	<p>From what has been presented, it makes no financial or logical sense given it is so far away from Cottesloe, especially given there are several better alternatives located in Cottesloe. There is no comparison of economics or logistics for these local alternatives which makes this proposal seem all the more unusual and non-compliant with basic governance. Without such an economic modelling and logistical comparison, no-one can make a rational and balanced decision on this but regardless, blind Freddy can see it will almost certainly be better established at alternative locations in Cottesloe.</p> <p>In the absence of this and given it is such an unusually illogical proposal, I request that an independent enquiry be called for before it goes any further.</p> <p>It has the distinct scent of conflicts of interest, not unlike other matters currently before this Council and given this, I think for the benefit of all concerned, any conflicts or potential conflicts must be fully declared, disclosed and documented.</p> <p>Specifically I would like to see evidence of what, if anything, has been done to ensure all conflicts or potential conflicts have been fully declared and disclosed on a public register in a transparent way for all residents to see.</p>
24	Chris Newall	Oppose	<p>I do not support the proposed Town of Cottesloe works depot being built in the Town of Mosman Park without an independent cost-benefit analysis of alternative Cottesloe sites or nearer alternative sites outside Cottesloe being undertaken.</p>
25	Patricia Carmichael	Oppose	<p>I disagree with the proposal to relocate the Town of Cottesloe Depot site to Lot 501, McCabe Street, Town of Mosman Park WA</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I do not believe that other options - with the exception of using the Seaview Golf Club land - to relocate the Depot elsewhere within the Town of Cottesloe district has been fully investigated; and I believe this move to be a gross waste once again of residents/ratepayers funds. 2. The Town of Mosman Park depot site, Lot 501 McCabe St Mosman Park, may require an investigative report to ensure this site is not contaminated.
26	Victor Strzina	Oppose	<p>Submission: I am not in agreement with the Town of Cottesloe entering a long-term, expensive lease of part of the Town of Mosman Park's depot. The "business plan" presented so far is extremely poor and there has been inadequate consideration of a number of more convenient and less expensive alternatives. These alternatives include locating part or all of the depot on a portion of the Sea View Golf Club land as well as part of the railway land....and other possibilities.</p> <p>The cost and duration of the proposed lease is unacceptable and more work needs to be done in finding a more cost-effective and efficient solution for the long term.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
27	Ian Kidd	Oppose	<p>I have read all the documentation regarding this proposal and as a Cottesloe rate payer and SVGC member I cannot reason how this proposal is of benefit to Cottesloe rate payers.</p> <p>Being a SVGC member I have been kept well informed on the proposal and recent developments, by the clubs administration.</p> <p>I cannot fathom why the depot on the golf course, that has been used by the Town in the past, with very little inconvenience to members, is not a viable alternative to the Mosman proposal.</p> <p>The plan as published by the Town cannot be accepted as a “ business plan “ as it is sadly lacking in scope and listing of possible alternatives for the consideration of the rate payers that you represent.</p> <p>I do not support the excessive and avoidable spending of rate payer funds on another town when there are obvious alternatives that will be of greater benefit to Cottesloe residents.</p> <p>I suggest that the Town spend time on a proper Business Plan that includes the costing of other alternatives.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
28	Jane Marwick	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs. Joni Mitchell was right when she sang Big Yellow Taxi "you don't know what you've got 'til it's gone, they paved paradise, and put up a parking lot". It is our privilege to be the custodians of such a site. It is our duty to protect this A Class reserve for future generations. I remember that when the issue was discussed previously, we were referred to as a few residents. Perhaps we are just a few along Barsden, Jarrad and Broome streets. We might not be the noisiest. Many of us work and find it hard to attend meetings, or join groups, but we matter. We are residents and ratepayers and our enjoyment and point of view is as valid as anyone else's and we do not feel that we have been consulted. But it's happening in our back yard. The trucks up and down our street and a tractor - very early in the morning - were noisy and disruptive. These vehicles, which increasingly used our streets, impacted our way of life and the enjoyment of our suburb. The frequency of trucks at the roundabout at Jarrad and Broome right next to the kindergarten became problematic. A lot of families and people walking dogs use that crossing to the park. We want less not more traffic. It beggars belief that anyone thinks that a depot on this unique site - a beautiful A class reserve with unparalleled views to pristine Cottesloe beach and Rottnest, is a good site for a depot. The reverse is true. Multi-million-dollar views, an inviting mix of green lawn, coastal plants and bushland. A unique habitat. There are many people who live nearby, who use this lovely green open space. Not just golfers. In summer, the lawns act as a giant evaporative cooler - children run barefoot, the elderly, families, stroll along the fairways, running their dogs. It's a wonderful community. It is healthy. It is rare. It is good for our physical and mental health. Quiet, open space. An oasis. We should fiercely protect every inch of it and the many beautiful birds that roost at the mini depot site - Butcher Birds, New Holland Honey Eaters, Willie Wagtails. We destroy a gem like this at our peril.</p>
29	Fiona Jordan	Support	<p>Submission Re Major Land Transaction Business Plan - proposed lease of portion of town of Mosman Park Depot. I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs. Regards, Fiona</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
30	Susannah Turle	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs.
31	Craig Hills	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
32	Judith and Richard Flax	Oppose	As ratepayers with the Town of Cottesloe we strongly object to the proposal regarding Major Land Transaction with Town of Mosman Park.
33	Hilary and Dennis Rumley	Oppose	We strongly oppose the proposal to enter a land transaction with the Town of Mosman Park.
34	Valma Ozich	Oppose	Please record that I am against the works depot transaction and my views are TOTALLY in line with those of Cr Sandy Boulter who I believe is acting in the best interest of ALL ratepayers.
35	Lisa Samaha	Oppose	I vehemently oppose the proposed lease between the Town of Cottesloe and the Town of Mosman Park for a portion of its depot land, on lot 501, McCabe Street, Mosman Park on deposited plan 66462, being Reserve 26549, for the sake of ratepayers and the works crew.
36	Chilla Bulbeck	Undecided	I have heard from councillors who believe we should use our green spaces for bush renewal But this sounds like a financially terrible decision. Can ToC buy part ownership In the depot so we are not paying \$2.3m merely to dispose of our waste over coming years?
37	Fiona Kelly	Oppose	I strongly oppose the proposal between Cottesloe and Mosman Park

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
38	Duncan Cameron	Oppose	<p>I oppose this on the basis that i cannot reasonably believe that give the size of the land required being only 1375m². This represents three standard building blocks or two times the size of my house block.</p> <p>The location is distant from Cottesloe and only makes sense if plant is shared between the councils.</p> <p>This land could be acquired by purchase of blocks along say Stirling Highway, the railway reserve or maybe part of the old deaf school.</p> <p>The option to rent land from the Gold Club makes sense to assist in the upkeep of the golf facilities. The caretaker at the Golf Club can also act as a security guard.</p> <p>I would suggest as part of the playing fields and golf club redevelopment the council facilities including the work facilities could be incorporated into the redevelopment plan.</p> <p>It seems odd that a business plan was not developed prior to the sale of the old quarry site. A significant part of the proceeds of the sale will or have been used up in rent. It would have been better to simply acquire some blocks of land.</p> <p>The converting of a drainage sump may also be an option as was successfully done in Station Street.</p> <p>There must be a better option than renting a small site so distant from Cottesloe.</p>
39	Susanna and Tony Evans	Oppose	<p>We have read the Heads of Agreement regarding the Major Land Transaction.</p> <p>Our concern is the 21 year duration of the lease..... what happens after 21years??</p> <p>It seems like a lifetime away at the moment, but we can assure you this will come around fast.</p> <p>In 21 years the TOMP decide they need more space and oust the TOC depot!! Where do we go then?? Not your problem?? Well it will be for the ratepayers once again. In 21 years time there will be less available/suitable land to choose from. If you couldn't find an alternative site in the TOC now how are you going to possibly find one in 21 years??</p> <p>This is a short term solution only. In 21 years we will be faced with a bigger more expensive problem. One that will be even harder to fix.</p> <p>Surely there must be land somewhere in the TOC that can be used????? Have we really exhausted all avenues???</p> <p>Alternatively we need some sort of guarantee that at the end of the 21 year lease we will be able to renew indefinitely.</p>
40	Judy Loader	Oppose	<p>I vehemently oppose the above proposal. How can you possibly think to charge the ratepayers of Cottesloe for building works which will then be transferred to the Town of Mosman Park. This is completely outrageous along with the staff having to travel back and forward from Mosman Park to Cottesloe.</p> <p>It appears that the majority of the council members are unfit to do their job!</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
41	Helen Bunning	Support	<p>For Cottesloe to share resources etc with Mosman Park (and Peppermint Grove) is eminently sensible. In fact, we should have amalgamated some years ago- in which case, there would be no costs associated with relocation!</p> <p>But the current plan does not seem equitable. - where is the revenue stream and benefit for Cottesloe ? Only huge cost, and full benefit to Mosman Park.</p> <p>Re consultants- the Council should be able to plan in-house rather than employ expensive external consultants who are not necessarily acting in the interests of ratepayers.</p>
42	Bibi Flynn	Oppose	We oppose this move
43	Heather Anne Callander	Oppose	I am totally opposed to the proposed lease of land belonging to Mosman Park Town Council Depot.(Lot 501 McCabe Street)
44	Kerry Strzina	Oppose	<p>I strongly oppose the Town of Cottesloe moving our depot to Mosman Park.</p> <p>My objections are due to the high cost it would be for Cottesloe rate payers and that it would be too remote from Cottesloe's needs. Transit time would add to expenses and would reduce the effective time our staff will have to provide services. We will end up with higher rates and less services.</p> <p>I would support the 'mini depot' returning to Sea View Golf Course and perhaps to rethink the Sea View Kindergarten site (given that there is some word that the kindergarten will be relocated to Cottesloe Primary School in the future).</p> <p>I use the sporting reserves regularly for golf and dog walking and have found the movement of Council vehicles over the past 4 years was only a very minor hinderance. Cottesloe Council should be keeping its money and services within the Town of Cottesloe and should also be endeavouring to have a good friendly relationship with our own local associations (sporting and other).</p> <p>I urge Town of Cottesloe to drop plans to put the depot in Mosman Park and return to finding solutions within the Town of Cottesloe.</p>
45	Roger and Sue A'Court (two submissions provided)	Oppose	<p>Why was the Cottesloe depot sold? Short sighted to say the least. A new depot in Mosman Park is also short sighted, impracticable, and a very inefficient way of carrying out maintenance in the Town of Cottesloe.</p> <p>There must be somewhere closer that represents a better use of rates for a depot.(vacant land/ railway land) Mosman Park is not the answer.</p> <p>Building infrastructure should be carried out on Cottesloe land. What is your plan in 21 years? It is not a long time.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
46	J Tunmore	Oppose	I am a Cottesloe ratepayer of some 26 years standing. There have been many development initiatives by Council in that time, unfortunately not all good. This suggestion of locating the works depot in Mosman Park at a large and continuing cost burden to rate payers and an ongoing impost on working conditions and viability of the outside workforce defies all logic and commonsense. I oppose this proposal
47	Guy van Hazel	Oppose	I write to object to the 21 year lease of Mosman Park land for the new works depot. Before you make such a large investment we should have the alternatives presented to council and a thorough cost benefit analysis of all alternatives. Surely that is the minimum requirement for good financial governance.
48	Dallas Hickman	Oppose	I am against the proposal to relocate the Works Dept to Mosman Park because I have been told, the Rate Payers of Cottesloe will have to pay for the construction, Cottesloe will not own the Works, Cottesloe will be required to pay for the maintenance, even though owned by Mosman Park, the cost of the building will be borne by Cottesloe Ratepayers, and the Town of Mosman will receive an income stream from Cottesloe Ratepayers for 21 years, why should this happen? I vote strongly no to the development., on the above advice.
49	David Yates	Oppose	I would like to register my objection to the Depot Business Plan and suggest that it be scrapped and the Depot relocated at the Sea Golf Club. The Council has no business spending ratepayer monies for such a plan in a neighbouring Council.
50	Lennart Westerlund	Oppose	Having read the e-mail I received from Yvonne Hart, Cottesloe Residents & Ratepayers Association, my submission is that I strongly object to ToC's Major Land Transaction with Town of Mosman Park 21 year Lease.
51	Paul Nelson	Oppose	I vehemently oppose the idea of having the depot in Mosman Park. The cost to ratepayers for this plan is ridiculous. There must be land available at Seaview Golfcourse to be used for this purpose. In hindsight, selling the old depot probably wasn't the smartest idea!
52	Donna Fuller	Oppose	I do not agree with placing the Town of Cottesloe depot in Mosman Park as I don't think this represents value for Cottesloe Ratepayers' Money.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
53	Anne Wylie	Support	<p>I write to convert my support for the proposed relocation of the Cottesloe Council Depot to be relocated to the McCabe street site as proposed.</p> <p>I believe that relocating the Depot to McCabe Street is the clear decision as it is a Commercial/industrial area vs SVGC - an A Class Reserve.</p> <p>"The SVGC site is a pristine A class reserve. Locating a full Depot on the site would degrade the site considerably. Local residents are aware of the degradation that resulted from a mini-depot located on the site , as well as increased traffic, noise and smell. "</p> <p>Also, I feel that the traffic of Depot vehicles in such close proximity to Seaview community Kindergarten, the sports fields busy with children and families, along with the Golf Course would be undesirable and dangerous.</p>
54	Matthew Callanan and Jane Wedge	Support	<p>My wife,Jane Wedge, and I, wish to see the depot relocated to McCabe Street, as planned.</p> <p>We do not wish to see the depot located on the SVGC precinct, for the reasons clearly enunciated by Councillor Lorraine Young.</p>
55	Jane Vince-Jones	Support	<p>I do not support any proposal to house the Cottesloe Depot on the Sea View Golf Course site. I would love to see this area used for the Community Sport& Recreation purpose it is reserved for.</p> <p>Sharing a depot with Mosman Park Council sounds like a good solution for both Councils and rate payers.</p> <p>I hope my view as a double ratepayer in the Cottesloe area will be considered.</p>
56	Katrina Downes	Support	<p>I support the plan to move the FULL Depot to McCabe St, Mosman Park. Although there are expenses up front, any location of the depot will incur significant costs.</p> <p>There are NO suitable sites within Cottesloe so this is the best viable option to the residents and ratepayers of Cottesloe.</p> <p>There is no viable alternative – A Sea View Golf Club Depot site will need an act of Parliament that is extremely unlikely to pass and will take years to resolve, meaning excessive payment for alternative sites in the meantime;</p> <p>Support the Business Plan & move the depot to a shared arrangement with Mosman Park.</p>
57	Patrick Dawkins	Support	<p>I Write as an interested party, a recent resident of Cottesloe and a supporter of keeping the A class reserve for the purpose for which it was intended.</p> <p>There is clear logic in the move to McCabe St on economic grounds as well as efficiency and logistic ones.</p> <p>The saving in rental costs from Stack St and the potential to defray capital costs over a long period is manifest.</p> <p>The use of the SVGC area is potentially the thin end of a large wedge and it is not a compatible land use where there is actually a need for more recreational area not less.</p> <p>I understand the matter was dealt with previously and does not need to be revisited to satisfy the private agenda of a small group.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
58	Jo Dawkins	Support	<p>Relocating the TOC depot to share with the Town of Mosman Park is the obvious, logical, viable and practical arrangement.</p> <p>Several years ago when the Nailsworth St Depot was to be sold, Council carried out a comprehensive search for suitable new Depot locations. The Mosman Park Depot was overwhelmingly deemed to be the correct site.</p> <p>The site is already set up as a depot. It is logical and financially responsible to share dedicated Depot space with a neighbouring council, particularly given the small size of Cottesloe and Mosman Park. Western Suburb councils are strongly encouraged to share all resources as much as possible. This is an excellent example of prudent and responsible management and resource sharing. (See Note below)</p> <p>When the Sea View Golf Course (SVGC) was briefly contemplated as a depot site, there was a huge uproar from the local residents, both adjacent to the Golf course and throughout the wider Cottesloe community. It was overwhelmingly obvious to Council of the day that it was totally unacceptable. A depot would have an enormous detrimental effect, not to mention, ugliness, safety issues with and extremely poor use of space. Council took regard of the Cottesloe community.</p> <p>An A class reserve is just that - an attractive recreation area to be used for sport and healthy recreation purposes. It is currently an area enjoyed by a wide section of the community.</p> <p>Scaremongering that the State Government is to give the land to Developers is just that - Scaremongering - and deliberately disruptive. There is no evidence what so ever. (Neither would a Depot located on the site have any impact on that decision or the rumours. It is an A Class reserve vested in the TOC.</p> <p>It beggars belief that the Sea View Golf Club thinks it will benefit financially by locating a depot within its boundaries.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is unhappy with the mini depot located and has advised so. 2. Why would Cottesloe residents want their rates to go towards paying rent to the SVGC for land that it provides SVGC for free ! <p>I trust that Council will have the good sense to resolve and go ahead with all speed to set up their Depot jointly with Mosman Park.</p> <p><Not provided in full due to size></p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
59	Heather Goodwin	Oppose	<p>I SUBMIT that the Major Land Transaction involving a Works Depot for Cottesloe sited in Mosman Park SHOULD NOT GO AHEAD and the whole proposed deal cancelled forthwith.</p> <p>Where has Good Governance gone? Where has transparency, accountability, probity and proper management gone. Why was this major land transaction not included in the Aegis Consultation plan?</p> <p>What right does the Council have to lock Cottesloe Ratepayers into an expensive 21 year deal with Mosman Park when there is plenty of land within the Cottesloe Council area to establish its own independent Depot.</p> <p>The Department of Lands should be consulted on this issue and should be requested to assist in sorting out where the Depot can be established within Cottesloe's boundaries. For example land around the Fremantle Railway Line has already been earmarked by the Department of Lands for future development. The CEO and Cottesloe Council should request a site within this area for a Works Depot. The land immediately south of Eric Street on either the Eastern side or the Western side of the railway line would be an ideal site. Or there is the land immediately south of the Cottesloe Police Station.</p> <p>I SUBMIT that good governance, transparency, accountability, probity and proper management DEMAND that the CEO, Mat Humfrey and the Cottesloe Mayor and Councillors immediately investigate and subsequently implement a Works Depot for the Town of Cottesloe within Cottesloe's boundaries.</p>
60	Camilla Rea	Support	<p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs</p>
61	Margaret Shine	Support	<p>We do not support the Seaview Golf Course housing a full works depot. It is an A-class reserve and sports precinct. Do not move the works depot onto the golf course!</p>
62	Allison Manners	Support	<p>I am in support of relocating the town's work depot to the McCabe St site.</p> <p>Cr Young has summarised a number of logical points regarding this topic and I agree entirely with relocating to the Mosman Park site.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
63	Scott Magee	Support	<p>I am in support of the relocation of the Cottesloe Works depot from Fremantle to Mosman Park in accordance with the recommendations of the Town of Cottesloe Administration.</p> <p>I understand there has been suggestions (from some Councillors) of placing the full depot at the Seaview Golf Club (SVGC) precinct. This precinct represents one of the Town's most significant recreation precincts and any suggestion parts of it should be converted to a full scale works depot is short sighted and against the interests of the residents and ratepayers - we should be maintaining our A class reserves at all costs and not sending a message to the state government or other interested parties that we are prepared to trade these away - the flood gates may open otherwise. Given the proposed relocation has catered for upfront capital expenditure to be amortised, there would appear to be an almost immediate cashflow benefit for the Town in the relocation, and this suggests the move should be expedited and not debated to exhaustion, and stalled.</p> <p>The recreational option value of the SVGC precinct will be preserved for future generations to expand on, and enjoy, just as it should.</p>
64	Pennie Hall	Support	<p>Sir, after signing a petition to locate the depot to the Seaview Golf course land I do realize I was badly mistaken and I wish to add my support to the council decision to relocate from Fremantle to McCabe Street in Mosman Park.</p>
65	Terry Sweet	Oppose	<p>As a Cottesloe resident and ratepayer I wish to register my opposition to the proposed plan.</p>
66	Jim Wilshire	Oppose	<p>I am against the proposal as I don't think it has been a case made that it's a reasonable deal.</p> <p>Has the council tried to annex some of the railway reserve or looked at all the alternatives?</p> <p>I am not against sharing facilities with Mosman Park, but it does seem an expensive option.</p> <p>More importantly what was the council thinking when they sold off the quarry as that's looking like a dumb deal in hindsight.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
67	Jamie Murdoch	Support	<p>I am supportive of the Depot being moved to Mosman Park. A collaborative approach with the Town of Mosman Park is the only option and it will result economies of scale through reduced costs.</p> <p>A Seaview Golf club Depot arrangement would highly likely not get State Govt approval and would take years to get through the red tape.</p> <p>I am the President of the local Junior Football Club the Cottesloe Magpies and we have over 200 registered players from the Cottesloe electorate.</p> <p>The design of the Recreational Precinct masterplan is also a priority and there is limited space for the depot to be located at the Golf Club. Then of course there are the obvious problems of traffic from the Depot being on Jarred Street. I am hoping common sense prevails at this local government level.</p>
68	Miles Ashton	Support	<p>I support the proposal to move the depot to Mosman Park. I believe this is a better alternative than the Sea View proposal as Sea View is an A-Class Reserve.</p>
69	Katina Law	Oppose	<p>I do not agree with the Mosman Park option. The Town of Cottesloe should have thought this through before selling the perfectly good depot we had previously.</p> <p>If anything Mosman Park should be a short term option. The Town should consider buying back some houses near Curtin ave and putting a depot there. This will be a problem for the next 100 years and the Town should own its own depot in the Town of Cottesloe. Otherwise the long term cost to the ratepayers will be enormous.</p> <p>What about an underground depot at Seaview? We need to take a 100 year view on this not 10 years.</p>
70	John Garland	Support	<p>I wish to register my support for the proposition of relocating the works depot to the land in McCabe Street based on the described lease arrangement as set out in the paper on the Council Web Site.</p> <p>I would also strongly reject any proposal to relocate these facilities to the Jarrad Street recreational precinct. The cost differential as well as traffic management and other factors all argue in favour of the McCabe Street option.</p> <p>Moreover any delay created as a result of reviewing the Jarrad Street option would further affect the timing of the recreational masterplan for this precinct.</p> <p>Additionally any extra land taken away from the use of recreational pursuits for the favour of a works depot would detrimentally affect usable space allocated to the various sporting groups, in particular golf as they are the greatest uses of area per square metre. It is also foreseeable that increased traffic of works vehicles would further increase risk to children and other users of this space.</p>
71	Mark Westlake	Oppose	<p>I oppose the major land transaction with the Town of Mosman Park.</p> <p>This is a bad deal for ratepayers.</p> <p>Other options should be pursued.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
72	Russell Hall	Support	<p>As I represented at the Council meeting on the 18th October I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe Works Depot to McCabe Street in Mosman Park.</p> <p>I cannot understand how relocation of the Cottesloe Works Depot to the Class A reserve adjacent to the Golf Course can be seriously considered. To continue to use ratepayers funds entertaining this position is wasteful. As we delay this decision we continue to incur significant costs. To believe there is support in the greater community for an act of Parliament to support this position (a high test of 75% acceptance) is beyond belief. I don't believe any member of parliament is going to be persuaded by a small group of self-interested individuals.</p> <p>Living diagonally across the road from the Seaview kindergarten it is apparent that during the temporary (partial) relocation of the works depot to the golf course site that the extra traffic caused major issues. It significantly reduced the safety of many small children and their carer's, that frequent this facility.</p> <p>The Cottesloe Works Depot will have the following effect on a very prominent Class A reserve;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It will require a significant portion of land to be removed from public use and fenced off, • It will store and use hazardous chemicals, • The noise pollution and storage of waste material will affect the local ambience, <p>And hence I believe it cannot be supported. Clearly there are examples of other facilities in Class A reserve, but these tend to be legacy issues and would not likely to be supported in today environment.</p> <p>For the reasons outlined above I support the relocation of the Cottesloe Works Depot to McCabe St in Mosman Park.</p>
73	Joan and Rob Sharpe	Oppose	<p>We are extremely concerned at the proposal to locate the Town of Cottesloe Works Department in the Town of Mosman Park.</p> <p>We object to the Town of Cottesloe Works Department not being in the Town of Cottesloe.</p> <p>We want to know what the Cost Benefit Analysis of the various options in the Town of Cottesloe are measured against the CBA of the site development and maintenance being in Mosman Park.</p> <p>We strongly oppose a proposal which will increase rates for Town of Cottesloe ratepayers for 21 years and ultimately provide an asset gain to the Town of Mosman Park.</p>
74	Helen Seward	Support	<p>I have read the very persuasive arguments put forward by ex Mayor Dawkins and Councillor Young and I am convinced of the merits of positioning the Depot at the Mosman Park site currently under consideration.</p> <p>As well as their meritorious arguments, as a dog walker and daily user of the Rugby ovals I do not want to see the continuous stream of Council vehicles using the access road to SeaView Golf Club.</p> <p>I hope that common sense will prevail, and the more prudent economic solution of the Mosman Park depot will be chosen for the future use of Cottesloe Council.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
75	Kirsty Barrett	Support	I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
76	Natalie Kendal	Support	I do not believe that the Sea View golf course is an appropriate or suitable location for the depot. This is a recreation space enjoyed for recreation and its proximity to the ocean. It should remain unencumbered and free from such work equipment and supplies that is required for a depot. I think sharing facilities with Mosman Park makes sense as long as done on prudent and commercial terms so it is financially responsible arrangement for both Cottesloe and Mosman Park. That is, each Councils benefit from the arrangement equally in a balanced commercial position.
77	Jemma Hector	Support	I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
78	Emmanuel Correia	Support	I am in support of the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. The idea of a possible relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve is absurd and simply cannot be supported or justified.
79	Teresa McPherson	Support	I fully support the relocation of the Town of Cottesloe's Depot to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan as published by the TOC. Please note that I do not support the relocation of the TOC's Depot to Seaview Golf Course and Harvey Field.
80	Glen Stannard	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
81	Jack Newall	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
82	Trevor Saleeba	Support	<p>As a ratepayer and resident of John St I fully support Cottesloe sharing Depot facilities with the Town of Mosman Park.</p> <p>I do not support the Depot being relocated to the Seaview site nor, that even more stupid idea of some years ago, to the Civic Centre site.</p> <p>Sharing the existing Depot facilities at Mosman Pk is logical and sensible.</p>
83	Jean Perkins	Support	<p>PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. I understand that the Council carried out a comprehensive search for suitable new depot locations several years ago when the Nailsworth St depot was to be sold, and this identified that the Mosman Park Depot was overwhelmingly deemed to be the correct site.</p> <p>I do not support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
84	Meghan White	Support	<p>Submission re Town of Cottesloe (TOC) proposed Depot Site.</p> <p>Relocating the TOC depot to share with the Town of Mosman Park is the obvious, logical, viable and practical arrangement.</p> <p>Several years ago when the Nailsworth St Depot was to be sold, Council carried out a comprehensive search for suitable new Depot locations. The Mosman Park Depot was overwhelmingly deemed to be the correct site.</p> <p>The site is already set up as a depot. It is logical and financially responsible to share dedicated Depot space with a neighbouring council, particularly given the small size of Cottesloe and Mosman Park. Western Suburb councils are strongly encouraged to share all resources as much as possible. This is an excellent example of prudent and responsible management and resource sharing. (See Note below)</p> <p>When the Sea View Golf Course (SVGC) was briefly contemplated as a depot site, there was a huge uproar from the local residents, both adjacent to the Golf course and throughout the wider Cottesloe community. It was overwhelmingly obvious to Council of the day that it was totally unacceptable. A depot would have an enormous detrimental effect, not to mention, ugliness, safety issues with and extremely poor use of space. Council took regard of the Cottesloe community.</p> <p>An A class reserve is just that - an attractive recreation area to be used for sport and healthy recreation purposes. It is currently an area enjoyed by a wide section of the community.</p> <p>Scaremongering that the State Government is to give the land to Developers is just that - Scaremongering - and deliberately disruptive. There is no evidence what so ever. (Neither would a Depot located on the site have any impact on that decision or the rumours. It is an A Class reserve vested in the TOC.</p> <p>It beggars belief that the Sea View Golf Club thinks it will benefit financially by locating a depot within its boundaries.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is unhappy with the mini depot located and has advised so. 2. Why would Cottesloe residents want their rates to go towards paying rent to the SVGC for land that it provides SVGC for free ! <p>I trust that Council will have the good sense to resolve and go ahead with all speed to set up their Depot jointly with Mosman Park.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
85	Simon Renwick and Miranda Edmonds	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
86	Jaime Atkinson	Support	<p>I fully support the Town of Cottesloe's works depot being co-located with the Town of Mosman Park.</p> <p>Having this depot located at Seaview Golf Course is not appropriate, and this location should remain as public open space / community recreation for the enjoyment of the community. The Harvey Oval Precinct must remain an A Class reserve and used for this purpose.</p>
87	Greg Barrett	Support	<p>PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
88	Yvonne Hart on behalf of Cottesloe Residents' and Ratepayers Association	Oppose	<p><Not provided in full due to size></p> <p>Request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Cottesloe Council does not proceed with the Major Land Transaction with the Town of Mosman Park for a 21-year lease for a portion of their depot site. 2 Cottesloe Council undertake a fully itemised cost benefit analysis of the Seaview depot site in comparison with the Town of Mosman Park before further decisions are made. 3 Explore other potential sites within the boundaries of Cottesloe.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
89	Julia Hayes	Support	<p>Submission re Town of Cottesloe (TOC) proposed Depot Site.</p> <p>Having listened to previous Council deliberations on this subject and read the business plan on the Town of Cottesloe website, I believe the only financially and administratively responsible choice is to proceed with the relocation of the Town of Cottesloe depot to McCabe Street, Mosman Park.</p> <p>I do not agree with moving the Cottesloe works depot to the Seaview Golf Club site - an A-Class Reserve site. Heavy duty truck and equipment traffic will negatively impact the area and the visible beauty of the reserve will be spoiled.</p> <p>It is not the responsibility of Cottesloe ratepayers to financially support a single-focus sports club which already enjoys free rental, by way of a preferred location deal. It makes no sense to me that the club gets free rental from The Town of Cottesloe then the Town of Cottesloe pays a commercial rent to the club for its depot.</p> <p>Choosing this location will create delays in accessing an efficient depot solution in the meantime – potentially a 2-4 years delay - while attempting to get a Members Bill through Parliament. This is a very vague potential outcome. Adequate research has been conducted. Decisions need to be made now for the long term for Cottesloe’s ongoing financial sustainability. I believe that future management and running costs can be reduced further by sharing resources with the Mosman Park Council and approve the idea of our local councils to work together. Short term solutions are to be avoided.</p> <p>Locating the works depot on the A-Class Reserve sometime within the next 4 years while maintaining a temporary location in Fremantle is a costly band-aid solution devised to help the Seaview Golf Club deal with its own lack of financial sustainability. Using the prudent-man test, this is not prudent.</p> <p>I support the Officer’s Recommendation to relocate the Cottesloe Works Depot from Fremantle to McCabe Street Mosman Park, in accordance with the business plan as shown on the Town of Cottesloe website.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
90	Chris Shellabear	Support	<p>Submission re proposed Depot Site.</p> <p>Relocating the TOC depot as a shared facility with the Town of Mosman Park is the wisest and most cost effective solution.</p> <p>You have carried out a comprehensive review of Depot locations in the past with the correct site chosen as Mosman Park. This is more cost effective than the current site, closer and 'fit for purpose'.</p> <p>Sharing resources with adjoining Councils is the best way forward and makes rational sense.</p> <p>It is clear Local Residents are against this and have been forever so to bring this up again that goes against Local Residents by using Parks and Gardens land for this non compliant purpose.</p> <p>Its an A class reserve and is just that is what it is intended for, used for sport and recreation purposes. It is currently an area enjoyed by the whole community.</p> <p>Scuttlebutt about the State Government giving land to Developers is just a deliberately disruptive issue for some to use in a future Council Election.</p> <p>The same was used at the last election to the detriment of a Client of mine who was offered NO RIGHT OF REPLY.</p> <p>I cant believe that a reason of financial benefit to the Seaview Golf Club is even considered as a valid reason given that they rent the land for a peppercorn fee from the Town of Cottesloe. Lets be sensible about this and not shroud a simple decision in a contrived controversy.</p> <p>Make a decision and move forward with the Town of Mosman Park, don't be distracted by this issue.</p>
91	Kathryn Kubicki	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN</p> <p>PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
92	Janice Rooney	Support	<p>I do not approve of the Sea View golf course being used as a depot.</p> <p>The Mosman Park depot at McCabe St is a far better place to use.</p> <p>Traffic in Broome St and Marine Parade is increasing and to add large transport coming and going from the Golf Course is not conducive to suburban living.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
93	Mark Powell	Support	<p>Relocating the TOC depot to share with the Town of Mosman Park is a good and practical idea. The site is already set up as a depot and smaller councils where possible should share resources. An A class reserve is a less than ideal place for a depot and I don't think Sea View Golf Club would be happy with a large depot.</p> <p>I ask Council to go ahead with moving the Depot to Mosman Park.</p>
94	Theo Kailis	Support	<p>Submission re Town of Cottesloe (TOC) proposed Depot Site.</p> <p>Relocating the TOC depot to share with the Town of Mosman Park is the obvious, logical, viable and practical arrangement.</p> <p>Several years ago when the Nailsworth St Depot was to be sold, Council carried out a comprehensive search for suitable new Depot locations. The Mosman Park Depot was overwhelmingly deemed to be the correct site.</p> <p>The site is already set up as a depot. It is logical and financially responsible to share dedicated Depot space with a neighbouring council, particularly given the small size of Cottesloe and Mosman Park. Western Suburb councils are strongly encouraged to share all resources as much as possible. This is an excellent example of prudent and responsible management and resource sharing. (See Note below)</p> <p>When the Sea View Golf Course (SVGC) was briefly contemplated as a depot site, there was a huge uproar from the local residents, both adjacent to the Golf course and throughout the wider Cottesloe community. It was overwhelmingly obvious to Council of the day that it was totally unacceptable. A depot would have an enormous detrimental effect, not to mention, ugliness, safety issues with and extremely poor use of space. Council took regard of the Cottesloe community.</p> <p>An A class reserve is just that - an attractive recreation area to be used for sport and healthy recreation purposes. It is currently an area enjoyed by a wide section of the community.</p> <p>Scaremongering that the State Government is to give the land to Developers is just that - Scaremongering - and deliberately disruptive. There is no evidence what so ever. (Neither would a Depot located on the site have any impact on that decision or the rumours. It is an A Class reserve vested in the TOC.</p> <p>It beggars belief that the Sea View Golf Club thinks it will benefit financially by locating a depot within its boundaries.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is unhappy with the mini depot located and has advised so. 2. Why would Cottesloe residents want their rates to go towards paying rent to the SVGC for land that it provides SVGC for free ! <p>I trust that Council will have the good sense to resolve and go ahead with all speed to set up their Depot jointly with Mosman Park.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
95	Asa Waller	Support	<p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
96	Denby Roberts and John Georgiades	Support	<p>We are ratepayers and residents of the Town of Cottesloe.</p> <p>We understand there is a proposal before Council by Council Administration to relocate the Council works depot from Fremantle to McCabe Street, Mosman Park, saving significant rental and securing the future of the depot site for 21 years. We support this proposal.</p> <p>However, we understand certain members of Council and the administration team wish to revisit this proposal in favour of relocating the works depot to a location on Seaview Golf Course land. This was previously rejected by a majority of Council and it is very disappointing that it is being revisited.</p> <p>We strongly object to any works depot being located on the Seaview site.</p> <p>The site is a beautiful A-Class Reserve enjoyed by many residents and visitors. It is also zoned for Sport and Recreation and our children use it regularly. It is also a key part of the amenity for local residents and using it for a Depot will degrade it and introduce an unacceptable amount of traffic around the site, reducing the safety of the very many children who use this site every day. It's a highly inappropriate use of the site.</p> <p>The entire Recreation Precinct (on which the SVGC is sited) is in need of reorganization and upgrade to cater for the many different user groups (eg. Golfers, football, rugby, kindergarten) and there is barely enough space to meet all of their needs without being constrained by a Depot located on the site.</p> <p>We are aware many local residents are against this and we would have no hesitation supporting a very active ratepayers group objecting to this should the Seaview depot concept regain traction at Council</p>
97	Brian Sierakowski	Support	<p>I support the relocation of Cottesloe's depot facility to Mosman Park.</p> <p>Under no circumstances could I ever support the relocation of Cottesloe's depot to Sea View Golf Club.</p> <p>Sea View Golf Club and Harvey field are jewels in the crown of Cottesloe and should be preserved in perpetuity.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
98	Peter Harms	Support	<p>As I have previously indicated, it is my personal thought that funds realised from the sale of the council owned depot should be appropriated to offset the ongoing costs that will be incurred to facilitate the availability of a suitable works depot.</p> <p>That said — The facts are, That opponents of the aforementioned Major Land Transaction Business Plan will decry the costs associated with this arrangement with Mosman Park Town council. The reality being that any depot scenario will incur costs and overheads and that any procurement of a depot works site will incur large expenditure.</p> <p>No one, realistically can expect that a gratis site will presented itself with in the town, or will in fact ever eventuate. In defence of this bilateral understanding with Mosman Park, it has to be borne in mind that this outcome, was the one proposed to ratepayers when the initial case for disposal of our owned depot site was put to them, so in fact, this is just the finalisation of the intended outcome</p> <p>(Ref: ordinary council meeting. 12/12/2011. Item 11.2.5 Relocation of depot operations)</p> <p>This Major Land Transaction Business Plan must be implemented to for fill the obligation and present a viable solution to a very protracted situation.</p> <p>I thank council for the opportunity to present my thoughts and for its time in Perusal/consideration.</p>
99	Nerine Ashton	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
100	Liz Scott	Support	<p>As a resident of Webb st I strongly object to the depot being located anywhere on the Harvey field/ Seaview golf club site and believe instead that the McCabe st depot plan is a much better idea.</p> <p>The Harvey field site needs improvements that will benefit health and well being like better sporting facilities.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
101	Mike Shine	Support	<p>Please be advised the proposed relocation of Cott works depot should have preferences for Mosman Park co-sharing their facilities.</p> <p>Utilising space at the Cott Golf course should not be an option as the access road is not safe for heavy vehicle use. HSE impact study would highlight high risk ranking for having a works depot site in an A class reserve.</p>
102	Jodi Millhahn	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>I do not and would never support the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
103	Samantha Newall	Support	<p>Submission re Town of Cottesloe (TOC) proposed Depot Site.</p> <p>Relocating the TOC depot to share with the Town of Mosman Park is the obvious, logical, viable and practical arrangement.</p> <p>Several years ago when the Nailsworth St Depot was to be sold, Council carried out a comprehensive search for suitable new Depot locations. The Mosman Park Depot was overwhelmingly deemed to be the correct site. Stop delaying progress, building more politics, consuming more money and wasted time in trying to move forward on a decision that has been made.</p> <p>The site is already set up as a depot. It is logical and financially responsible to share dedicated Depot space with a neighbouring council, particularly given the small size of Cottesloe and Mosman Park. This is an excellent example of prudent and responsible management and resource sharing.</p> <p>When the Sea View Golf Course (SVGC) was briefly contemplated as a depot site, there was a huge uproar from the local residents, both adjacent to the Golf course and throughout the wider Cottesloe community. It was overwhelmingly obvious to Council of the day that it was totally unacceptable. A depot would have an enormous detrimental effect, not to mention, ugliness, safety issues with and extremely poor use of space. Council took regard of the Cottesloe community.</p> <p>An A class reserve is just that - an attractive recreation area to be used for sport and healthy recreation purposes. It is currently an area enjoyed by a wide section of the community.</p> <p>It is selfish and inconsiderate of Sea View Golf Club to think it is in the best interests of all to locate a depot within its boundaries.</p> <p>We do not want our rates to go towards paying rent to the SVGC for land that it provides SVGC for free!</p> <p>I, and an overwhelmingly majority of the Cottesloe community, trust you will have the good sense to resolve and go ahead with all speed to set up their Depot jointly with Mosman Park</p>
104	Cathy Campbell	Oppose	<p>Council, I object to the Business Plan for this proposal being implemented without further work.</p> <p>An independent cost benefit analysis comparing the Mosman Park site with other sites in Cottesloe should be completed before our rate payments go to an initiative that is so lengthy in time. Haven't lessons been learnt from the Indiana lease saga?</p> <p>There is a large cost associated with this project so please do it properly.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
105	Naomi Quinlivan	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
106	Alan Wilson	Support	<p>Submission re Town of Cottesloe proposed Depot Site. The ToC depot should be relocated to the site in Mosman Park as outlined in the Business plan presented by the ToC. I am a resident and ratepayer of Cottesloe. The Business plan clearly presents the advantages of this location to the ToC. The photographs of the existing depot with the proposed changes are very informative. There have been discussions since 2012 about sharing a depot with the Town of Mosman Park when the possibility of amalgamation of several local councils was thought to be imminent. All possible locations were assessed and this site was always the best and most appropriate. A shared depot with Mosman Park will still give the ToC some of the logistical, financial and environmental benefits of that proposed council amalgamation. The proposal to move the depot to the Sea View Golf Course site does not make any sense because of local opposition to the traffic movements from the depot. The loss of land from the golf course and Cottesloe Recreation Precinct Master Plan would compromise an already difficult situation. The rationale that the ToC would provide the SVGC with an income stream is indefensible due to the ToC policy of not favouring any particular sporting or social organization with ongoing finance. The fact that the SVGC only pays the ToC a peppercorn rental makes this proposal even more ludicrous. The most obvious impediment to this idea is the act of Parliament required for it to happen – I believe it is unlikely the Government would damage its conservation credentials and allow an alteration to an A class reserve. The unsourced rumour about the Government going to resume the A class reserve for development can be ignored for the same reason. I am grateful to Cr Lorraine Young, ex-Mayor Jo Dawkins and the Officer's Recommendations for information about this proposal.</p>
107	Rose Michelides, Jasmine Michelides and Helene Dobson	Support	<p>We do not support the SVGC site as being suitable for the Town of Cottesloe Depot and believe it should be sited in McCabe Street, Mosman Park.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
108	Andrea Murray	Support	<p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business plan published by the town.</p> <p>For the record,I do not and would never support the relocation of the cott Dopot facility to seaview golfcourse and Harvey Field area, an A class reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.</p>
109	Shelley Whitaker	Support	<p>I am writing to notify the Council of my objection to what I understand is a vocal group who propose to relocate the Council depot to a Class A Reserve, currently leased by the Seaview Golf Course for a peppercorn rent, instead of to share facilities with Mosman Park.</p> <p>The coastal foreshore reserve along Marine Parade (including the road and golf course) is reserved under the MRS for Parks and Recreation. All of this is Crown land vested in the Town of Cottesloe for recreation purposes.</p> <p>Before a Class A reserve can be cancelled or its boundaries or purpose altered, the Minister for Lands must first present the proposal to both Houses of Parliament. Either House may vote to disallow the proposal.</p> <p>I do not believe that the proposal to relocate the works depot to this site falls within any of the minor amendments which do not require approval from both Houses of Parliament, for example, excising 5% or one hectare, whichever is the less, for the purpose of public utility services (e.g. drainage, gas, power, telecommunications, water and sewerage). It would be ludicrous to pay the Seaview Golf Club for use of the land which the Town has rented to them at a peppercorn rent. This appears to be a huge waste of rate payer's funds, I further call for all Council members who have golf club membership to exclude themselves from further discussions regarding this matter due to the fact that they have a conflict of interest.</p> <p>As previously raised in 2010, the traffic from the trucks going to and from the depot poses a safety risk for the kindergarten.</p> <p>Finally, the proposed Sports and Recreation Precinct does not fit in with the depot being placed on the Class "A" Reserve.</p>
110	Greg Reudavey	Oppose	<p>Cottesloe Works Depot - Major Land Transaction</p> <p>Please accept this email as my submission against the plan and be advised that I object to this plan as it does not seem to make financial sense so other options should be better explored</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
111	Lynda Kenny-Cassell	Support	<p>I strongly oppose the relocation of the depot to the Seaview golf course!!! Totally ridiculous idea !!!</p> <p>PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>Ideally Claremont, Peppermint Grove and Cottesloe and Mosman Park - total population approx 26,000 - should probably be sharing Depot machinery and space/s to maximise good use of resources at the proposed existing depot at Mosman park</p>
112	Alana Clarke	Support	<p>SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN</p> <p>PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK</p> <p>I fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town.</p> <p>For the record, I do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved at all costs.</p>
113	Judy Burbury	Support	Peter Kelly and Judy Burbury would like you to note they would like the council depot to be in McCabe Street.
114	Nick Rea	Support	I'm writing to support the Works Depot being established at McCabe Street Mosman Park and do not support the depot being set up at Seaview golf course. Cash was generated by selling the old depot and former quarry site. It is only logical to locate the depot on lower value industrial or commercial land and I have no issue with that being in a neighbouring town.
115	Andrew Kalafatas	Support	I support the McCabe Street Depot and object strongly to a Depot on the SVGC or Harvey Field precinct.
116	Phillipa Kalafatas	Support	I support the McCabe Street Depot and object strongly to a Depot on the SVGC or Harvey Field precinct
117	Michael Finn	Oppose	<p><Not provided in full due to size></p> <p>I object 100% to the above "Major Land Transaction Business Plan. The only correct solution with benefit to the Cottesloe rate/payers & residents is</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Rationalize basic Council vehicles & equipment. 2 Designate & construct a purpose designed compact depot. 3 The location for the new semi underground purpose designed Cottesloe Depot will be in a discreet & well planned section of the vast Cottesloe Civic Centre land.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
118	Richard Ledger (received after deadline)	Support	<p>Re: Proposed Lease of land for Cottesloe Council Depot with Town of Mosman Park, at 501 McCabe St Mosman Park. I appreciate the democratic opportunity the Cottesloe Council offers Ratepayer to give feedback and thoughts on important Council matters. I fully support the relocation of the Town of Cottesloe's Depot Facility from the highly inappropriate, temporary site at Seaview Golf Course to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. The Seaview Golf Course and Harvey Field precinct as an open space is the jewel in the crown of The Town Cottesloe, and it is just that, a picturesque, easily accessed open space for everyone to utilize and appreciate. It is a testimony to the vision of the former Cottesloe Councillors that helped form this vision and lobby for the creation and protection of this space for future generations. It is an A Class Reserve and must be given the respect that comes with that degree of preservation. Whilst the sale of the former Depot site appeared at the time may have been deemed a reasonable development strategy, the lack of preemptive forethought on a future Depot site at the time and the inefficient use of the financial return from that sale to secure the long term future depot site is quite demoralizing. All decisions the Council makes have importance, the decision making around a future long term Depot site was a huge decision that appears now to have lacked the degree of foresight it required. The thought process of even considering relocating the Depot to the Seaview Golf course, even as a sub-site, appears hasty and lacking the visionary capacity and respect for the Cottesloe ratepayers that has been a hallmark of the Cottesloe Town Council over time. I sincerely hope the Council, whom I am enormously appreciative and grateful for the great work they have done and do, has the strength of vision to not locate the Council Depot or any of its works plant equipment at Seaview Golf Course and find a long term solution on a more appropriate an suitable site such at 501 McCabe St Mosman Park.</p>
119	James and Diana Toll (received after deadline)	Support	Councillors- we would like the depot to remain at McCabe Street.
120	Karen Liggins (received after deadline)	Support	<p>I STRONGLY AGREE that the site should be relocated at Mosman Park as it would enable sharing of machinery with neighbouring councils. I STRONGLY DISAGREE about any thought of setting up a depot at SVGC or Harvey Oval. It is TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE and any Councillor who is pushing this suggestion should take a long hard look at themselves.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
121	Tom O'Leary (received after deadline)	Support	<p>I support the plan to locate the Town's depot at McCabe St in Mosman Park. This is in the long term interests of Cottesloe residents.</p> <p>I am entirely opposed to any attempt to locate the depot anywhere on the Harvey Field/Seaview Golf Course precinct. That is a highly inappropriate use of that land, which is barely large enough to meet the needs of current sports and recreation users. If there is additional land surplus to the Golf Club's requirements then a more appropriate use of the land, in keeping with the purposes for which it is vested in the Town, should be found.</p>

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
122	Adrian Wilson (received after deadline)	Oppose	<p>PLEASE NOTE I AM CURRENTLY OUT OF INTERNET RANGE, SO KINDLY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS SUBMISSION (BELOW) WHEN RECEIVED</p> <p>PLEASE SEE FOLLOWING COMMENTS REGARDING THE TOWN OF COTTESLOE FLAWED BUSINESS PLAN TO LOCATE THE COTTESLOE WORKS DEPOT AT THE TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK.</p> <p>I DO NOT ENDORSE THE BUSINESS PLAN AS IT IS NOT IN THE BEST INTEREST OF COTTESLOE RESIDENTS AND RATEPAYERS (BOTH CURRENT AND FUTURE) TO LOCATE COTTESLOE'S WORKS DEPOT AT THE TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK IT WILL ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGE COTTESLOE RATEPAYERS TO</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PAY APPROX \$500,000 TO CONSTRUCT THE WORKS DEPOT 2. HAND OWNERSHIP OF THE COMPLETED WORKS DEPOT BUILDING TO MOSMAN PARK ON PRACTICAL COMPLETION 3. PAY FOR THE WORKS DEPOT BUILDING MAINTENANCE 4. LEASE BACK THE WORKS DEPOT FROM MOSMAN PARK AT \$88,976 (EXCL GST) PER ANNUM, UNDER A 21 YEAR LEASE ARRANGEMENT TOTAL OF \$1,868,496 5. PAY EXTRA INCLUDING FOR UTILITY SERVICE, COMPUTER INFRASTRUCTURE AND OTHER OPERATIONAL SITE SERVICES 6 THE ANNUAL VALUE OF THE LEASE WILL BE PEGGED TO CPI. 7. THE BUILDING PLUS LEASE COSTS WILL BE AT LEAST \$2,368,496 8. SETTING SUSTAINABILITY IMPACTS ASIDE, SOUND BUSINESS SENSE DEMANDS THAT THE COTTESLOE WORKS DEPOT BE LOCATED WITHIN THE TOWN OF COTTESLOE. 9. THE CURRENT COTTESLOE COUNCIL DEMONSTRATES CONSISTENT DISREGARD FOR RESIDENTS' AND RATEPAYERS' VIEWS ON IMPORTANT ISSUES THAT HAVE COME BEFORE COUNCIL. 10. IN PROGRESSING THIS BUSINESS PLAN, THE CURRENT COTTESLOE COUNCIL HAS DEMONSTRATED ITS LACK OF UNDERSTANDING OF PROPER PROCESS IN FAILING TO CONSIDER ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS/SOLUTIONS TO PROVIDE COST EFFECTIVE, SUSTAINABLE AND EFFICIENT DEPOT SERVICES FOR COTTESLOE.

#	Name	Preference for Business Plan	Comment
123	Briohny and Jeremy McManus (received after deadline)	Support	SUBMISSION RE MAJOR LAND TRANSACTION BUSINESS PLAN PROPOSED LEASE OF PORTION OF TOWN OF MOSMAN PARK DEPOT LOT 501 MCCABE STREET, MOSMAN PARK We fully support the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town. For the record, we do not and would never support, the relocation of the Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Seaview Golf Course and the Harvey Field area, an A Class Reserve that should be preserved as such at all costs.
124	Adrian Fini (received after deadline)	Support	As a resident and rate payer in the Town of Cottesloe, I write to you to confirm my full support for the relocation of Cottesloe's Depot Facility to Mosman Park in accordance with the Major Land Transaction Business Plan published by the Town and strongly object to any works depot being located on the Seaview site. If you wish to discuss further, I will always make myself available and are happy to participate in an active local residents group objecting to the Seaview depot concept if necessary.
125	Erin Kruk (received after deadline)	Support	I support the plan to relocate the Town of Cottesloe Depot to McCabe Street Mosman Park and strongly object to locating it in the Harvey Field or Sea View Golf Club Precinct. It appears to be a huge saving of money and sensible use of land. We do not want the sports and recreation precinct at Harvey Field to be redesigned around a Depot.
126	Anthony Jame Hope and Jane Hope (received after deadline)	Support	As rate payers in Cottesloe we would like you to record OUR STRONG SUPPORT FOR THE SHIFTING OF THE WORKS DEPOT TO MOSMAN PARK as outlined by Cr. Lorraine Young
127	Ann Sweet (received after deadline)	Oppose	As a rate payer in cottesloe. I am strongly against the Council having its works depo in Mosman park. It should be in Cottesloe.