

TOWN OF COTTESLOE



WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE

MINUTES

MAYOR'S PARLOUR, COTTESLOE CIVIC CENTRE
109 BROOME STREET, COTTESLOE
7.00 PM, TUESDAY, 18 MAY 2010

CARL ASKEW
Chief Executive Officer

21 May 2010

WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE
TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE NO
1	DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS.....	1
2	RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED).....	1
3	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE.....	1
4	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME.....	1
5	PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME.....	1
6	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	2
7	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING.....	2
8	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION.....	2
9	PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS.....	2
10	REPORTS OF COMMITTEES AND OFFICERS.....	3
10.1	ADMINISTRATION.....	3
10.1.1	SECTION 64 APPLICATION TO THE DIRECTOR OF LIQUOR LICENSING	3
10.1.2	SAFER SUSTAINABLE COTTESLOE PLAN 2010 - 2014	14
10.2	ENGINEERING.....	17
10.2.1	COTTESLOE BEACH PYLON - SECOND STAGE	17
10.2.2	COTTESLOE TENNIS CLUB - REQUEST FOR NAPIER STREET PARKING AREA	21
10.2.3	NORTH COTTESLOE PRIMARY SCHOOL - REQUEST FOR DONATION TO CAR FREE PROJECT	23
10.3	FINANCE.....	26

10.3.1	PROPERTY & SUNDRY DEBTORS REPORT FOR APRIL 2010	26
10.3.2	SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AND LOANS AS AT 30 APRIL 2010	28
10.3.3	STATUTORY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL 2010	30
10.3.4	ACCOUNTS PAID IN THE MONTH OF APRIL 2010	32
11	ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	34
12	NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY ELECTED MEMBERS/OFFICERS BY DECISION OF MEETING	34
13	MEETING CLOSURE.....	34

1 DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Presiding Member announced the meeting opened at 7:15pm.

**2 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE
(PREVIOUSLY APPROVED)****Present**

Cr Greg Boland	Presiding Member
Cr Rob Rowell	
Cr Patricia Carmichael	
Cr Dan Cunningham	

Officers Present

Mr Carl Askew	Chief Executive Officer
Mr Graham Patrick	Manager Corporate Services
Mr Geoff Trigg	Manager Engineering Services
Ms Annaliese Davis	Events and Support Officer

Apologies

Mayor Kevin Morgan	
Cr Victor Strzina	
Cr Ian Woodhill	
Cr Jay Birnbrauer	Deputy
Cr Davina Goldthorpe	Deputy

Officer Apologies

Nil

Leave of Absence (previously approved)

Nil

3 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil

4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Nil

5 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME**Mr Brett Christian, John Street, Cottesloe**

Mr Christian commented on Item 10.1.1 and advised that, in his opinion, whilst many young people attend the beach front hotels for a good time and are well behaved, some leaving the hotel are clearly drunk and behave badly. For that to occur they must have been served by bar staff who are unable to monitor

service standards due to the over-crowding that occurs. Mr Christian supported Council's work in attempting to reduce patron numbers.

6 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Cunningham

[Minutes April 20 2010 Works and Corporate Services Committee.doc](#)

The Minutes of the Ordinary meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee, held on 20 April 2010 be confirmed.

Carried 4/0

8 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Nil

9 PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Nil

10 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES AND OFFICERS

10.1 ADMINISTRATION

10.1.1 SECTION 64 APPLICATION TO THE DIRECTOR OF LIQUOR LICENSING

File No: SUB/362
Attachments: [S64 agenda item1.pdf](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 20 April 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

A recommendation is made to pursue the lodgement of a Section 64 application with the Director of Liquor Licensing.

BACKGROUND

At the May 2008 meeting of Council it was resolved:-

- (1) *That the Town of Cottesloe withhold consideration of any further development of a strategy to support an amendment to the Liquor Control Act 1988 until a complaint under section 117 of the Liquor Control Act 1988 has been finalised or a review of liquor license conditions has been undertaken under section 64 of the Act.*
 - (2) *That the Town of Cottesloe review the measures available under the Liquor Control Act 1988 and the practicalities of lodging a complaint under section 117 of the Liquor Control Act 1988 in respect to the Cottesloe Beach Hotel.*
 - (3) *That the Town of Cottesloe review the most practical manner of obtaining information that will support a change in liquor license conditions either under section 64 or the lodging of a complaint under section 117.*
 - (4) *That the Town of Cottesloe adopt a similar plan of action for developing a strategy to finding a solution to problems associated with the Ocean Beach Hotel.*
 - (5) *That the Town of Cottesloe not consider, until after the complaint under section 117 of the Liquor Control Act 1988 has been finalised or a review of liquor license conditions has been undertaken under section 64, any further development of a strategy to support an amendment to the Liquor Control Act 1988.*
 - (6) *That the Town of Cottesloe develop a succinct communications strategy to locally publicise the need for residents to provide better evidence in support of complaints, and to also more widely publicise the need to address problems*
-

that arise from the high patron numbers allowed at the Cottesloe Beach Hotel and the Ocean Beach Hotel.

- (7) *That Council form a Liquor Licensing Working Group comprised of the Mayor and Council members of the Community Safety & Crime Prevention Committee, together with executive support from the Chief Executive Officer, to oversee the completion within four months of the abovementioned reviews and the preparation of the abovementioned plans of action and communications strategy, including the preparation for Council approval of any proposal to lodge a submission with the Liquor Licensing Authority seeking to invoke a review under section 64.*

A Liquor Licensing Working Group was formed as per the Council directive and met for the first time on 24 June 2008. The group has met a number of times since then and:

- Reviewed the available information.
- Listened to representatives from the Department of Racing, Gaming and Liquor on the issues surrounding appeals to the Liquor Licensing Court.
- Worked up a strategy to address the situation as uncovered during previous meetings.
- Present agreed strategy to Council for ratification before proceeding further.
-

At the Working Group meeting of the 15 July 2008 it was noted that the objectives of the *Liquor Control Act 1988* have changed (emphasis added).

s5. Objects of the Act

- (1) The primary objects of this Act are —
- (a) to regulate the sale, supply and consumption of liquor; and
 - (b) to minimize harm or ill-health caused to people, or any group of people, due to the use of liquor; and
 - (c) **to cater for the requirements of consumers for liquor and related services, with regard to the proper development of the liquor industry, the tourism industry and other hospitality industries in the State.**
- (2) In carrying out its functions under this Act, the licensing authority shall have regard to the primary objects of this Act and also to the following secondary objects —
- (a) **to facilitate the use and development of licensed facilities, including their use and development for the performance of live original music, reflecting the diversity of the requirements of consumers in the State; and**
 - [(b), (c) *deleted*]
 - (d) to provide adequate controls over, and over the persons directly or indirectly involved in, the sale, disposal and consumption of liquor; and
 - (e) to provide a flexible system, with as little formality or technicality as may be practicable, for the administration of this Act.
- (3) If, in carrying out any of its functions under this Act, the licensing authority considers that there is any inconsistency between the primary objects referred to in
-

subsection (1) and the secondary objects referred to in subsection (2), the primary objects take precedence.

From the Working Group meeting of the 29 July 2008 it was concluded that:

- Police resourcing is an issue and is tending to be concentrated in entertainment precincts.
- There is a need for a “grandfathering” clause to reduce adverse health impacts by reducing patron numbers over several years to further the objectives of Section 64. We need to gather scientific evidence of the adverse health impacts of large liquor establishments.
- Focus should be on social betterment through the progressive removal of an anachronistic formula that determines patron numbers.

The working group meeting of the 12 August 2008 concluded that the desired outcome of any action undertaken by the Town against the hotels must be to dramatically reduce the numbers of patrons at both hotels.

To achieve this outcome, irrefutable evidence would need to be gathered. Rather than collect piece-meal and anecdotal of evidence over a summer, a comprehensive effort to gather evidence should be undertaken.

The initial surveillance during the summer of 2008/2009 was extended until summer 2009/2010.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Objective 1: Lifestyle

To protect and enhance the lifestyle of residents

- Reduce beachfront hotel patron numbers to a sustainable level.

The strategy detailed within this item is focused on this objective.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

The relevant sections of the *Liquor Control Act 1988* provide the following:

s64. Power of licensing authority to impose, vary or cancel conditions

- (1) Subject to this Act, in relation to any licence, or to any permit, the licensing authority may at its discretion impose conditions —
 - (a) in addition to the conditions specifically imposed by this Act; or
 - (b) in such a manner as to make more restrictive a condition specifically imposed by this Act,

and may vary or cancel any condition previously imposed by the licensing authority, having regard to the tenor of the licence or permit and the

circumstances in relation to which the licensing authority intends that it should operate.

- (1a) The licensing authority may impose, vary or cancel a condition under subsection (1) —
- (a) of its own motion; or
 - (b) on the application of the licensee; or
 - (c) at the written request of the parties to a liquor accord.

- (1b) In subsection (1a) —

“liquor accord” means a written agreement or other arrangement —

- (a) that is entered into by 2 or more licensees in a local community, and persons who represent the licensing authority, departments of the Public Service, State agencies or local government, and other persons; and
 - (b) that has the purposes of minimising the harm caused in the local community by the excessive consumption of liquor and promoting responsible practices in the sale, supply and service of liquor in the local community; and
 - (c) that is approved by the Director.
- (2) The power conferred by subsection (1) may, subject to compliance with section 31(6)(b), be exercised at any time, but a condition takes effect on —
- (a) the date of the grant of the licence or the issue of the permit in relation to which it was imposed; or
 - (b) such other date as is specified in the notice setting out the particulars, or in the endorsement or revised version of the licence or permit made, under section 31(6),

whichever is the later.

- (2a) If the licensing authority proposes to impose, vary or cancel a condition under this section, the licensing authority may, by notice in writing, require the licensee to show cause to the licensing authority why the condition should not be imposed, varied or cancelled.
- (2b) Subsection (2a) does not apply in relation to a condition proposed to be imposed, varied or cancelled in accordance with an application under subsection (1a)(b).
- (3) Without derogating from the generality of the discretion conferred on the licensing authority, the licensing authority may impose conditions which it considers to be in the public interest or which it considers desirable in order to —
- (a) ensure that the noise emanating from the licensed premises is not excessive; or
 - (b) minimize the offence, annoyance, disturbance or inconvenience that might be caused to those who reside or work in the vicinity of the licensed premises, or to persons in or making their way to or from a place of public worship, hospital or school, in consequence of activities on the licensed premises or the conduct of those making their way to or from the licensed premises; or

- (ba) ensure that local laws of a local authority under the Local Government Act 1995 or by-laws of an Aboriginal community under the Aboriginal Communities Act 1979 are complied with; or
- (c) ensure that the safety, health or welfare of persons who may resort to the licensed premises is not at risk; or
- (ca) ensure that liquor is sold and consumed in a responsible manner; or
- (cb) ensure that all persons involved in conducting business under the licence have suitable training for attaining the primary objects of this Act; or
- (cc) minimize harm or ill-health caused to people, or any group of people, due to the use of liquor; or
- (cd) limit or prohibit the sale of liquor on credit; or
- (d) ensure public order and safety, particularly where circumstances or events are expected to attract large numbers of persons to the premises or to an area adjacent to the premises; or
- (e) limit —
 - (i) the kinds of liquor that may be sold;
 - (ii) the manner in which or the containers, or number or types of containers, in which liquor may be sold;
 - (iii) the days on which, and the times at which, liquor may be sold;or
- (ea) without limiting paragraph (e)(iii), limit the times when packaged liquor may be sold on and from the licensed premises to those times when liquor may be purchased for consumption on those premises; or
- (f) prohibit persons being, or limit the number of persons who may be, present on, or on any particular part of, the licensed premises or any area which is subject to the control or management of the licensee and is adjacent to those premises; or
- (fa) prohibit entry to the licensed premises after a specified time; or
- (g) prohibit the provision of entertainment, or limit the kind of entertainment that may be provided, on, or in an area under the control of the licensee adjacent to, the licensed premises; or
- (ga) prohibit promotional activity in which drinks are offered free or at reduced prices, or limit the circumstances in which this may be done; or
- (gb) prohibit any practices which encourage irresponsible drinking; or
- (h) otherwise limit the authority conferred under a licence or permit; or
- (j) require action therein specified to be undertaken by the licensee —
 - (i) within a time or at times therein specified; or
 - (ii) on occasions or in circumstances therein specified,in relation to the licensed premises or any part of those premises, the conduct of the business carried on under the licence, or otherwise in the public interest; or
- (k) prevent improper arrangements or practices calculated to increase any subsidy payable; or

- (m) ensure compliance with the requirements of, or with terms fixed or conditions imposed by or under, this Act.
- (4) If there is an inconsistency between a condition imposed under this Act and a requirement of, or made under, any other written law, the condition or requirement which is more onerous for the licensee shall prevail.
- [(5) *repealed*]
- (6) A condition imposed under this section may relate to —
 - (a) any aspects of the business carried on under the licence; and
 - (b) any activity carried on at the licensed premises, at any time and whether or not related to the business carried on under the licence.
- (7) Where a condition imposed under this section in relation to a licence has been contravened the licensing authority may —
 - (a) impose a more restrictive condition in relation to that licence; or
 - (b) impose on the licence holder a monetary penalty not exceeding \$500 for each day on which the contravention continues, which shall be payable to the Crown by that person,or both.
- (8) The imposition, variation or cancellation of a condition, or the imposition of a monetary penalty, under this section is not to be regarded as the taking of disciplinary action for the purposes of section 96.

s117. Complaints about noise or behaviour related to licensed premises

- (1) A complaint in writing may be lodged with the Director alleging —
 - (a) that the amenity, quiet or good order of the neighbourhood of the licensed premises is frequently unduly disturbed by reason of any activity occurring at the licensed premises; or
 - (b) that any —
 - (i) behaviour of persons on the licensed premises;
 - (ii) noise emanating from the licensed premises; or
 - (iii) disorderly conduct occurring frequently in the vicinity of the licensed premises on the part of persons who have resorted to the licensed premises,is unduly offensive, annoying, disturbing or inconvenient to persons who reside or work in the vicinity, or to persons in or making their way to or from a place of public worship, hospital or school.
- (2) A complaint under subsection (1) may be lodged by —
 - (a) the Commissioner of Police;
 - (b) the local government of the district in which the licensed premises are situated, or of any other district adjacent to the licensed premises and appearing to the Director to have an interest in the amenity, quiet or good order of the neighbourhood of the licensed premises;
 - (c) a government agency or statutory authority; or
 - (d) a person claiming to be adversely affected by the subject matter of that complaint who —

- (i) resides, works or worships;
 - (ii) attends, or is a parent of a child who attends, a school; or
 - (iii) attends, or is a patient in, a hospital,in the vicinity of the licensed premises concerned.
- (2a) If a complaint is lodged by a person referred to in subsection (2)(d), the complaint is to be signed, unless the Director otherwise approves, by 3 unrelated adults (including the complainant).
- (2b) In subsection (2a) —
“3 unrelated adults” means 3 adults each of whom —
 - (a) resides at different residential premises; and
 - (b) is not —
 - (i) a child; or
 - (ii) a parent; or
 - (iii) a brother or sister; or
 - (iv) an aunt or uncle; or
 - (v) a spouse or former spouse; or
 - (vi) a de facto partner or former de facto partner,of either of the other 2 adults.
- (3) The Director shall give notice of each complaint lodged to the licensee of the licensed premises with respect to which the complaint is made.
- (3a) When a complaint is lodged with the Director under subsection (1), the Director is to attempt to settle the matter by conciliation or negotiation.
- (3b) If the Director determines at any stage of the proceedings under this section that the complaint is frivolous or vexatious, the Director is to dismiss the complaint.
- (4) If the matter referred to in a complaint is not settled by conciliation or negotiation, the Director is to give the complainant, the licensee and any other person appearing to the Director to have a relevant interest in the matter a reasonable opportunity to be heard or to make submissions.
- (4a) Having complied with subsection (4), the Director —
 - (a) subject to subsection (4c), may determine the matter; and
 - (b) if of the opinion that the allegation in the complaint is established on the balance of probabilities and that the licensee has failed to show cause why an order should not be made under this section — may make an order under this section,but otherwise the Director is to dismiss the complaint.
- (4b) Without limiting the matters that the Director may have regard to when making a determination under subsection (4a), the Director may have regard to —
 - (a) any alteration, including any structural change, made —
 - (i) to the licensed premises; or
 - (ii) if the complainant is a person referred to in subsection (2)(d) — to any relevant premises where the

complainant (or, if subsection (2)(d)(ii) applies, the complainant's child) resides, works, worships, attends or is a patient;

and

- (b) any changes that have taken place over time to the activities that take place on the licensed premises; and
 - (c) the kind of business conducted under the licence and how that business is managed; and
 - (d) if the complainant is a person referred to in subsection (2)(d) — whether the complainant (or, if subsection (2)(d)(ii) applies, the complainant's child) began to reside, work, worship, attend or be a patient at any relevant premises before or after the licensee began to conduct business at the licensed premises; and
 - (e) any provision of the Environmental Protection Act 1986, or of any regulations made under that Act, that is relevant to the subject matter of the complaint.
- (4c) The Director —
- (a) may defer making a determination under subsection (4a) for any period the Director considers appropriate; and
 - (b) may make an interim order that has effect for that period for any purpose for which an order may be made under subsection (5).
- (5) For the purposes of this section, whether pursuant to conciliation or negotiation or by way of an order, the Director may —
- (a) vary the existing conditions of the licence;
 - (b) redefine, or redesignate a part of, the licensed premises;
 - (c) prohibit the licensee from providing entertainment or any other activity of a kind specified by the Director during a period specified by the Director or otherwise than in circumstances specified by the Director, and impose that prohibition as a condition to which the licence is to be subject; or
 - (d) otherwise deal with the matter in such a manner as is likely, in the opinion of the Director, to resolve the subject matter of the complaint.
- (6) Where, under section 25, a determination made by the Director under this section is to be reviewed by the Commission —
- (a) effect shall be given to any determination made by the Director; and
 - (b) any order made, or other action taken, by the Director under subsection (5) remains in force until revoked by the Director or quashed by the Commission,
- unless the Commission, by way of interim order, otherwise directs.
- (7) A licensee who contravenes an order made under this section commits an offence.

Penalty: \$10 000.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There will be some legal costs in preparing the request to the Director of Liquor Licensing to consider a s64 review of the hotels based on the evidence we provide. It is anticipated this will be approximately \$5,000.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

It is fair to say that this process has been long and drawn out and, whilst there has been some recent change, in relative terms, in both frequency and severity of reported incidents it is difficult to confirm the reasons for this or if those changes are temporary or permanent. Such change could be due to increased police presence/action or actions by the Town and/or Hotels themselves to reduce aspects of anti-social behaviour that have been of concern for some time e.g. timed car parking, CBH mini-bus service, Cott Cat extension service, voluntary *shut-outs*, increased security patrols around hotels etc. Equally there is also concern that we may be experiencing a possibility of general "*fatigue*" by local residents due to continued experience/reporting of anti-social behaviour. In reality it is likely that any noticeable change will be as a result of a combination of these and other factors however it is clear that the primary problem has not gone away nor is at an *acceptable* level. The only way that this will be gained is through the achievement of Council's primary goal of reduced patron numbers at both hotels.

In the last two years there have been a number of reports to Council and even more to meetings of the Working Party, as well as meetings of local residents and Hotel management. During the last two summers the Town has collected evidence which can be used to support an application to the Dept. of Liquor Licensing.

Council has two main options;

1. act now using the information gathered to date from all sources; or
2. continue to monitor the situation and work with the relevant Agencies (e.g. Police, Dept of Liquor Licensing) and the Hotels to see if it is possible to substantially remove or reduce this behaviour;

It is the officer's view that Council should use the information it has to follow through with the commitment to its community and lodge a section 64 application to the Director of Liquor Licensing for a reduction in the number of patrons at each Hotel. The potential risk is that if the information is not sufficient to warrant any action or change by Liquor Licensing, then Council may need to consider alternative strategies to achieve its goals.

The most recent surveillance of the area around the beachfront hotels, including video footage, was undertaken during November and December 2009. The surveillance was supervised by Hammond Legal, a law firm with experience in dealing with the preparation of liquor licensing court applications. Hammond Legal has reviewed the video footage and report logs and has determined there is sufficient evidence for an argument to be made for conditions to be imposed, based upon

incidents that have occurred, and that a section 64 application to the Director of Liquor Licensing be prepared and lodged.

VOTING

Absolute Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Carmichael, seconded Cr Boland

That Council

1. authorise the preparation of a section 64 application under the Liquor License Control Act to the Director of Liquor Licensing to reduce the patron numbers at the Cottesloe beachfront hotels based on the evidence collected by the Town, including surveillance over the past 2 summers.
2. seek the support of local Police, Police Liquor Enforcement Division, and related Agencies to provide evidence to supplement its claims.
3. provide sufficient supporting information, including a cover letter from the Mayor which outlines the Town's concerns and request the Mayor meet with the Director of Liquor Licensing and Commission of Police as part of lodging its application.

Committee Comment:

Committee discussed the report and Officer recommendation and asked questions about the information that had been obtained via the surveillance. They also discussed the potential impact of the proposed sale of the Cottesloe Beach Hotel and wanted Officers to work with the new owners in relation to Council's concerns. Cr Rowell asked about the potential cost to lodge the associated application and, in line with the officer report, proposed an amendment to the Officer Recommendation.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Cunningham

That an additional point (4) be added to state "*That Council not exceed its budget of \$5,000 in preparing its application to the Director of Liquor Licensing.*"

Carried 4/0

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. authorise the preparation of a section 64 application under the Liquor License Control Act to the Director of Liquor Licensing to reduce the patron numbers at the Cottesloe beachfront hotels based on the evidence collected by the Town, including surveillance over the past 2 summers.
2. seek the support of local Police, Police Liquor Enforcement Division, and related Agencies to provide evidence to supplement its claims.

3. provide sufficient supporting information, including a cover letter from the Mayor which outlines the Town's concerns and request the Mayor meet with the Director of Liquor Licensing and Commission of Police as part of lodging its application.

4. not exceed its budget of \$5,000 in preparing its application to the Director of Liquor Licensing.

THE SUBSTANTIVE MOTION WAS PUT

Carried 4/0

10.1.2 SAFER SUSTAINABLE COTTESLOE PLAN 2010 - 2014

File No: sub/551
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Christina Guelfi
Community Development Officer
Attachment **A Safer Sustainable Cottesloe Plan**
Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

This report presents the Safer, Sustainable Cottesloe Plan that outlines seven strategies to creating a safer Cottesloe and recommends Council receive the document into its strategic documents.

BACKGROUND

The Office of Crime Prevention requires each Local Government to have a Community Safety and Crime Prevention Plan. In 2005 the Town received a grant to have a consultant assist in putting the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Plan together, with consultation from relevant organisations. The Safer, Sustainable Cottesloe Plan acts as an addition to the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Plan, and continues its principles, not replacing them.

The Safer Sustainable Cottesloe Plan was put to the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Plan at its 29 April meeting and was unanimously accepted for referral to Council at its May 2010 meeting through the Works & Corporate Committee.

Cottesloe Police's new OIC was present at this meeting and expressed interest in assisting the Town achieve the outcomes listed in the Safer Sustainable Cottesloe Plan.

The Plan aims to structure a target based safety plan for the Town with a breakdown in steps to achieve each strategy.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

The Town of Cottesloe's Action Plan Objective 1.9 requests staff "*Develop and implement a Community Safety Strategy*". This document has been prepared to address Objective 1.9.

Objective 1: Lifestyle

To protect and enhance the lifestyle of residents

- Develop a strategy to ensure access and inclusion of aged persons and persons with disabilities (Action Plan Objective 1.7)
- Implement a Community Safety Strategy (Action Plan Objective 1.9)

The following Town of Cottesloe documents are also relevant to the strategic implications of the Safer Sustainable Cottesloe Plan.

- The Town of Cottesloe's Future Plan 2006 -2010
- The Town of Cottesloe's Action Plan 2009 – 2010
- The Town of Cottesloe's Community Safety & Crime Prevention Plan 2005 - 2008

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

None known

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

None known

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Grants have been applied for from the Office of Crime Prevention to implement strategies 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6.

Strategy 4 is covered by a \$30,000 ongoing budget commitment to continue to upgrade and maintain access to Council owned buildings

Strategy 7 primarily requires officer time from the Town's Community Development Officer in order to achieve, so the financial commitment is in salaries/work priorities.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

The Safer Sustainable Cottesloe Action plan specifically includes the consideration of strategies that implement a sustainable outcome for the Town and its residents. The Town's Sustainability officer has been consulted through the process of developing the plan and will be participating in its implementation.

CONSULTATION

The Town consulted several key people in the process of developing the Safer, Sustainable Cottesloe Action Plan, including the following;

- Scott Birmingham, OCP for the Town's statistics as referenced in the Plan
- Kerryn Campbell – member of the Community Safety Crime Prevention and Professor in Security at Edith Cowan University
- Michael Coe – OCP – CCTV Officer
- Cottesloe Police;
- Procott (Cottesloe's Business Association);
- Community Safety and Crime Prevention Committee

STAFF COMMENT

The aims of the Safer Sustainability Cottesloe Strategy are;

1. *To reduce and monitor anti-social behaviour on the beachfront area by improving the Town's security systems and CCTV networks.*
2. *To reduce crime, including graffiti, in the Central Business District of the Town, by extending the CCTV network to the area.*
3. *To raise awareness in the local community about safety on the roads, including safe roads and roadsides, safe vehicles, safe speeds and safe road use as outlined in the Road Safety Council's Strategic Action Plan.*
4. *To increase the safety and access to the Council owned buildings according to the Town's Access and Inclusion Plan 2007 – 2011.*

5. *To educate residents on the importance of home, business and vehicle security to prevent theft and damage to property.*
6. *To promote safe consumption of alcohol and raise awareness the outcomes of abuse of alcohol and other drugs.*
7. *To increase communication between the Council, the Community Safety and Crime Prevention Committee and the residents of Cottesloe, to achieve accountability, feedback and progress reports.*

This document aims to cover a wide range of areas that concern residents safety, through the reduction of crime, access and inclusion and education of visitors to our community on safety and provision of information to our local residents.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Rowell

That Council receive the Safer Sustainable Cottesloe Plan 2010-2014 as an addition to its Community Safety and Crime Prevention Plan.

Carried 4/0

10.2 ENGINEERING

10.2.1 COTTESLOE BEACH PYLON - SECOND STAGE

File No: SUB/214
Attachments: [Historical Information on Pylon Condition.pdf](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

Council has completed Stage 1 of the restoration of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon, using Federal Government Stimulus Package grant funding and a Lottery West grant. Stage 2 remains to be undertaken, being the base section from the sea bed to within 0.5 meters of the base of the spire.

The recommendation is for Council to:

1. Support a fundraising campaign to undertake Stage 2 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon project in 2011/2012, once current major projects have been resolved and completed.
2. Nominate Councillor _____ to represent Council and participate on any Appeal Advisory Committee as required.

BACKGROUND

Council would be aware of the project to undertake Stage 1 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon restoration project. Funding was received from Lottery West and from part of the Federal Stimulus Package to undertake the work. Stage 1 entailed restoration and strengthening of the pylon itself plus a base section depth of approximately 0.8 metres.

Stage 2 would be below that section, involving a cylindrical section approximately 2 metres diameter in the active wave zone plus a wider section down to bedrock. The bedrock connection was originally blasted out and the hole filled with concrete and shaped into a cylinder, designed to be below water most of the time.

Works would be aimed at preventing any further 'shelling' of concrete portions off the 2 metre diameter section and the exposure of any reinforcing steel. This would require a water-sealed outer shell which would allow an outer concrete layer to be applied to protect and restore the base cylinder.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Without proper design or cost quotations, the size of the project is estimated to be in the order of \$300,000. Council has not included any allowance for this work in 2010/2011.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Undertaking Stage 2 works will increase the longevity and sustainability of the Pylon.

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

Stage 1 works dealt with the most fragile part of the pylon – the connection of the narrow shaft into the base cylinder with concrete and steel reinforcing. The structure then greatly increases in diameter and then increases again for the section normally under water to the base connection into bedrock. There is a very low possibility that this base section will fall apart or collapse.

However, ongoing ‘flaking’ of concrete from the outer surface and the subsequent exposure of reinforcing steel will continue to make contact with the very rough outer surfaced damaging. Eventually if not repaired or re constructed, larger scale ‘shelling’ may endanger the structure.

In 2009 the National Trust agreed to establish a tax-deductible appeal, with funds to be donated to Stage 2 of the pylon project. The local member and Premier Mr Colin Barnett agreed to be patron of such an appeal. A Draft Project Report and Business Plan was prepared but not finalised. It is attached for the information of elected members.

In regards to establishing a more accurate cost estimate of the works involved, a design plan would be required, potentially from Wood and Grieve Engineering Consultant at no cost to Council. (Note: Wood and Grieve were involved in Stage 1 and provided expertise at no cost to Council). A quotation from a construction company, e.g. the company that undertook Stage 1, would then be needed.

However, with no funds to undertake works at present, no company undertaking a construction quotation could expect works to come from the effort. It is also probable that, at a cost of around \$300,000 full plans and specifications would be needed for

tenders to be called, to achieve an accurate cost of the works. Without some certainty of funding it is unlikely that companies will invest significant resources to undertake preliminary analysis in order to quote or tender for this work.

Staff currently are committed to several large scale projects, including the finalisation of the 2010/2011 budget, plans for sale of the old depot/construction of the new site, the proposal to undertake major Civic Centre re-roofing works, and the Foreshore Development Project, along with 'normal' budget capital works. Council should determine the relative priority of this project compared to existing commitments. Leadership would also be needed from individual Councillors to develop community contacts and assist in the support of significant fundraising efforts.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Carmichael, seconded Cr Boland

That Council

1. Support a fundraising campaign to undertake Stage 2 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon project in 2011/2012, once current major projects have been resolved and completed.
2. Nominate Councillor _____ to represent Council and participate on any Appeal Advisory Committee as required.

Committee Comment:

Committee discussed the report at length and in particular the possibility of requesting that Wood and Grieve provide, pro bono, a design concept for the stage two works and that this design be costed by a quantity surveyor so that the fundraising campaign has a more specific goal to achieve. Committee determined to add two new parts to the officer recommendation to reflect these comments.

AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Carmichael, seconded Cr Rowell

That a new point (1) be added to the recommendation that states, "*Consult with Wood and Grieve for an accurate design concept for Stage 2 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon Project.* That a new point (2) be added to state, "*Engage a Quantity Surveyor to provide a cost estimate based on the design from point 1 for Stage 2 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon Project*"

The word "a" be added to point (4) to state, "*Nominate a Councillor to represent Council and participate on any Appeal Advisory Committee as required*"

That points (1) and (2) become points (3) and (4)

Carried 4/0

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1. Consult with Wood and Grieve for an accurate design concept for Stage 2 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon Project.**
- 2. Engage a Quantity Surveyor to provide a cost estimate based on the design from point 1 for Stage 2 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon Project**
- 3. Support a fundraising campaign to undertake Stage 2 of the Cottesloe Beach Pylon project in 2011/2012, once current major projects have been resolved and completed.**
- 4. Nominate Councillor _____ to represent Council and participate on any Appeal Advisory Committee as required.**

THE SUBSTANTIVE MOTION WAS PUT

Carried 4/0

10.2.2 COTTESLOE TENNIS CLUB - REQUEST FOR NAPIER STREET PARKING AREA

File No: SUB/236
Attachments: [Letter to Mayor from Cottesloe Tennis Club.pdf](#)
[Proposed Constructed Parking Area for Cottesloe Tennis Club.pdf](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The Cottesloe Tennis Club has requested funding in the 2010/2011 budget for a new constructed parking area on the northern Napier Street road verge, to remove parking problems of over parking during busy tennis days.

The recommendation is for Council to:

1. Inform the Cottesloe Tennis Club that, due to a lack of an agreed design of the proposed carpark and an accurate cost estimate, plus a very tight 2010/2011 budget year, funds will not be available for the requested construction of a carpark on Napier Street, in 2010/2011.
2. Work with the Cottesloe Tennis Club to determine the parking requirements for the northern verge of Napier Street agree on a design and estimate a construction cost for consideration in the 2011/2012 budget deliberations.

BACKGROUND

The issue of a constructed parking area fronting the total tennis courts southern boundary on Napier Street was considered by Council in 2007/2008. Council resolved to consider funding in the 2008/2009 budget. Subsequently, as part of the budget discussion no funds for this work, were provided.

During very long summer periods, with heavy use being made of this grassed and reticulated verge for both tennis patrons and for people using the beach and hotels, surface damage has occurred and over-parking takes place.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Council has no statutory obligations in this matter.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost of this parking area would be in excess of \$40,000. However a design plan is required for a proper estimate. The application has arrived very late in the budget cycle, with no discussions having taken place on the exact requirements.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

A grassed verge will absorb water into the aquifer but it will require reticulation in summer. An asphalt surface requires no reticulation and can act as a catchment for drainage water for the direction into the aquifer.

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

This proposal has recently been received and, in order to undertake a cost estimate, a full design of the car park is required, agreed on by both Council and the Club and the works given a priority level when compared to all other requests. This process could take place in the next 12 months to ensure that the exact project design and costs are known.

VOTING

Simple Majority

Cr Boland declared a non-financial interest in item 10.2.2 as a tennis club member.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Rowell, seconded Cr Cunningham

That Council:

- 1. Inform the Cottesloe Tennis Club, that due to a lack of an agreed design of the proposed carpark and an accurate cost estimate, plus a very tight 2010/2011 budget year, funds will not be available for the requested construction of a carpark on Napier Street, in 2010/2011.**
- 2. Work with the Cottesloe Tennis Club to determine the parking requirements for the northern verge of Napier Street agree on a design and estimate a construction cost for consideration in the 2011/2012 budget deliberations.**

Carried 4/0

10.2.3 NORTH COTTESLOE PRIMARY SCHOOL - REQUEST FOR DONATION TO CAR FREE PROJECT

File No: SUB/143
Attachments: [North Cottesloe Primary School proposed steel sculpture locations.pdf](#)
[Donations Application - North Cottesloe Primary School - Car Free Project 2010\(2\).PDF](#)
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Geoff Trigg
Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The North Cottesloe Primary School has requested a \$5,000 donation for the creation and installation of a series of steel cut out figures (5) in the shape of children, to be installed behind the Eric Street kerb line fronting the school. The steel thickness is 5 millimetres and the aim is to slow vehicles on Eric Street down as they pass the school and to reduce the use of vehicles past the school. The problem is the safety and liability of such steel figures close to cyclists and vehicles using Eric Street, and the level of distraction to drivers and cyclists.

The recommendation is that Council:

1. Seek advice from Main Roads WA and LGIS on the suitability and liability issues raised by the proposed installation of five steel silhouette figures to be installed on the Eric Street road verge fronting the North Cottesloe Primary School.
2. Inform the North Cottesloe Primary School of Councils decision, which will not affect the grant application approval but may affect the final location or material of the proposed structures.

BACKGROUND

This school application for a \$5,000 donation is aimed at increasing the cyclist and motorist attention to the need to slow down past the school by the use of five steel silhouette cut-outs of children, placed on the island fronting the school on the north side of Eric Street. The sculptures would be five millimetres thick steel, 1.5 to 2.0 metres high. The silhouettes will not be painted and will rust naturally.

This idea seems to be the first use of steel rather than plastic and its first use on a road reserve close to moving traffic fronting a school. The fall back position for the school is for the installation to be inside the school grounds.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Main Roads WA and Councils insurers (LGIS) need to be contacted regarding the proposal siting of these steel silhouettes, close to a busy road and beside a cycle lane. The minimum distance away from the kerb line for safety, the 'frangibility issue' and Councils exposure to liability if there is an accident are issues needing answers.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

\$5,000 is the donation request. Ongoing maintenance, including removal of graffiti, would be a cost issue. If Council is liable for injury claims (because of the road reserve location), then the cost could be substantial.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil, apart from energy efficiency where slower vehicles would use less fuel and less car use to deliver or pick up children at the school.

CONSULTATION

The matter has been discussed only with the school contact.

STAFF COMMENT

This appears to be the first time this silhouette proposal has been in sheet steel in front of a school, on the road verge, beside a busy road and a cycle lane.

Standard attitudes to objects to be installed on road verges is to have them well back from the kerb line, not of a nature that will attract a drivers concentration away from driving, and the materials would be as 'soft' as possible to minimise any damage if someone does hit the object.

Main Roads WA and good safety practice calls for 'frangibility' - the object will easily collapse if hit by a vehicle, to minimise potential injury to the drivers. Because the installations are proposed for the road reserve, vested in Council, the liability responsibility would normally rest with Council if an accident occurs. The aims of slowing traffic down in front of a school and reducing the use of vehicles is to be encouraged, however normal Main Roads WA advice should be requested along with advice from Councils' insurers, prior to any approval on the installation of steel structures close to the kerb line on Eric Street.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Cunningham seconded Cr Carmichael

That Council:

- 1. Seek advice from Main Roads WA and LGIS on the suitability and liability issues raised by the proposed installation of five steel silhouette figures to be installed on Eric Street road verge fronting the North Cottesloe Primary School.**
- 2. Inform the North Cottesloe Primary School of Councils decision, which will not affect the grant application approval but may affect the final location or material of the proposed structures.**

Carried 4/0

10.3 FINANCE

10.3.1 PROPERTY & SUNDRY DEBTORS REPORT FOR APRIL 2010

File No: SUB/145
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Property and Sundry Debtors Reports for the period ending 30 April 2010 to Council.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Sundry Debtors Report commences on page 20 of the Financial Statements and shows a balance of \$169,442.36 of which \$147,221.01 relates to the current month. The balance of aged debtors over 30 days stood at \$22,221.35

Property Debtors are shown in the Rates and Charges analysis on page 23 of the Financial Statements and show a balance of \$315,040.54. Of this amount \$220,711.77 and \$30,214.86 are deferred rates and outstanding ESL respectively. As can be seen on the Balance Sheet on page 4 of the Financial Statements, rates as a current asset are \$94,022 in 2010 compared to \$119,916 last year.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Carmichael

That Council receive the Property and Sundry Debtors Report for the period ending 30 April 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 18 May 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 4/0

10.3.2 SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AND LOANS AS AT 30 APRIL 2010

File No: SUB/150 & SUB/151
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Patrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the period ending 30 April 2010, as per attachment, to Council.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Schedule of Investments on page 18 of the Financial Statements shows that \$2,946,253.71 was invested as at 30 April, 2010.

Reserve Funds make up \$918,812.55 of the total invested and are restricted funds. Approximately 27% of the funds are invested with the National Australia Bank, 39% with Westpac, 20% with BankWest and 14% with Commonwealth.

The Schedule of Loans on page 19 shows a balance of \$6,840,714.24 as at 30 April, 2010. There is \$459,792.00 included in this balance that relates to self supporting loans.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Carmichael

That Council receive the Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the period ending 30 April, 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 18 May 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee

Carried 4/0

**10.3.3 STATUTORY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL
2010**

File No: SUB/137
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Pattrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Operating Statement, Statement of Assets and Liabilities and supporting financial information for the period ending 30 April 2010, to Council.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The Operating Statement on page 2 of the Financial Statements shows a favourable variance between the actual and budgeted YTD operating surplus of \$860,838 as at 30 April 2010. Operating Revenue is above budget by \$376,931 (4%). Operating Expenditure is \$512,757 (7%) less than budgeted YTD. A report on the variances in income and expenditure for the period ended 30 April 2010 is shown on page 7.

The Capital Works Program is listed on pages 24 - 29 and shows total expenditure of \$5,079,617 compared to YTD budget of \$7,323,099. The reason for the significant difference is a timing delay with the library and delayed general capital expenditure due to cashflow restrictions at the start of the year.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Carmichael

That Council receive the Operating Statement, Statement of Assets and Liabilities and supporting financial information for the period ending 30 April, 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, submitted to the 18 May 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 4/0

10.3.4 ACCOUNTS PAID IN THE MONTH OF APRIL 2010

File No: SUB/137
Responsible Officer: Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Author: Graham Patrick
Manager Corporate Services

Proposed Meeting Date: 18 May 2010
Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the List of Accounts for the period ending 30 April 2010 to Council, as per attachment Financial Statements

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

STAFF COMMENT

The following significant payments are brought to your attention that are included in the list of accounts commencing on page 9 of the Financial Statements:

- \$15,300.31 to WA Local Govt Super Fund for staff deductions
- \$17,397.82 to WA Local Govt Super Fund for staff deductions
- \$17,121.50 to Blackwell & Associates for consulting on Cottesloe depot
- \$14,305.89 to Cobblestone Concrete for kerbing & footpath work
- \$15,530.83 to WA Local Govt Super Fund for staff deductions
- \$12,296.33 to WMRC for disposal and tipping fees
- \$124,082.01 to WATC for loan repayment
- \$15,818.00 to Westside Bus & Coach for Cott Cat service in March 2010
- \$10,955.05 to WMRC for disposal and tipping fees

- \$29,656.00 to PRW Contracting for sump conversion
- \$16,840.34 to B&N Waste for green waste verge collection
- \$13,345.45 to WATC for loan repayment
- \$17,482.35 to WMRC for disposal and tipping fees
- \$46,332.22 to Transpacific Cleanaway for domestic & commercial waste disposal in March 2010
- \$507,255.93 to the Shire of Peppermint Grove for contributions towards new library construction
- \$32,362.00 to Holcim Australia for soakwells & related items
- \$11,384.06 to WMRC for disposal and tipping fees
- \$78,596.00 and \$71,192.81 for staff payroll.

VOTING

Simple Majority

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Carmichael

THAT Council receive the List of Accounts for the period ending 30 April 2010, as per the attached Financial Statements, as submitted to the 18 May 2010 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

Carried 4/0

11 ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil

12 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY ELECTED MEMBERS/OFFICERS BY DECISION OF MEETING

13 MEETING CLOSURE

The Presiding Member announced the closure of the meeting at 7:55pm

*CONFIRMED: PRESIDING MEMBER*_____ *DATE: .../.../...*