### **TOWN OF COTTESLOE**



### WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE

# MINUTES

MAYOR'S PARLOUR, COTTESLOE CIVIC CENTRE 109 BROOME STREET, COTTESLOE 7.00 PM, TUESDAY, 22 SEPTEMBER 2009

CARL ASKEW Chief Executive Officer

13 December 2010

### WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT PAGE N			PAGE NO
1	DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS1			
2			TENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENC APPROVED)	
3			PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON	1
4	PUBLIC	QUEST	ION TIME	1
5	PUBLIC	STATE	MENT TIME	1
6	APPLIC	ATIONS	FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE	1
7	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING 1			
8	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION			
9	PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS1			
10	REPORTS OF COMMITTEES AND OFFICERS1			
	10.1	ADMIN	ISTRATION	1
		10.1.1	WESTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUN BUDGET 2009-2010	NCIL 1
		10.1.2	NORTH COTTESLOE PRIMARY SCHOOL COMPLIMENTARY RECYCLING SERVICE	1
		10.1.3	CIVIC CENTRE FUTURE USE	1
		10.1.4	COTTESLOE TOY LIBRARY - PROPOSED RENOVATIONS	1
		10.1.5	CIVICA CONFERENCE - SYDNEY	1
		10.1.6	QUEENSLAND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANG MANAGERS CONFERENCE	CE 1
		10.1.7	SUSTAINABLITY CONFERENCE FINDING REP	PORT 1

## WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2009

	10 1 8	TOWN OF COTTESLOE - CITIZENSHIP	
	10.1.0	CEREMONIES	1
	10.1.9	ROTTNEST CHANNEL SWIM	1
	10.1.10	CORPORATE BEACH EVENT 11 DECEMBER 2009	1
	10.1.11	SUMMER FUN 09/10 EVENT APPLICATION	1
	10.1.12	COMMUNITY RALLY - COALITION FOR A SAFE CLIMATE	1
	10.1.13	INVESTMENT OF SURPLUS FUNDS POLICY UPDATE	1
	10.1.14	INVESTMENTS POLICY UPDATE	1
	10.1.15	APPOINTMENT OF AUDITOR FOR NEXT 3 YEARS	1
10.2	ENGIN	EERING	. 1
	10.2.1	SAFETY OF PEDESTRIANS CROSSING STIRLING HIGHWAY - PRESBYTERIAN LADIES COLLEGE	1
	10.2.2	REQUEST FOR MORTON BAY FIG TREE REMOVAL - VERGE OF 75 CURTIN AVENUE, COTTESLOE	1
	10.2.3	REQUEST FOR CONTRIBUTION - UPGRADING OF RIGHT OF WAY 77, COTTESLOE	1
	10.2.4	REHABILITATION/RESURFACING OF NO. 1 CAR PARK, MARINE PARADE, COTTESLOE	1
	10.2.5	FEDERAL GOVERNMENT REGIONAL AND LOCAL COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM	1
10.3	FINAN	CE	. 1
	10.3.1	WRITE OFF MINOR BAD DEBTS	1
	10.3.2	STATUTORY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009	1
	10.3.3	SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AND LOANS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009	1
	10.3.4	ACCOUNTS PAID FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009	1
	10.3.5	PROPERTY AND SUNDRY DEBTORS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009	1

11	ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN		1
	11.1.1	CARBON NEUTRAL TOWN OF COTTESLOE	1
	11.1.2	STATION STREET SUMP AND THE STATION STREET/RAILWAY STREET CAR PARK	1
12		S OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY BERS/OFFICERS BY DECISION OF MEETING	1
13	MEETING CLOS	URE	1

#### 1 DECLARATION OF MEETING OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS

The Presiding Officer announced the meeting opened at 7:00PM.

#### 2 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED)

#### Present

Cr Bryan Miller	Presiding Member
Cr John Utting	-
Cr Dan Cunningham	
Cr Ian Woodhill	
Cr Greg Boland	Deputy Member
Cr Jack Walsh	Deputy Member
Cr Jay Birnbrauer	Observer (7.10pm – 7.30pm)

#### **Officers Present**

Carl Askew	Chief Executive Officer
Graham Pattrick	Manager, Corporate and Community Services
Geoff Trigg	Manager, Engineering Services
Janna Lockyer	Community & Events Support Officer

#### **Apologies**

Mayor Kevin Morgan

#### **Officer Apologies**

#### Leave of Absence (previously approved)

Cr Patricia Carmichael Cr Vic Strzina

#### 3 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil

#### 4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Nil

#### 5 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Belinda Dodds, 45 Napier Street, Cottesloe – Item 10.1.2 North Cottesloe Primary School Complimentary Recycling Service. Ms Dodds spoke for the North Cottesloe Primary School and the current recycling program and the great success it has had. The school has seen a 50% waste reduction through the support from Council in the past and request Council to meet the school halfway for 2009/10 for the supply of recycling bins.

<u>Shelley Hatton, PO Box 2004, Claremont – Item 10.1.9 Rottnest Channel</u> <u>Swim.</u>

Ms Hatton is a past president of the Rottnest Channel Swim Association and thanked the Council for their previous generosity of support for the Event. The event has grown from 23 to over 2000 swimmers.

Currently the Events fits into the category of Community/Charity and she asked that Council not class the Event as a Corporate Event. In the past there have been no fees and she indicated a understanding by the Rottnest Channel Swim Association that in the future the association may need to pay these.

In previous years the Toilets have been an issue for the Rottnest Channel Swim. Each year the standards are not of an international destination. Should Council charge a fee for the toilets to have extra cleans, she ask that this be done and that the toilets are opened on time.

Another issue of concern was last year when the lights down by the beach were not functioning correctly. As a result of this a spectator fell and injured herself. The Association ask that all the lights be checked the day before to make sure they are functioning and any maintenance be carried out.

After the Event is finished on the Cottesloe beach, the Association ensures that the beach is left as it was before the Event started. We feel that due to this effort there should be no charge from Council for cleaning of the beach.

At last years Event, there was another event scheduled for the same day. This resulted in many conflicts on placement on the beach, parking on the morning of the Event and the Ranger changing plans that had been agreed to by both parties without consultation. We request that Council ensure that there are no other events scheduled for the same day as the Rottnest Channel Swim in future years.

The Rottnest Channel Swim Association request Council only charge the Bond, Ranger time and additional cleaning of the Indiana toilets and that Council keep in mind that the Rottnest Channel Swim is a not for profit organisation in any future consideration of fees.

#### <u>Margaret Lynn, 75 Curtin Avenue Cottesloe – Item 10.2.2 Request for Morton</u> <u>Bay Fig Tree Removal – Verge of 75 Curtin Avenue, Cottesloe.</u>

Mrs Lynn requests Council remove the Morton Bay fig tree due to the increase in damage the tree is causing. The trees root system has not only the potential to destroy storm water drains, crossovers and sewer lines but the potential to destroy the foundations of my property at 75 Curtin Avenue. Large branches are constantly being removed by Council and a similar tree in Nedlands recently fell. Another issue with the tree is the number of bees. This is also a cost to Council as staff are constantly removing hives from the tree. Large amounts of fruit also fall from the tree each year and a few years ago an elderly lady slipped on the fruit.

We purchased the property in 1996 with the understanding that the tree would be removed in the next ten years due to the re-alignment of Curtin Avenue. This has not come to fruition and although it is a beautiful tree it is in the wrong place and we urge Council to remove the tree from the verge of 75 Curtin Avenue.

#### 6 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil

#### 7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Woodhill

Minutes August 18 2009 Works and Corporate Services Committee.doc

### The Minutes of the Ordinary meeting of the Works And Corporate Services Committee, held on 18 August 2009 be confirmed.

Carried 6/0

#### 8 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Nil

#### 9 PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

Nil

For the benefit of the members of the public present the chairman determined to consider the following item first:

10.1.2	North Cottesloe Primary School Complimentary Recycling
	Service

- 10.1.9 Rottnest Channel Swim
- 10.2.2 Request for Morton Bay Fig Tree Removal Verge of 75 Curtin Avenue, Cottesloe
- 11.1.1 Carbon Neutral Town of Cottesloe
- 11.1.2 Station Street Sump and the Station Street Car Park

The Chairman then returned to the published order of the agenda.

The following items from the Works and Corporate Services Committee were dealt with *en bloc.* 

10.3.2	Statutory Financial Statements for the Period Ending 31
	July 2009

- 10.3.3 Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the Period Ending 31 July 2009
- 10.3.4 Accounts for the Period Ending 31 July 2009
- 10.3.5 Property and Sundry Debtors Reports for the Period Ending 31 July 2009.

#### 10 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES AND OFFICERS

#### 10.1 ADMINISTRATION

10.1.1 WESTERN METROPOLITAN REGIONAL COUNCIL BUDGET 2009-2010

File No:	SUB/378
Attachments:	Cover Page
Responsible Officer:	Adopted Budget 2009 2010.pdf Ruth Levett
Responsible Officer.	Principal Environmental Health Officer
Author:	Ruth Levett
	Principal Environmental Health Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of the report is to inform Committee and Council of the Western Metropolitan Regional Council's (WMRC's) Budget for 2009-2010, in particular the increase in the disposal fees above those originally proposed.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Local Government Act 1995 Section 6.2

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Additional fees are within existing budgetary allocation. The estimated increase for the Town of Cottesloe is \$9,270. As it was decided to adopt the budget to include the proposed full twelve month State Government levy increase, the existing budgetary allocation will cover the increase adopted by WMRC.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Sending waste to landfill is not a sustainable option and will result in increased charges as the State Government levy on waste to landfill increases. Pending the outcome of the trial of the Dicom vertical bio-digestion plant at the Jim McGeough Resource Recovery Facility, formerly known as Brockway Transfer Station, there will be the potential to convert approximately 80% of household general waste into compost and avoid this levy.

Although there are currently no alternative options to dispose of household waste, other measures to educate residents to reduce and to reuse waste are critical. A regional education project funded by the Department of Environment and Conservation is being undertaken by WMRC. One of the major focuses will be on recycling of eWaste and larger household items.

#### CONSULTATION

Nil.

#### STAFF COMMENT

Prior to the finalisation of the Town's budget, it was proposed that the member's gate fee for the disposal of general waste through WMRC was to be \$131.00 inclusive of GST. At the most recent meeting of WMRC in August 2009 it was resolved to increase this gate fee to \$134.09. Further explanation of this is outlined below under the heading of 'Management and Administration'.

In addition to the actual cost of disposal of waste through the Jim McGeough Resource Recovery Facility and at Red Hill, there are a number of factors that impact on the Regional Council's budget. These are primarily:

Landfill Levy

The State Government has proposed to increase the levy on waste to landfill by 300% this financial year. Whilst the date of commencement has been postponed to 1 January, 2010, the actual amount of the increase has not been confirmed. Based on original suggestions, it is likely to be \$20.00 per tonne. This has not been factored into the WMRC's budget but it has been factored into the Town's budget and there will be no further impact when this increase is passed on from WMRC in January 2010, unless the State Government further increases the levy to recover their budget shortfall.

➢ Waste Receivals

The amount of waste disposed of at the Jim McGeough Resource Recovery Facility has a direct impact on the budget predictions. In some respects it can be said that WMRC is working in direct contradiction to the philosophy of reducing waste. The success of WMRC is predicated on the maximum amount of tonnage that can be processed through the Transfer Station. At the same time we are educating our communities to reduce their waste to protect the environment. It is not clear whether the 8.9% decline in receivals in the past financial year was merely a result of the economic downturn or if it was a reflection of higher gate fees. In saying this it is noted that gate fees of other sites are now almost comparable with those of WMRC.

Plant and Equipment

Major plant acquisition and overhaul is funded from reserves. Budget surplus, whether projected or otherwise has traditionally been allocated to specific Reserve accounts for the maintenance and acquisition of plant. The projected budget for this purpose ensures that adequate funds are maintained accordingly. Current negotiations with the Town of Vincent have resulted in

the need to review and increase the level of infrastructure. Subject to formal agreement with the Town of Vincent additional infrastructure will be purchased and upgraded from Reserve accounts.

Management and Administration

The management of WMRC has to date been undertaken by one of the member Councils under a contractual agreement. The Town of Mosman Park currently manages the WMRC until 30 June 2010 when the contract expires. The WMRC, at the most recent meeting, resolved to engage a consultant to prepare a business model for the independent management of WMRC. Funds of \$100,000 were allocated for this purpose. Rather than utilise existing reserves for the study, it was decided to increase disposal fees by \$3.09 per tonne (inc. GST). This has resulted in an increase to the Town of Cottesloe of \$9,270 that is unbudgeted expenditure for this financial year. As stated, the current budget allocation is sufficient to cover this increase.

Greenwaste Recycling

The greenwaste recycling operation has been successfully relocated to within the Recovery Facility grounds. Greenwaste is processed on site by a contractor and transported off site for reuse on projects such as stabiliser for areas adjacent to major road developments. The increase in processing costs of \$2.73 per tonne has been factored into the budget.

The most significant issue for the WMRC at present is the verification of Anaeco's Dicom technology that will convert approximately 80% of the Town's general waste to compost and energy. To date, preliminary trials have proven successful and more definitive results are expected by December, 2009. Upon the provision of the Test Report from Anaeco, the WMRC will engage appropriately qualified expert advice to assess the Assumptions Book which contains the costings that will determine the 'service charge' to WMRC. Depending on the success of the trial, a decision will be made to upscale the plant to process all general waste from the Regional Councils as well as additional tonnage from non-member clients.

Future land acquisition remains unresolved with options in the vicinity gradually shrinking as adjacent land is redeveloped for other purposes. The land immediately adjoining the eastern boundary of the Jim McGeough Resource Recovery Facility that is owned by the Department of Defence, remains undeveloped and is currently utilised for defence purposes. It is this land that will be critical for the future expansion of the WMRC and for the purpose of a buffer from development up to the boundaries of the Transfer Station.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

That Council note the budget for the Western Metropolitan Regional Council for 2009-2010 and acknowledges the officer's report outlining the increased disposal fees.

#### 10.1.2 NORTH COTTESLOE PRIMARY SCHOOL COMPLIMENTARY RECYCLING SERVICE

File No: Attachments:	SUB/121 D09 7852 Letter - Collection of Recycling Bins -
Responsible Officer:	North Cottesloe Primary School.pdf Ruth Levett Principal Environmental Health Officer
Author:	Ruth Levett Principal Environmental Health Office
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of the report is to present a request to Council to provide a complimentary recycling service for North Cottesloe Primary School. It is recommended that this request is not supported.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

None known

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no current resources allocated for this request. This will need to be considered as part of next budgetary process. The cost of the service per annum is \$2,530.00.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Recycling is a sustainable initiative and is consistent with the philosophy of reducing the amount of waste being sent to landfill. The North Cottesloe Primary School has a strong focus on sustainability at the school.

#### CONSULTATION

Nil

#### STAFF COMMENT

The North Cottesloe Primary School has written to the Council to request consideration for assistance to provide a recycling collection at no cost, see attached letter.

The Town of Cottesloe has always been a supporter of sustainability initiatives and has provided assistance to the North Cottesloe Primary School where possible including the provision of a free recycling service for the past year and previously in the early years of the new waste service. This service was also provided to Cottesloe Primary School up until the Shire of Peppermint Grove introduced recycling bins to the area.

In the past it has been possible to absorb the cost of providing free services to assist schools and community organisations where they demonstrate a commitment to sustainable practices. It is regrettable to have to suggest that the Town needs to reconsider providing this free service.

When the State Government initially tendered for waste services to be provided to all government schools within the state, the Town wrote to the Department of Education expressing concern regarding the absence of a recycling collection service in the contract and requested that the Department review this option in the future.

Sita Environmental Services are the contractor currently providing waste and recycling services to government schools. The schools are provided with a bulk waste service which is evaluated on student numbers. If the school is able to reduce the bulk waste services by recycling, the school will receive the same amount of money for waste services through the school grant.

Reducing the bulk service and the number of recycling bins would possibly reduce the cost of the service to within the allocated school budget. A number of ways to reduce the cost could be to focus on waste reduction, to ensure that all paper is reused on both sides, that paper is utilised in the garden beds to prevent weed growth and in compost bins as part of the carbon component.

A survey of the regional Councils has revealed that the City of Nedlands is the only Council which provides a free recycling service to schools within their area.

The cost of providing waste services is increasing substantially and despite a reconfiguration of the costs to minimise the cost of recycling by comparison with general waste, there is no longer the ability to absorb free services to State Government Departments and community organisations within the current budget.

It is recommended that the request to provide a free recycling service is not supported.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### COMMITTEE COMMENT

With the permission of the chairman, Cr Utting requested confirmation from Ms Dodds on the current arrangements and what the school was asking from Council.

### WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2009

Ms Dodds advised that currently the school is receiving ten complimentary recycle bins from Council. The school is asking that rather than remove all complimentary bins that Council meet the school halfway and supply five complimentary recycle bins for 2010.

Cr Walsh asked the Principal Environmental Health Officer whether it is possible to work with the school in coming up with ways to reduce the amount of waste the school produces. The Principal Environmental Health Officer saw no problem with this and advised that staff would continue to work with the school on reducing waste.

#### OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council does not support the request for the provision of complimentary recycling services to the North Cottesloe Primary School.

#### AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Utting, seconded Cr Woodhill

That the Officer recommendation be amended as follows; *"That Council:* 

- 1. Provide the North Cottesloe Primary School with five complimentary recycling bins for 2010.
- 2. Request staff work with the North Cottesloe Primary School staff and students and investigate alternatives to reduce the amount of waste produced by the school."

Carried 6/0

#### COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Utting, seconded Cr Woodhill

That Council:

- 1. Provide the North Cottesloe Primary School with five complimentary recycling bins for 2010.
- 2. Request staff work with the North Cottesloe Primary School staff and students and investigate alternatives to reduce the amount of waste produced by the school.

#### **10.1.3 CIVIC CENTRE FUTURE USE**

File No:	SUB/126
Attachments:	D08 9985 Report - Civic Centre Use - Estill &
	Assoc - Sept 2008 Part2.pdf
	Summary Town of Cottesloe Report June 2009.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
-	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Ruth Levett
	Principal Environmental Health Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of the report is to present the findings of the Elected Member Workshop which identified potential uses of the Civic Centre buildings and grounds and to recommend that a community consultation workshop be conducted prior to the preparation of a final Implementation Plan.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

#### **Objective 1: Lifestyle**

#### To protect and enhance the lifestyle of residents

 Identify increased opportunities to use existing facilities or provide new venues for formal community cultural events and activities.

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

• None known

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no resources currently allocated for specific upgrades to the Civic Centre grounds other than the current maintenance budget and this will need to be considered as part of next budgetary process for 2010-2011.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Any activities undertaken at the Civic Centre should meet the criteria of sustainability, that is, they will be Environmentally, Financially and Socially acceptable.

#### CONSULTATION

As the next step in the development of a plan for the future use of the Civic Centre buildings and grounds, it is proposed that community consultation be conducted by holding a community workshop facilitated by Estill & Associates.

#### STAFF COMMENT

At the Council meeting of 27 October, 2008 it was resolved as follows:

That Council:

- (1) Request staff to implement the short and medium term recommendations of the report prepared by Estill & Associates with the exception of the proposed display of historical documents in the new office foyer.
- (2) Request staff to report on the costs of renovating the Lesser Hall for further consideration when framing the 2009/2010 budget.
- (3) Convene an elected member workshop facilitated by a "place maker" to identify potential public and private uses of the Civic Centre for additional community consultation purposes.

A copy of the short and medium term recommendations referred to in resolution (1) is attached. The majority of these recommendations have not been implemented and have therefore been incorporated into the current recommendations for the future use of the Civic Centre as shown in the second attachment. Any decision to implement these recommendations will follow community consultation and require that they be included in the budget allocation for 2010-1011.

With regard to resolution (2), discussions held with an architect in relation to the cost of renovating the Lesser Hall, have revealed that there are two possible options to consider when assessing this upgrade of the Lesser Hall. The first option is to simply upgrade what is in place to meet current building and safety standards and include minimal catering facilities. The second option is to consider the potential of the Lesser Hall as a community facility and one which communicates with the lower lawn and may be complimentary to functions held in this area. It could incorporate a commercial kitchen and provide the option for the service of light food, coffee and drinks to visitors and users of the grounds.

Further discussions were held with an experienced private restaurant and catering operator who looked at the various options for providing catering, café or other food service options for the Civic Centre.

The feedback received was that it is not viable for a private operator to invest in the infrastructure to provide a level of catering facilities for the Civic Centre and grounds. However, there is potential for a major infrastructure investment with long term lease and maintenance arrangements if consideration were given to a more substantial use of the Civic Centre buildings and grounds. This would include the shared use of the facilities by the Council and most importantly, the community.

### WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2009

From these discussions it became evident that any decision by the Town to invest in the upgrade or construction of facilities for on site catering, should be approached cautiously and with professional advice. Should the Council wish to pursue this option at the completion of the community consultation, it will be recommended that funds be made available to obtain a feasibility study.

This is only one of the suggested uses of the Civic Centre and other less costly activities can be implemented in the shorter term depending on the outcome of the community consultation and the final plan with timeframes and budgets.

The preliminary estimated cost of a minimal upgrade of the Lesser Hall is approximately \$150,000. This estimate is provided without an engineering or drainage assessment and it is recommended that this be conducted and a written estimate obtained prior to a decision to proceed with any works. The estimate includes internal upgrading of the hall, upgrading the existing kitchen facilities, store and toilets, electrical wiring and lighting.

Resolution (3), to conduct a workshop, has been completed and a summary of the results of the workshop are contained in the attachment. The full report has been circulated separately. Part of the resolution refers to additional community consultation regarding the potential public and private uses resulting from the workshop by the Elected Members.

It is noted that the outcomes of the previous community workshop held in October, 2008 and the Elected Member's workshop held in May, 2009, are very similar. In order to develop the final Implementation Plan what must be considered now is the method and extent of community consultation to be undertaken.

It is recommended that community consultation be conducted in the same manner as was previously undertaken, that is, in the form of another workshop facilitated by Estill & Associates.

In view of the impact of the activities at the Civic Centre on residents within close proximity, it is recommended that a letter of invitation be circulated to residents within a radius of approximately 250 metres of the Civic Centre and that other residents be invited to participate in the workshop through an advertisement in the Civic Centre News in the Post Newspaper.

Following the community consultation, a final Implementation Plan with timeframes and budgets will be prepared for consideration and adoption by Council. It is also recommended that residents are invited to continue to meet with the Council as the implementation phase progresses.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### COMMITTEE COMMENT

In supporting the recommendation Committee discussed the need to ensure sufficient attendance at the proposed workshop was achieved, including the need for invitations to contain a follow up and RSVP process, and that the workshop be reconsidered if the numbers attending were too low. Committee requested that this issue be discussed with Estill & Associates so that we maximise our opportunities for community engagement and feedback.

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Woodhill

That Council:

- 1. Acknowledges the Town of Cottesloe Civic Centre Placemaking Workshop Report of June, 2009 compiled by Estill & Associates.
- 2. Convene a community workshop facilitated by Estill & Associates in November, 2009 to present the outcomes of the Elected Member workshop and determine community feedback and support for the outcomes.
- 3. Requests staff to prepare an Implementation Plan with projected budgets and submit a report for consideration to Council in February, 2010.

#### 10.1.4 COTTESLOE TOY LIBRARY - PROPOSED RENOVATIONS

File No:	SUB/000
Attachments:	Toy Library Proposed Plans.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Janna Lockyer
	Community & Events Support Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The Cottesloe Toy Library has requested approval to renovate/extend their current premises. They have written to the Town as the land at 326 Marmion Street is vested currently to the Town of Cottesloe.

This report recommends that Council:

- 1. Approve in principle the proposed plans to allow Grant funding to be sought.
- 2. Submit an application to State Government (Lotterywest) for the proposed renovations.

The Cottesloe Toy Library wish to apply for a Grant from Lotterywest and as the land is vested in the Council, we would need to apply for the Grant with the Toy Library. The renovations will improve the working space at the Toy Library and will increase the numbers of members.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

- Residential Design Codes
- Proposed Local Planning Scheme No. 3

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The financial implications of the project would be minimal dependent on the success of the Grant application. Council currently has no funds in the 2009/10 budget To assist with this project. Part of the Community and Events Support Officer role is to support the sourcing of relevant grants for Community groups.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### CONSULTATION

Staff are consulting with the Cottesloe Toy Library Committee on the proposed renovations. Tonia Brazier, of the Cottesloe Toy Library, has met with Loxam Developments to gain some insight on possible renovations to maximise floor space. A rough sketch of this is attached.

#### STAFF COMMENT

The Cottesloe Toy Library has asked for Council support and help to apply for a Lotterywest Grant to renovate their current premises at 326 Marmion Street. Due to the great success the Library is seeing the need to increase floor space and membership numbers.

They are proposing to remove some internal walls and extend the exterior of the building to increase the floor space by approximately 50%. Attached is a rough sketch of the proposed plans. The Toy Library committee have been in contact with Loxam Developments who are aware of Council's Planning and Building regulations.

The resulting building will be an 'L' shape and will extend out as far as the garage of the adjoining property. The interior will have the kitchen removed along with the adjoining store room, to create a larger more accessible space. Additional shelving will be applied for in the Grant to accommodate the larger space.

The renovations are expected to take approximately six-eight weeks. During this time period the committee have not determined on what will occur for the Toy Library in terms of their operations. Some current suggestions are:

- The library shut down for this time period and either refund members pro rata for membership.
- Extend the membership for the renovation period.
- Store toys at members houses.

The renovations will allow the Toy Library to increase the number of toys available and the number of active members and decrease the current waiting list.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### COMMITTEE COMMENT

Cr Cunningham raised concerns that most of the interior is being removed like the kitchen sink and that this may be required in the future.

Manager of Engineering Services responded. Recently attending a conference in Melbourne he had the chance to visit a recently built Toy Library and all the Library had was one room with a desk and equipment, no other facilities were required.

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Woodhill, seconded Cr Boland

#### That Council:

- 1. Approve in principle the proposed plans to allow Grant funding to be sought.
- 2. Submit an application to State Government (Lotterywest) for the proposed renovations.

#### **10.1.5 CIVICA CONFERENCE - SYDNEY**

File No: Responsible Officer: Author:	SUB/000 Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer Natasha Altham Senior Finance Officer
Proposed Meeting Date: Author Disclosure of Interest	22-Sep-2009 Report recommends Senior Finance Officer attend the conference

#### SUMMARY

Each year, a national user group conference is arranged by Civica to showcase and present program enhancements for the benefit of its users. Civica is the Town's corporate database provider and it is important that we remain in touch with the development of the database to ensure best work practices. This conference attracts all levels of users in order to gain a broader perspective of the issues. This year the conference will be held in Sydney from 25<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> October 2009. This report requests approval to attend this conference by the Senior Finance Officer.

The program is attached. The main topics of presentation are:

- Version 6 being released and it impact to Local Government.
- Troubleshooting current issues with Civica

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

#### CONFERENCES

#### OBJECTIVE

Provide guidelines for the approval of attendance of Members and Officers at Conferences/Seminars/Training.

#### PRINCIPLES

Council supports the attendance of Members and Officers at conferences/seminars/training when the benefits to the organisation from attendance can be clearly identified.

#### ISSUES

The extent to which Council supports and funds attendance at conferences is a contentious issue. The benefits of attendance are not always readily identifiable and consequently there can be problems convincing a sceptical community that the expenditure is justified. For this reason, it is important that the benefits of attendance can be readily identified, especially when attendance involves interstate or overseas travel.

#### POLICY

Employees who wish to attend a conference/seminar/training shall complete a Request for Training application form and submit it to the Chief Executive Officer through their Supervisor.

The Chief Executive Officer is authorised to approve attendance by Officers at intrastate conferences, seminars and training that forms part of the normal training and professional development of those Officers.

The Chief Executive Officer is authorised to actively promote and approve the attendance of elected members at training courses provided under WALGA's Elected Members Development Program.

In determining attendance, the Chief Executive Officer shall take into account identified priorities and funding availability.

When funding for a conference/seminar/training is not provided in the budget, authorisation must be sought through the Corporate Services Committee.

Attendance at any interstate or international conference must be the subject of an application to be considered by the Chief Executive Officer and referred to the Works & Corporate Services Committee for recommendation to Council.

The following expenses for approved conferences/seminars/training will be met by Council:

- (a) Registration fees;
- (b) Return fares and other necessary transport expenses;
- (c) Reasonable accommodation and living expenses.

Where possible expenses are to be prepaid.

All expenditure is to be accounted for prior to reimbursement

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The estimate of the conference attendance, accommodation, meals and travel for this conference is \$1,500. The 2009/2010 budget includes, an allowance of this amount for training costs of the Senior Finance Officer.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### CONSULTATION

Nil

### WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2009

#### STAFF COMMENT

One of the most important sources of current information and training for CIVICA users occurs in conferences and seminars.

New ideas are discovered from these presentations, trends occurring throughout Australia are promoted and new products are presented and discussed.

Attendance at the conference by the Senior Finance Officer is supported by the Chief Executive Officer.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Walsh, seconded Cr Woodhill

That Council approve the attendance of the Senior Finance Officer at the CIVICA Conference, in Sydney, New South Wales, from 25<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> October 2009 and Council to receive a report within two months on the conference detailing applicable items for improving Cottesloe.

#### 10.1.6 QUEENSLAND LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCE MANAGERS CONFERENCE

File No: Responsible Officer: Author:	SUB/591 Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer Graham Pattrick Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date: Author Disclosure of Interest	22-Sep-2009 The author is the person requesting to attend the conference

#### SUMMARY

This report requests approval for the Manager Corporate and Community Services to attend the Queensland Local Government Finance Managers Conference.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

#### CONFERENCES

#### OBJECTIVE

Provide guidelines for the approval of attendance of Members and Officers at Conferences/Seminars/Training.

#### PRINCIPLES

Council supports the attendance of Members and Officers at conferences/seminars/training when the benefits to the organisation from attendance can be clearly identified.

#### ISSUES

The extent to which Council supports and funds attendance at conferences is a contentious issue. The benefits of attendance are not always readily identifiable and consequently there can be problems convincing a sceptical community that the expenditure is justified. For this reason, it is important that the benefits of attendance can be readily identified, especially when attendance involves interstate or overseas travel.

#### POLICY

Employees who wish to attend a conference/seminar/training shall complete a Request for Training application form and submit it to the Chief Executive Officer through their Supervisor.

The Chief Executive Officer is authorised to approve attendance by Officers at intrastate conferences, seminars and training that forms part of the normal training and professional development of those Officers.

The Chief Executive Officer is authorised to actively promote and approve the attendance of elected members at training courses provided under WALGA's Elected Members Development Program.

In determining attendance, the Chief Executive Officer shall take into account identified priorities and funding availability.

When funding for a conference/seminar/training is not provided in the budget, authorisation must be sought through the Corporate Services Committee.

Attendance at any interstate or international conference must be the subject of an application to be considered by the Chief Executive Officer and referred to the Works & Corporate Services Committee for recommendation to Council.

The following expenses for approved conferences/seminars/training will be met by Council:

- (a) Registration fees;
- (b) Return fares and other necessary transport expenses;
- (c) Reasonable accommodation and living expenses.
- Where possible expenses are to be prepaid.

All expenditure is to be accounted for prior to reimbursement

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### CONSULTATION

Nil

#### STAFF COMMENT

The Manager Corporate and Community Services is on the LGMA WA Finance Managers Committee. There is a reciprocal arrangement that each state will send 2 committee members to the other states annual conference. The host state bears the registration cost and the WA committee funds the airfares.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Utting, seconded Cr Woodhill

That Council endorse the Manager Corporate and Community Services attendance at the Queensland Local Government Finance Manager's Conference from 17 to 20 November 2009 and to receive a report within two months on the conference detailing applicable items for improving Cottesloe.

#### 10.1.7 SUSTAINABLITY CONFERENCE FINDING REPORT

SUB/000
Carl Askew
Chief Executive Officer
Jade Hankin
Sustainability Officer
22-Sep-2009
Nil

#### SUMMARY

Since 2006, the Annual Local Government Sustainable Development Conference has been held. For this year's event, delegates heard from over 30 national and international speakers discussing how local governments can address challenges of sustainability and achieve their sustainable development goals in a cost-effective manner.

The conference was held at the Sebel Hotel in Melbourne on the 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> of August 2009.

This report outlines some of the findings from the conference, relevant topics addressed and how ideas could be implemented at the Town of Cottesloe.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Findings from the conference on topics relating to climate change and sustainability in general, could be integrated into strategic planning.

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Council's *Conferences Policy* applies:

#### CONFERENCES

Provide guidelines for the approval of attendance of Members and Officers at Conferences/Seminars/Training.

#### PRINCIPLES

**OBJECTIVE** 

Council supports the attendance of Members and Officers at conferences/seminars/training when the benefits to the organisation from attendance can be clearly identified.

#### ISSUES

The extent to which Council supports and funds attendance at conferences is a contentious issue. The benefits of attendance are not always readily identifiable and consequently there can be problems convincing a sceptical community that the expenditure is justified. For this reason, it is important that the benefits of attendance

### WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2009

can be readily identified, especially when attendance involves interstate or overseas travel.

#### POLICY

Employees who wish to attend a conference/seminar/training shall complete a Request for Training application form and submit it to the Chief Executive Officer through their Supervisor.

The Chief Executive Officer is authorised to approve attendance by Officers at intrastate conferences, seminars and training that forms part of the normal training and professional development of those Officers.

The Chief Executive Officer is authorised to actively promote and approve the attendance of elected members at training courses provided under WALGA's Elected Members Development Program.

In determining attendance, the Chief Executive Officer shall take into account identified priorities and funding availability.

When funding for a conference/seminar/training is not provided in the budget, authorisation must be sought through the Corporate Services Committee.

Attendance at any interstate or international conference must be the subject of an application to be considered by the Chief Executive Officer and referred to the Works & Corporate Services Committee for recommendation to Council.

The following expenses for approved conferences/seminars/training will be met by Council:

- (a) Registration fees;
- (b) Return fares and other necessary transport expenses;
- (c) Reasonable accommodation and living expenses.

Where possible expenses are to be prepaid.

All expenditure is to be accounted for prior to reimbursement.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Conference attendance, accommodation, meals and travel was ~\$2,000. This was found from within the current 09/10 budget.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

This conference is the only, major annual event which caters for the role of a Sustainability Officer in local government and attracts a variety of overseas representatives and speakers.

### WORKS AND CORPORATE SERVICES COMMITTEE MINUTES 22 SEPTEMBER 2009

The program, held over two days at The Sebel, Albert Park, Melbourne included the following topics;

- Expert analysis of Local Government's emissions trading obligations;
- Climate change and energy efficiency;
- Integrating sustainability into economic imperatives;
- Future directions for asset management;
- Practical approaches to water management;
- Sustainable planning and urban design;
- Waste management and resource recovery;
- Community engagement in environmental initiatives;
- Green purchasing and procurement.

#### CONSULTATION

Nil

#### STAFF COMMENT

The conference was a very worthwhile experience and provided a number of ideas and practical project examples which could be applied at the Town of Cottesloe.

Speakers varied from Local Government employees (primarily Senior Managers or Elected Members), to Consultants such as Meinhardt Infrastructure and Environment Pty Ltd and Industry Representatives such as Replas.

Over the two days, 26, 20 min presentations were heard.

These were from the following six topic areas:

- 1. Achieving Sustainability in Local Government;
- 2. Climate Change Managing Risks at a Local Level;
- 3. Sustainable Infrastructure;
- 4. Practical Approaches to Water Management;
- 5. Sustainable Urban Development; and
- 6. Resource Recovery.

As well as presentations, a trade show was set up over both days showcasing various companies products and services.

The conference also provided ample opportunities to network with other staff from all around Australia.

# All presentations from all speakers will be available on CD for reference in ~September/October 2009 (dependant on distribution from conference organisers).

Some of the key findings from relevant topics at the conference were as follows:

- The presentation from Law Firm DLA Phillips Fox about the Federal proposed Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) and Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme (CPRS) indicated this will not affect the Town of Cottesloe as it does not have an operational landfill that crosses the required threshold for reporting.
- Comments were made (Geoff Lake) about the role of the ICLEI CCP Program and how this could be rejuvenated or re-established in a different way, but in essence providing local governments with the support it needs at an officer level for climate change adaptation and mitigation strategies. There is much support for the CCP program from local governments across Australia – it is important to continue to push the agenda.
- South Australia's Sustainable 1000 Project provided a very good example of how a local government (City of Prospect) assisted small business – the aggregated biggest employer contributor to climate change – in reducing their ecological footprint. The Town of Cottesloe has implemented similar yet smaller scale initiatives like this, such as the *Business Energy Visits* in conjunction with the SMRC in 2009. This South Australia project reinforces the value of establishing such projects and adds support for the continued roll out and upkeep of these types of projects in Cottesloe, albeit at a smaller scale.
- Before local governments can make real improvements in relation to climate change and sustainability; benchmarking needs to occur to see exactly where they are at, and to provide a basis from which to move forward. The use of measurable figures, trends and comparisons is important. (Steve Harrison, Rosemary Dillon)
- Local governments are well placed to pilot new ideas and actions. They have the ability to influence, develop partnerships, change behaviours etc with numerous stakeholders. Be bold and provide leadership and innovation. "Power is spread. Decisions as a resident, consumer and leader in local government increases sustainability" (Larissa Brown).
- Local governments need to provide appropriate management planning to set direction for the organisation and to facilitate and orchestrate integration. Need 'visions' and 'actions' to guide followed by council adoption and leadership support. Town of Cottesloe should consider 'sustainability visions and outcomes' when developing new Strategic Plan. Valuable reference '*The Citizen is Willing but Society Won't Deliver: The Problem of Institutional Roadblocks" (Meyers & Kent, 2008)*
- Regarding the impacts of climate change, liability lies with the local government, therefore it is important to start looking at how LG's will plan and react. This includes undertaking risk assessment's etc. (Louise Hicks) Town of Cottesloe has begun this process as part of the 'Foreshore Vulnerability Study' with Coastal Zone Management (CZM).
- From KPMG's perspective (Susan Staples), there are four key areas for local government to address in terms of climate change: *Carbon Accounting* (ghg inventory and emissions profile), *Financial costs* (direct (physical), and indirect

(infrastructure changes, energy use, supply change)), *Internal Readiness* (systems and processes) and *Strategy* (innovation and leadership). It is imperative to undertake each of these properly (admit challenges and/or failures), whilst engaging communities in the right way.

- Gold Coast Climate Change Strategy 2009-2014 (Peter Young) provides a good example of a council addressing the issue of climate change in all its council operations and decision making. Cottesloe should consider a Climate Change Strategy or Vision for 2020, including key objectives on mitigation and adaptation. Links back to Strategic Plan review.
- Dr Peter Kinrade outlined "Build Climate Change into Strategic Planning".
- North Sydney Council (Albert Lo) has implemented many energy efficient measures through a 'property portfolio approach', consultation with energy specialists and leveraged funding through the NSW Energy Funds. Some measures are already being utilised in the Town of Cottesloe (e.g. waterless urinals, sensor lighting) but others could be investigated further (Prius vehicle fleet, voltage reduction units etc).
- Dr Xiaoming Wang outlined the importance of looking at "Climate Change, Energy and Economy" together as interlinked issues.
- Robyn Evans and Faye Adams discussed the need to incorporate sustainability into strategic asset management.
- Nick Bailey reiterated the idea that LG's need to track where they are at in terms
  of sustainability. There are many resources available to do this: In house systems,
  commercial tools (Utility Tracker, Planet Footprint etc). Benchmarking is important
  to track facilities' performance over time, compare to other facilities and compare
  to industry best practice. This is more important than ever since the ICLEI CCP
  program is currently defunct. Cottesloe needs to decide how it will continue to
  measure its ghg emissions and targets if this program does not get reinstated.
- Examples of water sensitive urban design and water efficiency measures were outlined (Richard Amon and Michael Top) which highlight the importance of recycling and reusing water in council operations and building water efficiency into development applications. Town of Cottesloe has been a leader in this area with the reuse of storm water as part of the Cottesloe Peninsular Aquifer recharge program.
- Frankston 2025 Community Vision is an integrated strategy with 9 key areas for 'sustainable development' in Frankston City Council. Frankston has many examples of projects and actions that contribute to this vision and which assisted in it winning the 'Sustainable Cities Award 2009'. See <u>www.frankston.vic.gov.au</u>
- Randwick City Council (Peter Maganov) utilises a \$3 million 'environmental levy' which goes to environmental projects and improvements in the City each year. This assists in leveraging an additional \$3 million from external grants. Projects fall under the areas of coastal protection, reducing resource consumption, ghg emissions, biodiversity and community participation. Town of Cottesloe could consider a similar levy or through a more regional cooperative such as WESROC. See www.randwick.nsw.gov.au
- SDAPP (Sustainable Design Assessment in the Planning Process) as used at the City of Port Phillip, and STEPS (Sustainable Tools for Environmental Planning Strategy) used in Moreland City Council could be considered at the Town of Cottesloe. STEPS is a free, web based residential building sustainability rating tool used by various councils and designers which fills a gap in the market

between the Building Council of Australia's minimum performance rating and Green Star accredited buildings (the top 25% of the market). It assists all other, 'regular' residential buildings to increase its building sustainability.

• Disposal of E-waste is still a complex issue. Regulation needed to get effective disposal. Federal government to make decision on preferred regulatory framework in Nov 2009.

Environs Sustainability Awards for 2009 had no nominations for 'Elected Officials'. Keep this in mind for 2010.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Utting, seconded Cr Walsh

That Council receive the report from the Sustainability Conference 2009.

#### 10.1.8 TOWN OF COTTESLOE - CITIZENSHIP CEREMONIES

File No: Responsible Officer:	SUB/4 Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Krystal Shenton Executive Assistant
Attachment:	Australian Citizenship Ceremonies Code
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

Local Governments have been entrusted with Citizenship ceremonies for over 60 years. The ceremony is the end outcome of a potential citizen's application to the Department of Immigration and Citizenship, a process that can take up to a year. Ceremonies are not an optional extra in the process of obtaining citizenship. Each candidate must attend the formal setting of a ceremony, declare the oath/affirmation to a registered presiding member and receive the certificate.

A conferral report is sent monthly by the Department to respective local governments with a list of eligible candidates. Candidates are then sent a letter advising them of the next available ceremony. In the past, these ceremonies have been held bimonthly prior to the Council meeting at 6:30pm. Typically, they take about 20 minutes with the Mayor presiding and witnessing with family and friends.

This report proposes a change to the current citizenship ceremony arrangement and process, including timing and format.

It is recommended that Council:

- 1. Endorse four citizenship ceremonies over the course of a calendar year as following;
  - a) January Australia Day: Ceremony to be held in partnership with the Shire of Peppermint Grove and the Town of Mosman Park
  - b) April The Monday night before Anzac Day.
  - c) June Pioneer's Day
  - d) October The Monday night after the Queen's Birthday
- 2. Provide a moderate level of catering for each ceremony.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

## **Objective 1: Lifestyle**

To protect and enhance the lifestyle of residents

• Develop a strategy for greater community engagement when change is needed.

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

None Known.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

- Australian Citizenship Act 2007
- Australian Citizenship Regulations 2007

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

In the past ceremonies have been held prior to the Council meetings in the Council Chambers and have been a "low key" celebration. With the suggested increase of festivities, the citizenship budget will be affected, but only marginally. This report suggests that two of the four ceremony dates be held alongside pre-existing community celebrations, Australia Day and Pioneer's Day. It is anticipated that any budget increase will be from the remaining two and can be accommodated through the budget process.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

None Known

#### CONSULTATION

Consultation with neighbouring WESROC Council's, Cambridge and Nedlands has taken place. The following was ascertained through some discussion with the people responsible for the ceremonies and compared with Cottesloe present format:

	Cambridge	Nedlands	Cottesloe (present)
Location	Reception Room, Cambridge Office	Mt Claremont Community Centre,	Council Chambers
		Function Room	
Length	1 – 1.5 hours	1 hour	20 -30 minutes
Catering	finger food supper and wine, beer and soft drinks	cocktail food, and beer, wine and soft drinks	Nil
Gifts	<ul> <li>Adults:</li> <li>gift wrapped china mug with an Australian animal or flower on it</li> <li>enamelled</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A copy of the History of Nedlands - "Nedlands from Campsite to City"</li> <li>A jar of vegemite</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Perth to Fremantle Collection of Pictures</li> <li>commemorative Citizenship \$1.00 coin</li> </ul>

	Australian pin. Children • Australian anthem poster, • paper flag. • commemorative Citizenship \$1.00		
Extra	coin Hire of a choir that sing the anthem and other songs for entertainment throughout the ceremony.	Complimentary photograph taken with the Mayor as a memento of the occasion	

# **STAFF COMMENT**

By hosting four significant ceremonies a year there will be an increase in numbers that attend each ceremony. Based upon previous numbers it is estimated that there should be about eight to twelve candidates per ceremony, which can add to the atmosphere of a ceremony. In the case of Mosman Park, they run a ceremony only when the numbers reach twenty, Claremont run ad hoc ceremonies based on numbers and Peppermint Grove run only four per year. This will also allow for more time to prepare a larger scale event

### **Emergency Ceremonies**

In addition, there is the option of running ceremonies as we do now for "emergency" reason, though not every local government offers this option as the Department of Immigration and Citizenship suggest that because a candidate can travel on their old passport prior to attending the Ceremony there should be very little need for an urgent ceremony. If a case is considered urgent, the Department then asks that the candidate fill out an Urgent Ceremony request form and they then run the ceremony at their offices in West Perth.

#### Council Shopping

Council Shopping is when people look to attend a ceremony held by a Council outside of their residency. In this case they may approach several Councils to see when they each run there ceremonies and try and attend the one that suits them. The Department of Immigration and Citizenship are trying to stop this from occurring, strongly urging Council's not to accept such requests because all the records and applications are processed in and the certificates sent from Canberra. When certificates are sent from Canberra, Council has 5 working days from the date listed for the ceremony to return the receipt of the ceremony and declaration from the Presiding Member that all those who said they would attend, where there to collect their certificate. They are otherwise made void.

#### Preparation

Ceremonies can take up to a couple of months to prepare. Once the conferral reports come in with the names of the eligible candidate come in, depending on where the

Council is in its cycle, the next date is offered in a letter to the candidate. Once they accept that date, an email is sent to the Department requesting certificates. They then organise these from Canberra. The Department requires 4 weeks notice, at a minimum to organise the certificate. Once an email for a certain date is sent to the department for certificates, the list can not be changed.

Once the certificates come in it is a matter of organising the ceremony. On the night the Mayor signs the conferral report and certificates, identifications are checked and the Electoral Voting form filled out and witnessed. All these documents are required to be returned to both the Department and the Electoral Commission no later than 7 working days after the ceremony.

The preparation requires significant communication between the Town, the Department and the Candidate. It can take time to prepare, so ceremonies at present are limited to bi-monthly.

## Options

## Option 1

The first option is to remain with the status quo with the Town running bi-monthly, brief ceremonies before the Council meeting, without catering.

#### Option 2

Adopt the Officer's recommendation to offer the community four significant ceremonies a year that are celebrated events that involve catering and set dates around significant Australian Dates.

#### Option 3

Implement a combination of both two significant celebrations on Australia Day and Pioneer's Day, with the addition of bi-monthly small ceremonies. This option will exceed the current allocation in the 2009/10 budget. It will also demand more time than is currently used in the preparation of the ceremony.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

# OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1. Endorse four citizenship ceremonies over the course of a calendar year as following;
  - a) January Australia Day: Ceremony to be held in partnership with the Shire of Peppermint Grove and the Town of Mosman Park
  - b) April The Monday night before Anzac Day.
  - c) June Pioneer's Day
  - d) October The Monday night after the Queen's Birthday
- 2. Provide a moderate level of catering for each ceremony.

# AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Utting, seconded Cr Boland

That the word '*holiday*' follows the words '*Queens Birthday*' in recommendation 1. d).

Carried 6/0

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Utting, seconded Cr Boland

# That Council:

- 1. Endorse four citizenship ceremonies over the course of a calendar year as following;
  - a) January Australia Day: Ceremony to be held in partnership with the Shire of Peppermint Grove and the Town of Mosman Park
  - b) April The Monday night before Anzac Day.
  - c) June Pioneer's Day
  - d) October The Monday night after the Queen's Birthday holiday
- 2. Provide a moderate level of catering for each ceremony.

#### **10.1.9 ROTTNEST CHANNEL SWIM**

File No:	SUB/000
Attachments:	Rottnest Channel Swim.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Janna Lockyer
	Community & Events Support Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

Rottnest Channel Swim Association has requested to again hold the Channel swim from Cottesloe Beach on Saturday 20 February 2010. The Event will be starting at 5.45am with the last wave of contestants leaving at 7.45am. There is also a request to have future dates entered into the calendar (2011 will be 26 February, 2012 will be 25 February and 2013 will be 23 February).

This report requests that Council:

- 1. Approve the Rottnest Channel Swim Event dates (20/02/2010, 26/02/2011, 25/02/2012 and 23/02/2013).
- 2. Inform the Rottnest Channel Swim Association of the new fees for 2010 and possible fees for the following years.
- 3. Inform Indiana's of the required opening hours of the public toilets.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The following policies are to be considered;

- Beach, and
- Outdoor Concerts & Large Public Events.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

• Health Act 1958

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact. This Event, based upon the Fees and Charges Schedule, is listed under a Concert classification as a *Charity/Community* and there would be no fee just a bond of \$1,000. There is however an additional fee for Ranger attendance of \$110/hour/Ranger and an additional cleaning fee for the Indiana toilets of approximately \$120 for each additional clean.

## SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## CONSULTATION

Nil

## **STAFF COMMENT**

The Rottnest Channel Swim has been running in its present form since 1991. In this time there has been great success, with competitors increasing from 16 individuals to seven teams competing in 1991 to 1,868 participating and 1,811 finishing in 2008. The number of spectators to has also increased for the Event.

This increase in numbers has increased the required services of Council. Each year Council increases waste removal, cleaning services for Indiana toilets and overtime for Ranger attendance.

In the past Council has not charged for the Event or the additional resources required from Council for the Event. Nor has Council requested a bond for the Event. As a part of the 2009/10 Budget process, new fees and charges were determined for the Beach. These included the increase of Ranger out of office time's fees.

All events held in the Town are required to have a bond paid before the events commences. The Rottnest Channel swim has not done this in the past, and as part of ensuring consistency of Events it has now been proposed that this event be charged a refundable bond providing no damage has occurred to the Town of Cottesloe as a result of the Event.

Additional fees are also being sought for Ranger time and the cost of additional cleaning services for the Indiana toilets. These costs are currently coming from Council. The Ranger time is charged at double time and to cover this fee as well as overheads, there was a fee of \$110 added to the Fees and Charges in the 2009/10 Budget. The cost of additional cleaning for Indiana is approximately \$120 for each additional clean on the day.

Based on last years Rottnest Channel Swim the 2010 event will be classed as a Charity/Community event with entry fee and patrons of above 1000 but below 3000. This classification entitles Cottesloe to charge a fee of \$1,000 and a bond of \$1,000 plus out of hours Ranger time and cleaning of Indiana toilets. Council may wish to consider that the Event in future be classed as a Corporate Event. This will allow Council to cover additional costs with a fee of \$6,000 and a bond of \$4,000.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

# COMMITTEE COMMENT

Cr Boland sought clarification of the intended fees for the 2010 Rottnest Channel Swim.

Officers advised that Fees to be charged to the Rottnest Channel Swim are:

- Bond of \$1,000
- Ranger Time @ \$110/ranger/hour average four hours from 4am to 8am(\$880)
- Additional cleaning of Indiana toilets at \$120/clean (approx 2 cleans = \$240).

Cr Cunningham spoke to the matters raised by Ms Hatton, specifically lights and the opening if the toilets on the morning.

Officers advised that both of these will be checked the day before the Event and confirmed that Rangers now have keys to the toilets.

Committee were in agreement that the event should continue to be considered as a community event and opposed to a corporate event.

# OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- 1. Approve the Event Rottnest Channel Swim Event dates (20/02/2010, 26/02/2011, 25/02/2012 and 23/02/2013).
- 2. Inform the Rottnest Channel Swim Association of the new fees for 2010 and possible fees for the following years.
- 3. Inform Indiana's of the required opening hours of the public toilets

# AMENDMENT

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

That the words '*and possible fee for the following years*' be removed from point 2 of the officer recommendation.

Carried 6/0

# COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

That Council:

- 1. Approve the Event Rottnest Channel Swim Event dates (20/02/2010, 26/02/2011, 25/02/2012 and 23/02/2013).
- 2. Inform the Rottnest Channel Swim Association of the new fees for 2010.
- 3. Inform Indiana's of the required opening hours of the public toilets.

#### 10.1.10 CORPORATE BEACH EVENT 11 DECEMBER 2009

File No: Attachments: Responsible Officer: Author:	SUB/000 <u>Westnet Corporate Event.pdf</u> Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer Janna Lockyer Community & Events Support Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The Town has received an application for a corporate team building event to be held on Cottesloe Main Beach.

Corporate Challenge is requesting to hold a corporate team building event for Westnet on Friday 11<sup>th</sup> December 2009. The Event is a beach Olympics style event including sports like volleyball, sandcastles, tug-o-war etc. None of the activities involves entering the water. The expected time frame for the event is between 2.00pm and 5.00pm and there are currently no bookings for the month of December.

Westnet have hired Cottesloe Surf Life Saving Club for the food prior to the use of the beach.

This report recommends that Council:

- 1. Approve the Event subject to:
  - a. No advertising of the either company to be displayed.
  - b. Adequate arrangements for rubbish collection and removal, including the provision for recycling.
  - c. Compliance with noise regulations.
  - d. Compliance with relevant health and safety legislation.
  - e. Appropriate public liability insurance of not less than \$10 million.
- 2. Inform the applicant of Council's decision.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Beach policy requires consideration with this report.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

## SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## CONSULTATION

Nil

## STAFF COMMENT

The Town of Cottesloe receives regular applications for corporate events over the summer period. Many of these applications are for small numbers and do not require Councils permission.

Corporate Challenge has requested the use of the Main Beach for activities such as volleyball for the team building of Westnet. The number of participants (70) requires Councils approval.

The event will be low key and no part of the beach will be excluded from public access.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

#### That Council:

- 1. Approve the Event on Friday 11 December 2009 between 2.00pm and 5.00pm subject to:
  - a. No advertising of the company to be displayed.
  - b. Adequate arrangements for rubbish collection and removal, including the provision for recycling.
  - c. Compliance with noise regulations.
  - d. Compliance with relevant health and safety legislation with regard to food, hygiene.
  - e. Appropriate public liability insurance of not less than \$10 million.
- 3. Inform the applicant of Council's decision.

#### 10.1.11 SUMMER FUN 09/10 EVENT APPLICATION

File No: Attachments: Responsible Officer: Author:	SUB/000 <u>Summer Fun Application.pdf</u> Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer Janna Lockyer Community & Events Support Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The Town has received an application for a company to run a free event over the summer period to be held on Cottesloe Main Beach.

Maverick Marketing and Communications is requesting to hold an event on the  $9^{th}$  –  $10^{th}$  January 2010. The Event involves an inflatable entertainment area for all ages (12 through to 50). An example of previous events is attached on the application. Entry to the event is free with a recycled can/bottle.

This report recommends that Council:

- 1. Approve the Event on the 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> January 2010 subject to:
  - a. No alcohol or tobacco company sponsors and advertising.
  - b. Extensive Risk Management Plan
  - c. Adequate arrangements for rubbish collection and removal, including the provision for recycling.
  - d. Compliance with noise regulations.
  - e. Compliance with relevant health and safety.
  - f. Appropriate public liability insurance of not less than \$10 million.
- 2. Inform the applicant of Council's decision and requirements.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Beach policy requires consideration with this report.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## CONSULTATION

Nil

## **STAFF COMMENT**

Currently no events of this sort are held on any Cottesloe Beach. As the main beach is quite busy during the time period requested, it may be an option to place the Event at North Cottesloe.

The Community Safety and Crime Prevention Committee are always interested in ways to provide the youth of Cottesloe with activities either on the beach or at the Civic Centre.

## VOTING

Simple Majority

## COMMITTEE COMMENT

In discussing the report Committee were of the view that the location of this event should be north of the main beach area near 'Slimey's' (area of beach located across from the intersection of Napier Street and Marine Parade).

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Walsh, seconded Cr Woodhill

#### That Council:

- 1. Approve the Event on the 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> January 2010 subject to:
  - g. No alcohol or tobacco company sponsors and advertising.
  - h. Extensive Risk Management Plan
  - i. Adequate arrangements for rubbish collection and removal, including the provision for recycling.
  - j. Compliance with noise regulations.
  - k. Compliance with relevant health and safety.
  - I. Appropriate public liability insurance of not less than \$10 million.
- 2. Inform the applicant of Council's decision and requirements.

Carried 5/1

### 10.1.12 COMMUNITY RALLY - COALITION FOR A SAFE CLIMATE

File No: Attachments: Responsible Officer: Author:	SUB/000 <u>Community Rally Application.pdf</u> Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer Janna Lockyer Community & Events Support Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

## SUMMARY

The Town has received an application to hold a support rally on the Cottesloe Beach for the Coalition for a Safe Climate. The rally is scheduled for 24<sup>th</sup> October 2009 and will include approximately 300 people making a human sign on the beach.

Coalition for a Safe Climate have organised similar events in the past. On 13<sup>th</sup> June 2009 a Climate Emergency Rally was held in Forrest Place in the Perth city. On this occasion, the rally aims to raise awareness and support for action to reduce atmospheric greenhouse gas levels. To do this the rally will have a small number of speakers and the creation of the human sign "<350".

This report recommends that Council:

- 1. Approve the Event on 24<sup>th</sup> October 2009 subject to:
  - a. Adequate arrangements for rubbish collection and removal, including the provision for recycling
  - b. Compliance with noise regulations.
  - c. Appropriate public liability insurance of not less than \$10 million.
  - d. Payment of bond before the commencement of the event.
- 2. Approve the use of a PA system powered by renewable energy (if this is not possible, the use of grid power).

# STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

# POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Beach policy requires consideration with this report.

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

In the 2009/10 Budget, this Event is classed as a Charity/Community event with no entry fee and less than 3000 people. An event of this nature requires a bond of \$1,000 to be paid before commencement of the Event.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

As this is an event highlighting environmental issues, the organisers are endeavouring to use renewable resources to power the PA system they require.

#### CONSULTATION

Nil

#### STAFF COMMENT

Coalition for a Safe Climate has requested the use of the Main Beach for a community rally. The number of participants (300) and the use of a PA system requires Councils approval.

The Town of Cottesloe is a leader in sustainability initiatives and approving the application for the Event could be seen as a further step in supporting a "cleaner, greener community".

The event will be low key and no part of the beach will be excluded from public access.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Miller

#### That Council:

- 1. Approve the Coalition for a Safe Climate Community Rally on 24<sup>th</sup> October 2009 subject to:
  - a. Adequate arrangements for rubbish collection and removal, including the provision for recycling
  - b. Compliance with noise regulations.
  - c. Appropriate public liability insurance of not less than \$10 million.
  - d. Payment of bond before the commencement of the event.
- 2. Approve the use of a PA system powered by renewable resources if this is not possible, approving the use of grid power.

## 10.1.13 INVESTMENT OF SURPLUS FUNDS POLICY UPDATE

File No: Attachments:	SUB/000 Investment of Surplus Funds Policy.pdf Carl Askew
Responsible Officer:	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Graham Pattrick
	Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

# SUMMARY

The Town of Cottesloe policy for Investment of Surplus Funds has been reviewed by Council staff. This report recommends that Council adopt the unchanged policy.

# STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

No change to the Investments of Surplus Funds Policy.

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

## **STAFF COMMENT**

This policy has been reviewed to ensure it is relevant to the Town of Cottesloe's current working environment. No changes were required to be made to this policy.

## VOTING

Simple Majority

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

That Council note the policy has been reviewed and maintain the Investment of Surplus Funds Policy as per the Investments Policy attachment.

## 10.1.14 INVESTMENTS POLICY UPDATE

File No:	SUB/000
Attachments:	Investments policy.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Graham Pattrick
	Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

# SUMMARY

The Town of Cottesloe policy for Investments has been reviewed by Council staff. This report recommends that Council adopt the unchanged policy.

# STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

No change to the Investments Policy.

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

### CONSULTATION

Nil

## **STAFF COMMENT**

This policy has been reviewed to ensure it is relevant to the Town of Cottesloe's current working environment. No changes were required to be made to this policy.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

That Council note the policy has been reviewed and maintain the Investments Policy as per the Investments Policy attachment.

### 10.1.15 APPOINTMENT OF AUDITOR FOR NEXT 3 YEARS

File No: Responsible Officer: Author:	SUB/534 Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer Graham Pattrick Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

A recommendation is made to appoint UHY Haines Norton as the auditor for the Town of Cottesloe for the next 3 years up to and including the 2011/2012 financial year.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Local Government Act 1995

#### Section 7.3 Appointment of auditors

1. A local government is to, from time to time whenever such an appointment is necessary or expedient, appoint\* a person, on the recommendation of the audit committee, to be its auditor.

\* Absolute majority required.

- 2. The local government may appoint one or more persons as its auditor.
- 3. The local government's auditor is to be a person who is
  - a. a registered company auditor; or
  - b. an approved auditor.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

No financial resource impact.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### CONSULTATION

Nil

#### **STAFF COMMENT**

As part of it's commitment to joint services and regional partnerships, the WESROC Council's agreed to support a joint tender for Audit services. A Tender Evaluation Review Panel has now completed an assessment of the tender documentation and made a recommendation for the next 3 financial years (i.e. from 2009/2010 to 2011/2012). The recommendation from the panel to all member Councils is to proceed with the UHY Haines Norton tender.

The tender was advertised in the West Australian on Saturday 6 June 2009. The tender document was compiled with the assistance of the following staff:

Andries Gertenbach – Town of Mosman Park Phil Howard – Shire of Peppermint Grove Felicity Faranda – City of Subiaco Mike Cole – City of Nedlands Les Crichton – Town of Claremont Jane Sterry – Town of Cambridge Janna Lockyer – Town of Cottesloe

The tender closed 4 p.m. Monday June 22nd 2009. There were 7 responses.

A tender evaluation panel was established comprising the following members:

Andries Gertenbach – Town of Mosman Park Mike Cole – City of Nedlands Graham Pattrick – Town of Cottesloe

Each tender application was assessed against the following criteria:

- Compliance with the specifications contained within the tender documents 20%
- The experience of the auditors and the audit firm 35%
- The capacity to undertake the audit and the ability and commitment to meet timeframes for the delivery of audit services 20%
- Price and value for money 20%
- Answers to the environmental questionnaire 5%

A report was prepared for the WESROC Executive who resolved to endorse UHY Haines Norton as the preferred tenderer, based on the assessment carried out and that they be recommended to each local government's Audit Committee for appointment for a three year term.

#### VOTING

Absolute Majority

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

That Council appoint UHY Haines Norton as the auditor for the Town of Cottesloe for the next 3 years up to and including the 2011/2012 financial year.

#### 10.2 ENGINEERING

#### 10.2.1 SAFETY OF PEDESTRIANS CROSSING STIRLING HIGHWAY -PRESBYTERIAN LADIES COLLEGE

File No:	SUB/505
Attachments:	Pedestrian Crossing for PLC.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Geoff Trigg
	Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The Presbyterian Ladies College has been concerned with the safety of pedestrians crossing Stirling Highway at McNeil Street for some time. The matter has now been taken up with the Premier.

The college has requested Councils' support to provide for a safer form of crossing. The recommendation is that Council resolve to provide a letter of support to the Presbyterian Ladies College for the installation of a light controlled pedestrian crossing on Stirling Highway at McNeil Street, with a letter also being sent to Main Roads WA to underline Town of Cottesloe support for such a crossing.

#### BACKGROUND

Stirling Highway in this area is one of the more busy suburban highways. The crossing on Stirling Highway at the McNeil Street junction is on a slope with a high potential for speeding. It is also used for buses turning into and out of McNeil Street plus parents delivering and picking up students.

The college is seeking a light controlled pedestrian crossing, similar to the crossing over Curtin Avenue at Grant Street.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Through State Government legislation the Police Department and Main Roads WA would, if the submission was successful, establish line marking, signage and arrange

installation of any form of light controlled pedestrian crossing. Local Government has no powers in this regard.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## CONSULTATION

This matter is a request for Council support. Presbyterian Ladies College is consulting with all stakeholders for support.

#### STAFF COMMENT

The success of this 'push' to achieve a light controlled pedestrian crossing over Stirling Highway at McNeil Street will depend on Main Roads WA assessment of the site, the availability of funding and the impact on the vehicle flow of Stirling Highway. It is a dangerous location, with traffic tending to speed down a slope. The highway continues to carry heavy and increased traffic numbers.

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Woodhill

That Council to provide a letter of support to the Presbyterian Ladies College for the installation of a light controlled pedestrian crossing on Stirling Highway at McNeil Street, with a letter also being sent to Main Roads WA to underline Town of Cottesloe support for such a crossing.

#### 10.2.2 REQUEST FOR MORTON BAY FIG TREE REMOVAL - VERGE OF 75 CURTIN AVENUE, COTTESLOE

File No:	PRO/837
Attachments:	Morton Bay Fig Attachment.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
-	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Geoff Trigg
	Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

A very large fig tree exists on the west side road verge of Curtin Avenue, fronting 75 Curtin Avenue.

A request has been received from the property owner for the tree to be removed because of a number of problems caused by the tree.

The recommendation is that Council resolve to:

- 1. Remove the fig tree on the Curtin Avenue road verge fronting No. 75 Curtin Avenue.
- 2. Inform affected residents and residents who have given comments on this matter of Councils decision.

## BACKGROUND

The owners of 75 Curtin Avenue had provided a number of reasons for the removal of this very large fig tree growing on the road verge initially in late 2008. Due to budget constraints, the removal consideration was put on hold until the new 2009/2010 financial year.

The reasons for removal are:

- Profuse growth of the tree since the property was purchased in 1996.
- This type of tree has fast growing surface roots that can destroy pipes, foundations and other infrastructure.
- It drops large amounts of rotting fruit and leaves.
- The tree creates ongoing maintenance and potential public liability issues Council has been to the site at different times to clean up and prune.
- The fruit attracts bees and wasps, with nests having to be removed by Council staff several times.
- High potential for this tree to cause future structural damage to the house. Damage is already obvious to the footpath, crossover and driveway.

Several property owners near No. 75 have provided written support for this tree to be removed (no's 79, 85 and 87). The property on the south side has provided a letter of

support, listing similar issues, as listed by the owner of No. 75, as reasons for removal.

Comments were also received on a request for removal three years ago when an elderly lady slipped and fell on the path due to dropped fruit. Thanks were given for a temporary fix due to pruning. Concern is expressed on the potential for bee stings affecting a son who has bad reaction to such stings.

However, a letter has been received from the north side owners of No. 77 Curtin Avenue, withdrawing any support for tree removal. The reasons given for retention are that the tree is healthy and not in a dangerous condition. Initial support was given when these owners were away from Cottesloe and the owners of No. 75 requested support for removal due to dangerous condition, lack of tree health and a risk to homes. The owners of No. 77 now believe this is not correct. The tree makes a mess but also provides benefits, and is a local landmark.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

# POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Councils Street Trees policy applies.

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

• None known

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

In 2008 a quote of \$5,000 was received for this tree to be removed by a contractor. This would be funded from the Street Tree Maintenance account.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Involves the potential removal of one large non-local species tree with the potential for replacement landscaping with native shrubs.

# CONSULTATION

Nil

# **STAFF COMMENT**

This fig tree dominates all of the large verge area fronting 75 Curtin Avenue and has a large impact on the verges of 73 and 77. There is a Norfolk Island Pine tree in front of 77 which has a slight lean away from the fig tree. Because of the fig tree size, there would be great difficulty in growing a lawn or native shrubs around the fig tree. This tree is the only fig tree on this section of Curtin Avenue and is also the largest of any tree.

The root system is large and well established. The crossover and single parking bay on the verge is of concrete paving bricks, with slight deforming on the west side of

the tree. Given time, much greater impact on adjacent infrastructure would be expected.

As has been explained to Councillors in the past, fig trees are not suitable for street verge plantings, with no new figs being planted in recent years and a number being removed e.g. Charles Street. A number of metropolitan councils have a policy of removal or replacement with other species.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

## COMMITTEE COMMENT

Cr Boland spoke in support of retaining the tree given its health, the support of the neighbours at 77 Curtin Avenue, the amenity it provides to Curtin Avenue, the cost of removal of the tree and the value the trees provide.

Cr Woodhill spoke in support of the officer recommendation citing the comments for Ms Lynn including the damage caused by the root systems, the fruit that it drops and the danger this causes. Whilst acknowloging the health of the treehe was of the opinion it was the wrong location and should be replaced with another type fo tree more suited to the location.

Committee considered the officer recommendation (and voted accordingly) and the presiding member used his "*casting vote*" in favour of the officer recommendation.

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Woodhill, seconded Cr Miller

#### That Council:

- 1. Remove the fig tree on the Curtin Avenue road verge fronting No. 75 Curtin Avenue.
- 2. Inform affected residents and residents who have given comments on this matter of Councils decision.

Carried 4/3

#### 10.2.3 REQUEST FOR CONTRIBUTION - UPGRADING OF RIGHT OF WAY 77, COTTESLOE

File No:	SUB/317
Attachments:	Right of Way 77.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
-	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Geoff Trigg
	Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The owners of properties fronting the unsealed section of ROW 77, giving access from Sydney Street, have requested a Council contribution of \$10,000 towards an approximately \$25,000 total estimated cost of sealing and draining the remaining unsealed section of the laneway.

The recommendation is made to accept the proposal that the Town of Cottesloe contribute \$10,000 towards the sealing and drainage of portion of ROW 77.

#### BACKGROUND

Council adopted a new policy on *Rights of Way/Laneways* in August 2006. The first two objectives of the policy are:

- 1. To provide a safe environment and trafficable surface for residents to access their properties while managing risk to the public and the Town of Cottesloe.
- 2. To establish a procedure for the progressive upgrading of all public Rights of Way and Laneways, by paving and drainage, using all available sources of funding.

Since 2006/07 a \$20,000 allowance has been made for minor upgrading works throughout the ROW/Laneway network. This figure is not sufficient to install proper base materials, drainage pits and similar needs per year, over the unsealed section of the ROW system. Only minor sealing has been undertaken in 3 years, particularly where a development contribution has been made for a half width of ROW to be sealed.

There are no programs in place to bring the ROW network up to a quality condition, apart from individual sealing works tied to a development condition for a new house construction.

The laneway/ROW requested for a contribution towards sealing and drainage is 40m long, 4.1m wide and is the most southern section of ROW 77, which runs south from Sydney Street. The first section has been sealed and drained for many years.

Drainage water on this unsealed sand surface laneway section is uncontrolled, similar to most other unsealed laneways in Cottesloe.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Rights of Way/Laneways Policy applies.

- (1) OBJECTIVES:
- 1. To provide a safe environment and trafficable surface for residents to access their properties while managing risk to the public and the Town of Cottesloe.
- 2. To establish a procedure for the progressive upgrading of all public Rights of Way and Laneways, by paving and drainage, using all available sources of funding.
- 3. To establish a procedure for private developments and subdivisions to contribute to the upgrading of public Rights of Way and Laneways, where those developments impact on those routes.
- 4. To establish a procedure for sections of private laneways to become Crown land, including land held by Council as private property and used by the public as access.

### (2) PRINCIPLES:

- 1. To recognise that the Rights of Way (ROW)/Laneway network provides valuable access to residential and commercial properties.
- 2. To recognise that aesthetic improvements occur in street frontages when garages and carports are accessed from ROW's and Laneways.
- 3. To ensure that the costs of improvements to ROW's/Laneways are funded by developers and subdividers, if such improvements are required to service such developments.
- 4. To recognise that the ROW/Laneway network is of benefit to the whole community and that the Town of Cottesloe should contribute towards upgrading, if landowners wish to contribute towards ROW or Laneway upgrading.
- 5. To recognise that any ROW or Laneway used by the general public should be Crown land vested in Council for the purpose of public access, maintained by Council through the normal annual budgeted maintenance programs.
- 6. To discourage motorists from using laneways as de-facto streets or using laneways as shortcuts.

# (3) ISSUES:

- 1. When compared with similar Local Government Authorities in the metropolitan area, the Town of Cottesloe has a high proportion of its ROW's and Laneways in a poor to undeveloped condition.
- 2. A large proportion of ROW's and Laneways in the Town of Cottesloe are privately owned by the Town, with the remaining sections being either Crown land or privately owned by various individuals or companies.
- 3. ROW's and Laneways are being progressively built, piecemeal, due to conditions placed on developments and subdivisions, with no long term air of this construction. Such construction has not included a requirement to connect the built section to a built street or existing built Laneway or ROW.
- 4. ROW's and Laneways often contain Service Authorities infrastructure e.g.; deep sewers, water supply pipes, as well as Council installed drainage systems. Machine access is required at all times to maintain and service this infrastructure, regardless of ownership.
- 5. The mixture of Crown control, private ownership and Council ownership of ROW's and Laneways has created confusion in the past for staff trying to maintain these accesses while trying not to expend Council funds on privately owned sections.
- 6. The amount of privately owned laneway sections (by Council and individuals) requires a lot of control regarding actions, filing, knowledge of ownership etc, which could be greatly simplified by their surrender to the Crown.
- 7. Past completion of various short sections of ROW and Laneway construction by various contractors organised by various developers to meet development conditions have left Council with varying levels, construction standards and quality standards of these sections throughout the Town area. This will inevitably result in a variety of maintenance problems as ROW and Laneway use grows.
- 8. Many of the past approved laneway constructed sections have been to a 100mm thick, un-reinforced concrete standard. With vehicle weights increasing and the use of heavy machinery by Service Authorities to service their infrastructure in laneways, it is also inevitable that Council will be involved in expensive repairs to cracked and damaged concrete laneway sections. Therefore laneway surfacing should be based on flexible rather than inflexible pavements.

# (4) POLICY:

1. Council's attitude towards the status of ROW's/Laneways is that all such accesses should be Crown land, where they are used by the general public rather than for a specific restricted property access function.

- 2. Any sections of ROW's/Laneways owned by the Town of Cottesloe will be surrendered to the Crown under processes included in the Local Government Act. Any such sections owned by ratepayers of the Town of Cottesloe, which become available to Council for little or no cost, will also be surrendered to the Crown for Crown land.
- 3. When a ROW or Laneway is required for primary access to a new development the developer will upgrade by paving, kerbing and drainage, the ROW or Laneway from the nearest built gazetted road or existing built laneway to the furthermost lot boundary, to the satisfaction of the Manager Engineering Services.
- 4. The developer may elect to have the Laneway upgrading works done by the Town of Cottesloe or by a Contractor.
  - a. If the Town is to undertake the works, payment of the full estimated value of the works must be received by the Town before works commence.
  - b. If the developer employs contractors, a supervision and inspection fee is to be charged, in accord with Section 6.16 of the Local Government Act, 1995.
- 5. The design of the ROW or Laneway must recognise the need to minimize vehicle speeds and maximize safety and security.
- 6. When a ROW is required for primary or secondary access from an existing property redevelopment, it is conditional (Town Planning) upon the developer to contribute an amount equivalent to 50% of the costs to construct a portion of standard ROW 4m x 20m in area.
  - a. Where a charge has been applied, as condition of development for the upgrade of a ROW, the money is to be placed in a Reserve Account established under Section 6.11 of the Local Government Act, for the specific purpose of ROW upgrade.
- 7. Notwithstanding averaging requirements for developments under the residential codes for rear setbacks and fencing specifications in Council's fencing local laws, there shall be a minimum building setback for carports and garages, to allow a minimum turning circle of six (6) metres, measured from the far side laneway boundary to the closest part of the structure, for each car bay, carport and garage designed at 90° to the laneway or ROW.
- 8. Fees and charges for contribution to works, supervision and inspection will be determined annually by Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 6.16 of the Local Government Act, 1995.
- 9. In situations where new developments or redevelopments are not factors in laneway upgrading and the condition of particular laneways has created concern regarding unsafe conditions for drivers and pedestrians, an increased

public liability risk and ongoing maintenance requirements, the following shall apply regarding upgrading:

- a. A construction program of ROW's and Laneways will be determined by priority on the basis of vehicle and pedestrian usage, existing surface condition, drainage problems and condition of private fencing.
- b. The design of the ROW/Laneway will recognise the need to minimize vehicle speeds and maximize safety and security.
- c. All fences abutting ROW's and Laneways shall be constructed and maintained in accordance with Council's fencing Local Laws.
- d. The funds available for ROW/Laneway upgrading per budget year shall be total of:
  - i) The equivalent of the total of minimum rates levied on privately owned ROW/Laneway sections per financial year; plus
  - ii) Contributions received through the development process as covered under point #6, i.e. the contents of the Reserve Account for this purpose; plus
  - iii) An amount determined by Council in each budget document, to be made available from Council funds for ROW/Laneway upgrading and construction.
- e. Where adjacent landowners wish to contribute to the cost of construction of a ROW/Laneway or section thereof, the project will be given priority over all other such works, subject to the following:
  - i) The application shall contain confirmation by landowners of their request for the upgrading and the amount each is willing to contribute.
  - ii) It will be the responsibility of the applicants to collect the contributions and deliver all monies to the Council.
  - iii) A minimum of 50% of the total cost of the work, estimated by the Council's Manager Engineering Services will be required prior to acceptance of any application. If the ROW/Laneway or section thereof already includes work previously required to be done in the preceding five years then expenditure involved will be treated as contributions, in order to assess priorities and make up the minimum of 50%.
  - iv) Work will not commence until the full amount of the contribution has been received by the Council.
  - v) The programming and design of the work will be at the sole discretion of the Council.
  - vi) Applications will be approved in the order in which the full amount of the contribution is received by the Council and will be subject to the availability of funds to meet the Council's contribution through budget allocations each year.
- 10. The higher the percentage of cost of laneway upgrading to be provided by private property owner contribution, the higher the priority of project acceptance from Council, apart from the need to allow for funding to remove public liability risks and unsafe conditions on any other ROW or Laneway.

- 11. As a general rule it is Council policy to keep Laneways open, even if unconstructed. Applications for closure are to be considered by Council.
- 12. The widths of ROW's/Laneways, the need for truncations on 90° bends, 'Tee' junctions and outlets of laneways onto gazetted roads, and set back requirements from laneways are issues dealt with in other Council documents.
- 13. On request Council will consider the naming of right-of-ways/laneways under the care, control and management of the Town of Cottesloe on the understanding that there shall be no obligation on the Town of Cottesloe or any other service agency to improve the condition of any particular right-ofway/laneway or services to same.
- 14. Where a development or subdivision approval includes a condition requiring the sealing and drainage of a portion of ROW/Laneway to allow rear vehicle access, and the developer believes there is a substantial negative attitude from other affected landowners for such ROW/Laneway improvements, it is up to the developer to demonstrate to Council that attitude.
- 15. Where no application for a development has been received relating to the drainage and sealing of a laneway, and one or more landowner wishes to prevent the sealing and drainage of a laneway, then the concerned landowner(s) would undertake the requirements of #16 to present Council will the case to prevent such sealing and drainage.
- 16. The demonstration of a local landowner attitude against the drainage and sealing of a laneway to meet a development condition must include the signatures of at least two thirds of all landowners affected by the proposal supporting the 'no sealing and drainage' case and accepting that any future request to Council from any affected landowner to upgrade or seal that laneway must include an acceptance of two thirds of those owners for a differential rating payment system for those properties to fund such improvement works.

<b>RESOLUTION NO:</b>	12.2.2
ADOPTION:	28 August, 2006
REVIEW:	December, 2012

# STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

This laneway is owned freehold by the Town of Cottesloe.

There is a 'duty of care' for the owner to ensure that this access is safe for use, but there is no legal requirement for sealing of the laneway.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Council has budgeted \$20,000 in the 2009/10 budget for upgrading works on its total ROW/Laneway system. \$6,500 of this amount has been allocated to the shared cost of sealing of Right of Way 76A off Macarthur Street, in July 2009.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## CONSULTATION

Nil

#### **STAFF COMMENT**

The total ROW/Laneway network is approximately 50% sealed in asphalt, concrete or brick paving and 50% natural surface (sand).

The sealed proportion is slowly increasing as private developments meet their development conditions to seal the laneways from their new rear garages to the closest sealed street or laneway section.

The remainder of the unsealed laneways receive minimal maintenance, with the surface remaining sand apart from short sections where cold planed material from the surface of old asphalt street surfaces is used to firm up the softest areas, particularly in late summer.

During the various discussions regarding the adoption of the new 'Rights of Way/Laneways' policy in 2006, it was the then Council's attitude that Council would not be pursuing a long term plan where all unsealed laneways would be bought up to a sealed quality standard using Council funds. There is a relatively minor allocation (\$25,000) for ROW Surface maintenance, per year, which covers minor hole patching, clean out of drains and minor surface levelling. Spread over the total 10.3km of Crown or Town of Cottesloe owned laneways over the year, only minor works are possible of a maintenance nature.

The comments included in the received letter are similar to other comments received by staff regarding unsealed laneways.

The ROW/Laneway network is one of the few asset types under Council's control that is not included in a long term program for sustainable development.

Council has been offered shared cost 'deals' in the past for laneway sealing but has not accepted them, apart from a contribution of \$6,500 towards the sealing of Right of Way 76A off Macarthur Street agreed to by Council in July, 2009. A \$10,000 contribution to this laneway sealing would be half of the total budget allocation for 2009/10.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

#### COMMITTEE COMMENT

Cr Boland raised the issue of property encroaching on Council land and asked the Manager of Engineering Services, is this is the case on this particular laneway.

The Manager Engineering Services responded with no known cases of property adjacent to Right of Way 77 encroaching on the laneway.

#### **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Woodhill

That Council accept the proposal that the Town of Cottesloe contribute \$10,000 towards the sealing and drainage of portion of ROW 77 subject to the applicant's compliance with clause 9(e) of Council's Rights of Way/Laneways Policy.

Carried 5/1

#### 10.2.4 REHABILITATION/RESURFACING OF NO. 1 CAR PARK, MARINE PARADE, COTTESLOE

File No: Attachments:	SUB/223 Car Park 1.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
•	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Geoff Trigg
	Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The asphalt surface condition of No. 1 Car park, to the west of Marine Parade, has deteriorated to a stage where resurfacing will be required in the near future.

The recommendation is that Council resolve to consider the resurfacing of No. 1 Car Park, Marine Parade, in the draft 2010/2011 financial year budget.

#### BACKGROUND

Asphalt surfacing of roads, car parks, paths etc will only last on average, 12-20 years, depending on various factors but particularly on the condition of the base or foundation, the amount of vehicular use and the age i.e. amount of UV light over the age which will oxidise the bitumen and make the surface brittle. No. 1 car park has not been resurfaced for many years. It is totally in the open, with a high UV impact. The traffic loading is heavy, with sharp turning movements.

The surface is currently showing increased cracking, discolouration and failure through pot holing.

In the last few years, this car park has been part of a car parking consultant study, proposed for closure/relocation, considered for conversion to a passive recreation area of grass, trees, BBQ's etc and other more radical treatments.

It has also been part of the EbD study in regards to a foreshore redevelopment.

However, no definite Council decisions currently apply to the future of this area.

# STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

## **Objective 3: Beach Foreshore Enhancement**

To enhance beach access and the foreshore

• Improve beach access and dune conservation outside the central foreshore zone.

#### **Objective 5: Infrastructure**

To maintain infrastructure and council buildings in a sustainable way

• Develop a long term asset management plan and accompanying financial plan.

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

# STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

• None known

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The cost estimate for the resurfacing, re-line marking and adjustment of meter eyes is \$82,000 if undertaken in 2010/2011. If left for two plus years, the cost will escalate due to base failure.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Depending on possible redevelopment of the area as a non-car parking site and the possible expansion of No. 2 Car Park into John Black Dune Park, the sustainability implications are varied.

#### CONSULTATION

Nil

#### STAFF COMMENT

The asphalt surface of this car park is reaching its 'use by date'. Currently, a resurface is possible, with the base asphalt and foundation still in a condition that will accept an 'overlay', without breaking up. If it is left for 2-3 years, it is possible that a total reconstruction will be needed, at 2-3 times the cost of a resurfacing.

Staff have not included this project in a five year program in the past because there have been ongoing planning considerations which recently ended with the Enquiry by Design. However no practical decision appears to have been adopted by Council regarding this site. The general options most obvious from councillor discussion in the past few years have been:

1) Leave it 'as is' and undertake any maintenance or resurfacing as required.

- 2) Close it, redevelop it for public use not including car parking, but extend No. 2 car park (Napier Street) to replace the lost car parking capacity.
- 3) Retain part of this car park and expand No. 2 car park to replace lost capacity.
- 4) Radical redevelopment, with the possibility of one or two levels underground parking, with the surface level being some forms of passive recreation facilities.

Regardless of the future of this site and the time taken to debate and resolve any radical changes, the surface can be re-used and resurfaced if funded, probably, in 2010/2011. To leave it longer has the high potential for a costly reconstruction. A resurfacing, plus re-line marking and moving/replacing the meter eyes is estimated at \$82,000.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Cunningham, seconded Cr Woodhill

That Council resolve to include for consideration the resurfacing of No. 1 Car park, Marine Parade, in the draft 2010/2011 financial year budget.

#### 10.2.5 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT REGIONAL AND LOCAL COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM

File No:	SUB/763
Attachments:	Media Release.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
-	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Geoff Trigg
	Manager Engineering Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The Federal Government has recently announced a boost or extension of the Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program, which will provide an extra one-off grant of \$39,000 to the Town of Cottesloe for community infrastructure projects. The funding comes with conditions and the report provides alternative projects, with a recommendation:

- 1. That the \$39,000 Federal Government Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program additional grant be allocated to the purpose of
- 2. That the Chief Executive Officer be given approval to sign an agreement on behalf of the Town of Cottesloe, and apply the Council seal 'if necessary', for the receipt of this grant.

## BACKGROUND

The grant of \$39,000 is the Town of Cottesloe 'share' of an additional \$100 million allocated to all Australian local government authorities by the Federal Government. The purpose of the grant is to stimulate the local economy as part of the Australian approach to the global economic crisis.

Council received \$122,000 at the start of this program, the majority of which was expended on the Cottesloe Beach Pylon.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

The Regional and Community Infrastructure Program (RLCIP) is administered by the Federal Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Local

Government. Mandatory reporting and audit requirements have yet to be received. Funds received and spent will have to comply with federal conditions of expenditure of the \$39,000 allocation.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The \$39,000 grant income and expenditure was not included in the 2009/10 budget.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## CONSULTATION

Nil

## **STAFF COMMENT**

The \$39,000 extra grant allocation for the Town of Cottesloe is one-off with no inclusion of its income or expenditure in the current budget. Council's details on what areas the funds are proposed to be spent must be submitted to the Federal Government for pre-approval before expenditure.

Exact requirements and conditions for projects have not yet been received but are expected to be similar to those controlling the initial grant. Under the initial funding was not provided for ongoing costs e.g. operational costs and maintenance, any form of transport infrastructure e.g. roads or related expenditure for Roads to Recovery or Black Spot programs.

On that basis the following projects are suggested for consideration for expenditure of \$39,000:

- 1. Limestone retaining wall repairs, Cottesloe Foreshore. Over many years the limestone walls around the Indiana Tea House and on the southern terraces have degenerated and have suffered a variety of vandalism and damage. There is a substantial need for a variety of sections to be either repaired or replaced. This work could be undertaken on short notice.
- 2. Playgrounds: Council has an ongoing 5 Year Improvements program for its playgrounds to upgrade playground units and install shade sails. \$39,000 would speed up this work and distribute the funds throughout the Town area.
- 3. Cyclepaths: Council has recently adopted a new Cycle Plan. There are a variety of works covered in that plan which were to be covered in the 5 year plan. Council may wish to undertake \$39,000 of cycle related works, with those works as listed in the Cycle Plan not including major works requiring extensive design, consultation and approval time periods.
- 4. Climate Change Study: One major gap in the climate change study's predictions was the lack of information on where underlying rock exists along the Cottesloe foreshore. A study to provide this information would allow efforts

on potential future protection works to concentrate on the deep sand/no rock areas along the Cottesloe coast line.

- 5. Traffic Management Plan: A 5 year program was adopted by Council for traffic management and speed control installations in Cottesloe based on the recently adopted Traffic Management Plan. Council may wish to commence priority works with the new federal grant.
- 6. Footpaths: Council has an ongoing footpath slab replacement with in-situ concrete programme throughout Cottesloe. This new grant could be used to speed up this program. As part of the costs applied to programs to arrive at an acceptable rate increase for the 2009/10 budget, two footpath works were removed =\$26,500 for Stirling Highway between Congdon Street and Parry Street plus \$14,800 for Loma Street between Broome Street and Marmion Street

All of these projects will require Council funding in the future. The \$39,000 Federal grant would allow one or more of the above listed projects to be substantially progressed or completed.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Woodhill, seconded Cr Cunningham

That Council:

- 1. Use the \$39,000 Federal Government Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program additional grant for the purpose of upgrading footpaths in Loma Street between Broome Street and Marmion Street plus Stirling Highway between Congdon Street and Parry Street.
- 2. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to sign an agreement on behalf of the Town of Cottesloe and apply the Council seal if necessary, for the receipt of this grant.

#### 10.3 FINANCE

## **10.3.1 WRITE OFF MINOR BAD DEBTS**

File No:	SUB/145
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Graham Pattrick
	Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The recommendation is to write off \$1,448.92 of bad debts.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Council has given delegated authority to the CEO to write off amounts up to \$100. These debts are over this limit.

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Local Government Act 1995 Part 6-Financial management Division 4-General financial provisions

#### 6.12. Power to defer, grant discounts, waive or write off debts

- 1. Subject to subsection (2) and any other written law, a local government may
  - a. when adopting the annual budget, grant\* a discount or other incentive for the early payment of any amount of money;
  - b. waive or grant concessions in relation to any amount of money; or
  - c. write off any amount of money,

which is owed to the local government.

\* Absolute majority required.

- 2. Subsection (1)(a) and (b) do not apply to an amount of money owing in respect of rates and service charges.
- 3. The grant of a concession under subsection (1)(b) may be subject to any conditions determined by the local government.

4. Regulations may prescribe circumstances in which a local government is not to exercise a power under subsection (1) or regulate the exercise of that power.

# FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The total value of the debts to be written off is \$1,448.92

## SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

# CONSULTATION

Nil

# **STAFF COMMENT**

Concentric Wealth Management was invoiced \$920.92 for commercial waste charges and now the company is in receivership.

Fremantle Tree Doctor was fined \$288 for illegal dumping. Officers have been unable to recoup the money through debt recovery.

Joodalup Demolition & Earthmoving were charged \$240 costs for damaging a crossover. Subsequently there have been problems with substantiating the claim and the recommendation is to write off this debt.

The Manager of Corporate & Community Services agrees with the recommendation given the amount of time and cost involved in trying to recover these amounts and the remote chance of being successful.

# VOTING

Absolute Majority

# COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

The view of delegated authority to the CEO for debt write-off's was discussed and Committee agreed that the current level of \$100 should be increased. It was suggested that a future report on this matter be prepared for Council consideration.

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Utting

That Council approve the write off of the debts of \$1,448.92 for Concentric Wealth Management, Fremantle Tree Doctor and Joondalup Demolition and Earth moving.

#### 10.3.2 STATUTORY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009

File No: Attachments:	SUB/137 Financial statements 31 August 2009.pdf
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
-	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Graham Pattrick
	Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009

Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Operating Statement, Statement of Assets and Liabilities and supporting financial information for the period ending 31 August 2009, to Council.

## BACKGROUND

The Financial Statements are presented monthly

## CONSULTATION

Nil

## STAFF COMMENT

The Operating Statement on page 2 of the Financial Statements shows a favourable variance between the actual and budgeted YTD operating surplus of \$310,757 as at 31 August 2009. Operating Revenue is below budget by \$30,709 (.4%). Operating Expenditure is \$303,523 (19%) less than budgeted YTD. A report on the variances in income and expenditure for the period ended 31 August 2009 is shown on pages 7-8.

The Capital Works Program is listed on pages 24 - 26 and shows total expenditure of \$576,314 compared to YTD budget of \$1,300,190. The reason for the significant difference is a slight timing delay with the library and delayed general capital expenditure due to cashflow restrictions at the start of the year.

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

# STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

## SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil

## VOTING

Simple Majority

## **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Woodhill, seconded Cr Boland

That Council receive the Operating Statement, Statement of Assets and Liabilities and supporting financial information for the period ending 31 August, 2009, as per the Financial Statements attachment submitted to the 22 September, 2009 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

#### 10.3.3 SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AND LOANS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009

File No: Responsible Officer:	SUB/150 & SUB/151 Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Graham Pattrick
	Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009

Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

# SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the period ending 31 August 2009 to Council, as per the Attachment entitled Financial Statements.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

# STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

## SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

## STAFF COMMENT

The Schedule of Investments on page 19 of the Financial Statements shows that \$1,702,923.10 was invested as at 31 August, 2009.

Reserve Funds make up \$894,339.51 of the total invested and are restricted funds. Approximately 19% of the funds are invested with the National Australia Bank, 34% with BankWest, 23% with Westpac and 24% with Commonwealth Bank.

The Schedule of Loans on page 20 shows a balance of \$2,122,683.66 as at 31 August, 2009. There is \$495,960.94 included in this balance that relates to self supporting loans.

## VOTING

Simple Majority

## **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Woodhill, seconded Cr Boland

That Council receive the Schedule of Investments and Schedule of Loans for the period ending 31 August, 2009, as per the Financial Statements attachment submitted to the 22 September, 2009 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

## 10.3.4 ACCOUNTS PAID FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009

File No:	sub/137
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Graham Pattrick
	Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009

Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

## **SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to present the List of Accounts for the period ending 31 August 2009 to Council, as included commencing on page 9 in the Attachment entitled Financial Statements.

#### STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

#### SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

CONSULTATION

Nil

#### STAFF COMMENT

The following significant payments are brought to Committee/Council's attention which are included in the list of accounts commencing on page 10 of the Financial Statements:

- \$14,720.12 to WA Local Govt Super Fund for staff deductions
- \$11,579.00 to Synergy for street lighting for July 2009
- \$14,680.46 to WA Local Govt Super Fund for staff deductions
- \$13,097.84 to BCITF for April 2009 levies

- \$28,044.01 to Cobblestone Concrete for work on Marine/Salvado/ Deane Streets
- \$108,496.00 to the Shire of Peppermint Grove for library contributions for September 2009 quarter
- \$41,112.50 to LGIS Workcare for Workers Comp premium for 2009/2010
- \$32,046.30 to Philip Griffiths Architects for services on Civic Centre refurbishment
- \$10,272.60 to Zipform for 2009/10 rate notices
- \$273,681.43 to Shire of Peppermint Grove for contributions to joint library project
- \$37,903.19 to Giant Nissan for new vehicle
- \$33,450.34 to B&N Waste for green and bulk waste collection in July 2009
- \$16,731.40 to WALGA for 2009/2010 ROMAN subscriptions
- \$20,078.48 to WMRC for disposal and tipping fees
- \$71,398.97 to KMC Group for progress claim
- \$19,585.50 to Communication Plus for new NEC phone system
- \$32,650.12 to Kulin Group for refurbishment of beach pylon
- \$26,520.11 to Shire of Peppermint Grove for contributions to joint library project
- \$54,191.32 to WMRC for disposal and tipping fees
- \$43,673.21 to Transpacific Cleanaway for domestic & commercial waste disposal
- \$65,448.42 and \$66,000.02 for staff payroll

# VOTING

Simple Majority

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Woodhill, seconded Cr Boland

That Council receive the List of Accounts for the period ending 31 August 2009, as per the financial statement attachment submitted to the 22 September 2009 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

## 10.3.5 PROPERTY AND SUNDRY DEBTORS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 AUGUST 2009

File No:	sub/145
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
-	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Graham Pattrick
	Manager Corporate Services
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009

Author Disclosure of Interest Nil

#### SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present the Property and Sundry Debtors Reports for the period ending 31 August 2009 to Council, as per the Attachment entitled Financial Statements.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Financial reporting is a statutory requirement under the Local Government Act 1995.

## FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

## SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

## CONSULTATION

Nil

#### STAFF COMMENT

The Sundry Debtors Report on pages 21-22 of the Financial Statements shows a balance of \$168,322.57 of which \$109,997.07 relates to the current month. The balance of aged debt greater than 30 days stood at \$58,325.50.

Property Debtors are shown in the Rates and Charges analysis on page 23 of the Financial Statements and show a balance of \$4,458,613.97. Of this amount \$226,546.71 and \$539,107.48 are deferred rates and outstanding ESL respectively.

As can be seen on the Balance Sheet on page 4 of the Financial Statements, rates as a current asset are \$4,208,800 in 2009 compared to \$4,358,781 last year.

# VOTING

Simple Majority

# **OFFICER & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

Moved Cr Woodhill, seconded Cr Boland

That Council receive the Property Debtors and the Sundry Debtors Report for the period ending 31 August, 2009, as per the financial statements attachment submitted to the 22 September, 2009 meeting of the Works and Corporate Services Committee.

## 11 ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

#### 11.1.1 CARBON NEUTRAL TOWN OF COTTESLOE

File No:	SUB/000
Responsible Officer:	Carl Askew
	Chief Executive Officer
Author:	Janna Lockyer
	Community & Events Support Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22 September 2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

#### SUMMARY

The following Notice of Motion has been received from Cr Birnbrauer.

"That staff be requested to provide a plan for the Town of Cottesloe to become carbon neutral by the year 2012. If practicable, this information should be available as part of Council's consideration it's the new future plan.

Cr Birnbrauer provided the following information in support of his motion.

"Sustainability" is a part of our mission statement and what better way for Council to demonstrate our commitment then to select a target date and plan for carbon neutrality. We may well find that a later target date than 2012 will be required but we are obliged to start and whilst our footprint is small, it grows as we can challenge others to match it.

In May 2009, Council resolved, in part, to:

"Determine to review its Future Plan again after the Local Government election in October 2009 and after the outcomes of the Minister for Local Government's Reform Strategies are announced."

#### VOTING

Simple Majority

## COUNCILLOR & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Walsh

That Council receive the notice of motion and refer it to Administration for investigation and report back to Committee/Council.

#### 11.1.2 STATION STREET SUMP AND THE STATION STREET/RAILWAY STREET CAR PARK

File No: Responsible Officer: Author:	SUB/000 Carl Askew Chief Executive Officer Janna Lockyer Community & Events Support Officer
Proposed Meeting Date:	22-Sep-2009
Author Disclosure of Interest	Nil

## SUMMARY

The following Notice of Motion has been received from Cr Birnbrauer.

"That staff prepares design guidelines and other documentation required to progress sale and/or development of the Station Street sump and the parking lot on the corner of Station Street and Railway Street."

Cr Birnbrauer provided the following information in support of his motion.

"12-18 months ago, the Station Street Working Party reported to Council the results of deliberation and Consultant's reports about the development of these two sites. As I recall, the recommendations were shelved pending a plan for the Town Centre and the plans for Curtin Avenue and the railway. So, nothing has happened. It is highly desirable that Council makes use of these properties at this time for the improvement of the Town Centre and other projects."

# VOTING

Simple Majority

## COUNCILLOR & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Moved Cr Miller, seconded Cr Cunningham

That Council receive the notice of motion and refer it to Administration for investigation and report back to Committee/Council.

Carried 5/1

## 12 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY ELECTED MEMBERS/OFFICERS BY DECISION OF MEETING

# 13 MEETING CLOSURE

The Presiding Member announced the closure of the meeting at 8.55PM.

CONFIRMED: PRESIDING MEMBER\_\_\_\_\_ DATE: .../.../...